

Marmara Üniversitesi  
Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Fındıklıcadı

A. DERNEK

### Certain Classes of Meromorphic Functions

Pewne klasy funkcji meromorficznych

**Abstract.** The author is concerned with the class  $\Sigma_p^\alpha(\rho)$  of the functions  $f$  holomorphic in the punctured disc  $0 < |z| < 1$  with the expansion  $f(z) = z^{-p} + a_0 z^{-p+1} + \dots$ , starlike of order  $\rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ .

**Introduction.** Let  $S$  denote the family of functions  $f(z)$  which are regular and univalent in the unit disc  $E$  and which satisfy the conditions  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Let  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $C$  be the subclasses of  $S$  consisting of functions which are starlike of order  $\alpha$  and close-to-convex in  $E$ , respectively. Let  $P(\alpha)$  denote the class of all regular functions  $h(z)$  in  $E$  which satisfy the conditions  $h(0) = 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > \alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ), in particular  $P(0) \equiv P$ . Let  $\Sigma_p$  be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + a_0 z^{-p+1} + \dots + a_{n+p-1} z^n + \dots \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots),$$

which are regular and  $p$ -valent in  $E \setminus \{0\}$ . Denote by  $\Sigma_1 \equiv \Sigma$  the class of univalent meromorphic functions in  $E \setminus \{0\}$ . A function  $f(z)$  of  $\Sigma_p$  is said to belong to  $\Sigma_p^\alpha(\rho)$ , the class of  $p$ -valent meromorphic starlike functions of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ), if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ z f'(z) / f(z) \} < -p\rho, \quad z \in E.$$

In particular case, the class  $\Sigma^*$  of univalent meromorphic starlike functions is identified by  $\Sigma^* \equiv \Sigma_1^*(0)$ . Then the functions  $f(z)$  are called meromorphic Bazilevič functions of type  $\alpha$  if for each  $f(z)$  there exists a function  $g(z) \in \Sigma^*$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ -z f'(z) f(z)^{\alpha-1} g(z)^{-\alpha} \} > 0, \quad z \in E,$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is any real number. Denote by  $MB(\alpha, g)$  the class of meromorphic Bazilevič functions of type  $\alpha$  with respect to  $g(z)$  [6]. For  $\alpha = 1$  the class  $MC$  of meromorphic close-to-convex functions is identified by  $MB(1, g) \equiv MC$

In [2], [4] and [5] the following theorems are proved :

**Theorem A** ([5] Theo.3.1,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\gamma + 1 = c$ ). Let  $\alpha$  and  $c$  real constants such that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $c + 1 - p\alpha > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p^*(\rho)$ , then

$$F(z) = \{(c + 1 - p\alpha)z^{-c-1} \int_0^z t^c f(t)^\alpha dt\}^{1/\alpha}$$

also belong to  $\Sigma_p^*(\rho)$  for  $F(z) \neq 0$  in  $E \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Theorem B** ([2], Theo.3). Let  $f(z)$  be close-to-convex with respect to  $g(z)$ ,

$$F(z) = cz^{-c-1} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt, \quad G(z) = cz^{-c-1} \int_0^z t^c g(t) dt, \quad c > 0.$$

Then  $F(z)$  is close-to-convex with respect to  $G(z)$ , for  $G(z) \neq 0$  in  $0 < |z| < 1$ .

**Theorem C** ([2], Theo.4). Let  $F(z)$  belong to  $\Sigma^*$ ,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{c} \{(c + 1)F(z) + zF'(z)\}, \quad c > 0,$$

then  $f(z) \in \Sigma^*$  for  $0 < |z| < \sqrt{\frac{c}{c+3}}$ . The result is sharp.

**Theorem D** ([2], Theo.6). Let  $F(z)$  be close-to-convex with respect to  $G(z)$ ,  $c > 0$ ,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{c} \{(c + 1)F(z) + zF'(z)\}, \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{c} \{(c + 1)G(z) + zG'(z)\}.$$

Then  $f(z)$  is close-to-convex with respect to  $g(z)$  for  $0 < |z| < \frac{\sqrt{4 + 2c + c^2} - 2}{2 + c}$ .

**Theorem E** ([4], Theo.1). If  $f(z)$  in  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $g(z)$  in  $S^*(\gamma)$ ,

$$F(z) = (c + 1)g(z)^{-c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > 0),$$

then  $F(z)$  is  $\beta$ -starlike for  $|z| < \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the least positive root of the equation

$$1 - \beta - r[2(1 - \alpha) + 2c(1 - \gamma)] - r^2[2\alpha - 1 - \beta + 2c(1 - \gamma)] = 0.$$

In this paper are generalized the above results of Goel and Sohi [2] and we obtain a result analogous to the Theorem E of Karunakaran and Ziegler [4] for functions meromorphic in the unit disc.

**2. Main results.** We require the following results to prove the theorems of this section.

**Lemma A** [5]. A function  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\Sigma_p^*(\rho)$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) if and only if there exists a function  $w(z)$  regular and satisfying  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $E$  such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = -p \frac{1 + (2\rho - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)}.$$

**Lemma B** ([1], p.25). *If  $w(z)$  is regular in  $E$  and satisfies the conditions  $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in E$ , then*

$$|zw'(z) - w(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^2 - |w(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

We shall now proceed to prove the following :

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $c$  be real constants such that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $c + 1 - p\alpha > 0$ . If  $F(z) \in \Sigma_p^*(\rho)$  and*

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \{(c + 1 - p\alpha)^{-1} (c + 1 + \alpha z F'(z)/F(z))\}^{1/\alpha} F(z),$$

then  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p^*(\rho)$  for  $0 < |z| < \sqrt{\frac{c + 1 - p\alpha}{c + 1 + p\alpha(1 - 2\rho)}}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $F(z) \in \Sigma_p^*(\rho)$ , by Lemma A there exists a function  $w(z)$  regular in  $E$  with  $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$  such that

$$(2) \quad -\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = p \frac{1 + (2\rho - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)}.$$

From (1) and (2) we have

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p \frac{1 + (2\rho - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)} - \frac{b - 1}{\alpha} \frac{zw'(z)}{(1 + w(z))(1 + bw(z))}.$$

or

$$(3) \quad -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{A}{h(z)} + B + Dh(z) - (b - 1) \frac{zw'(z) - w(z)}{(1 + w(z))(1 + bw(z))} \right\}$$

where  $b = \frac{c + 1 + p\alpha(1 - 2\rho)}{c + 1 - p\alpha}$ ,  $h(z) = \frac{1 + bw(z)}{1 + w(z)}$ ,  $(b - 1)A = b$ ,  $(b - 1)B = (b - 1)c - 2$  and  $(b - 1)D = 1 - 2p\alpha(1 - \rho)$ . Using Lemma B, we get from (3)

$$(4) \quad -\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p\rho \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{A}{h(z)} + Dh(z) + B + \frac{r^2 |h(z) - b|^2 - |1 - h(z)|^2}{(b - 1)(1 - r^2) |h(z)|} \right) \right\} - p\rho.$$

$h(z)$  is subordinate to the linear transformation  $\frac{1 + bz}{1 + z}$  and from this it follows by elementary arguments that

$$|h(z) - a| \leq d, \quad a = \frac{1 - br^2}{1 - r^2}, \quad d = \frac{(b - 1)r}{1 - r^2}, \quad \text{for } r^2 < \frac{1}{b}.$$

If we put  $h(z) = Re^{i\theta}$  and denote the right hand side of (4) by  $S(R, \theta)$ , then

$$S(R, \theta) = \frac{1}{\alpha(b-1)} \left\{ -T(R) \cos \theta + \frac{R^2 + a^2 - d^2}{R} + (b+1)(p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1) \right\},$$

$$\cos \theta \geq \frac{R^2 + a^2 - d^2}{2aR},$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\alpha(b-1)} T(R) \sin \theta$$

where  $T(R) = 2a + (2p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1)R - \frac{b}{R}$ ,  $a-d \leq R \leq a+d$ .

If  $T(R) \leq 0$ , then clearly  $S(R, \theta) > 0$  inside the disc  $|h(z) - a| \leq d$ . To see this, note that if  $T(R) \leq 0$ , then

$$0 < R < \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + b(2p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1)} - a}{2p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1} < \sqrt{\frac{b}{2p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1}}.$$

The preceding inequalities in turn imply that

$$-T(R) \cos \theta + \frac{R^2 + a^2 - d^2}{2aR} \geq \frac{R^2 + a^2 - d^2}{2aR} \left\{ \frac{b}{R} - (2p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1)R \right\} > 0.$$

If  $T(R) > 0$ , then the minimum of  $S(R, \theta)$  inside the disc  $|h(z) - a| \leq d$  is attained at  $\theta = 0$  and the minimum value is given by

$$S(R, 0) = L(R) = \frac{1}{\alpha(b-1)} \left\{ \frac{a^2 - d^2 + b}{R} - 2(p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1)R - 2a + (b+1)(p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1) \right\}.$$

$L(R)$  is a monotonic decreasing function of  $R$  and therefore its minimum is attained at  $R = a+d$ ,

$$L(a+d) = \frac{p\alpha(1-\rho)(1-br^2) + (p\alpha(1-\rho) - 1)(b-1)r}{\alpha(1+r)(1+br)}$$

and  $L(a+d) > 0$  for  $r^2 < \frac{1}{b}$ . Thus

$$-Re \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > p\rho, \quad r^2 < \frac{1}{b}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark.** The result of Theorem C turns out to be a particular case of the above theorem for  $\alpha = p = 1$  and  $\rho = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $c$  be real constants,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $c+1-\alpha > 0$ . If  $g(z) \in \Sigma^c$  and  $f(z) \in MB(\alpha, g)$ ,

$$(5) \quad F(z) = \left( \frac{c+1-\alpha}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t)^\alpha dt \right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad G(z) = \left( \frac{c+1-\alpha}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c g(t)^\alpha dt \right)^{1/\alpha}$$

then  $F(z) \in MB(\alpha, G)$  for  $G'(z) \neq 0$  in  $0 < |z| < 1$ .

**Proof.** If we put  $p = 1$  and  $\rho = 0$  in Theorem A, we can see that  $G(z) \in \Sigma^*$ . Therefore it is sufficient to show that

$$-\operatorname{Re} \{ zF'(z)F(z)^{\alpha-1}G(z)^{-\alpha} \} > 0.$$

Let  $w(z)$  be regular function defined in  $E$  by

$$(6) \quad -\frac{zF'(z)F(z)^{\alpha-1}}{G(z)^\alpha} = \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}$$

Clearly  $w(0) = 0$  and  $w(z) \neq -1$ . From the definition  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  in (5), we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (c+1-\alpha)f(z)^\alpha &= (c+1)F(z)^\alpha + \alpha zF'(z)F(z)^{\alpha-1} = \\ &= (c+1)F(z)^\alpha - \alpha \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}G(z)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (7) and using (6), we obtain

$$(8) \quad -\frac{zf'(z)f(z)^{\alpha-1}}{g(z)^\alpha} = \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)} - \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1+w(z))^2} \frac{1}{c+1+\alpha \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)}}.$$

Now we claim that  $|w(z)| < 1$  for otherwise by a lemma of Jack [3] there exists  $z_0 \in E$  such that

$$(9) \quad z_0 w'(z_0) = m w(z_0), \quad |w(z_0)| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m \geq 1.$$

Thus from (8) it follows that

$$(10) \quad -\frac{z_0 f'(z_0) f(z_0)^{\alpha-1}}{g(z_0)^\alpha} = \frac{1-w(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} - \frac{2mw(z_0)}{(1+w(z_0))^2} \frac{1}{c+1+\alpha \frac{z_0 G'(z_0)}{G(z_0)}}.$$

Since  $G(z) \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $-\frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} \in P$  and hence

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} + a \right| \leq d, \quad |z| = r,$$

where  $a = \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}$ ,  $d = \frac{2r}{1-r^2}$ . If we put

$$k(z) = \frac{1}{c+1+\alpha \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)}}$$

then (11) gives

$$(12) \quad \left| k(z) - \frac{c+1-\alpha a}{(c+1-\alpha a)^2 - \alpha^2 d^2} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha d}{(c+1-\alpha a)^2 - \alpha^2 d^2}.$$

This implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} k(z) \geq \frac{1+r}{c+1-\alpha+(c+1+\alpha)r} > 0.$$

Also,  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{1-w(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{w(z_0)}{(1+w(z_0))^2} = \frac{1}{2(1+\operatorname{Re} w(z_0))} > 0$ , it follows from (10) and (12)

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_0 f'(z_0) f(z_0)^{\alpha-1}}{g(z_0)^\alpha} = -\frac{m}{1+\operatorname{Re} w(z_0)} \operatorname{Re} k(z_0) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction to our hypothesis that  $f(z) \in MB(\alpha, g)$ . Hence  $|w(z)| < 1$  and the theorem follows from (6).

**Remark.** For  $\alpha = 1$ , this theorem reduces to the Theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $c+1-\alpha > 0$ . If  $G(z) \in \Sigma^\alpha$  and  $F(z) \in MB(\alpha, G)$ ,

$$(13) \quad (c+1-\alpha)f(z)^\alpha = (c+1)F(z)^\alpha + \alpha z F'(z)F(z)^{\alpha-1},$$

$$(14) \quad (c+1-\alpha)g(z)^\alpha = (c+1)G(z)^\alpha + \alpha z G'(z)G(z)^{\alpha-1},$$

then  $f(z) \in MB(\alpha, g)$  for  $0 < |z| < r(\alpha, c) = \frac{\sqrt{c^2+2c+2\alpha+2}-\alpha-1}{c+1+\alpha}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $G(z)$  is starlike, the Theorem 1 with  $p = 1$ ,  $\rho = 0$ , gives  $g(z) \in \Sigma^\alpha$  for  $0 < |z| < r_0 = \sqrt{\frac{c+1-\alpha}{c+1+\alpha}}$ .  $F(z)$  is a Bazilevič function of type  $\alpha$  with respect to  $G(z)$ , therefore we can write

$$(15) \quad -\frac{zF'(z)F(z)^{\alpha-1}}{G(z)^\alpha} = h(z),$$

where  $h(z) \in P$ . Differentiating (15), with (13) and (14) we get, after a simple computation,

$$-\frac{zf'(z)f(z)^{\alpha-1}}{g(z)^\alpha} = h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{c+1+\alpha \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)}}.$$

For  $G(z) \in \Sigma^\alpha$  we may write  $-\frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} = u(z) \in P$ . It is well known that for a function of positive real part in  $E$

$$|h'(z)| < \frac{2\operatorname{Re} h(z)}{1-r^2}.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{Re} A(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{c+1-\alpha u(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\operatorname{Re} h(z)}{|c+1-\alpha u(z)|} \left\{ |c+1-\alpha u(z)| - \frac{2r}{1-r^2} \right\},$$

$|z| = r.$

Since  $|u(z) - a| \leq d$ ,  $a = \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}$  and  $d = \frac{2r}{1-r^2}$ , we have further

$$\begin{aligned}
 |c+1-\alpha u(z)| - d &\geq |c+1-\alpha a| - \alpha|u(z) - a| - d \geq \\
 &\geq |c+1-\alpha a| - (\alpha+1)d = \\
 (16) \qquad &= \frac{|c+1-\alpha - (c+1+\alpha)r^2| - 2(\alpha+1)r}{1-r^2} = \\
 &= \frac{D(r)}{1-r^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $D(r) = -(c+1+\alpha)r^2 - 2r(\alpha+1) + c+1-\alpha$  for  $|z| < r_0$ . Thus,  $r = r(\alpha, c)$  being the positive root of the equation  $D(r) = 0$ , it is clear that  $0 < r(\alpha, c) < r_0$ . Therefore, it follows from (16) that  $\operatorname{Re} A(z) > 0$  for  $0 < |z| < r(\alpha, c)$ . Thus, the proof is completed.

**Remark.** In particular for  $\alpha = 1$ , we obtain the result of Theorem D.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $c$  be real constants  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $c+1-\alpha > 0$ . If  $g(z) \in \Sigma^\circ(\gamma)$  and  $f(z) \in \Sigma^\circ$ ,

$$(17) \qquad F(z) = \left\{ (c+1-\alpha)g(z)^{c+1} \int_0^z t^c f(t)^\alpha dt \right\}^{1/\alpha},$$

then  $F(z) \in \Sigma^\circ$  for  $0 < |z| < \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 2(c+1)(1-\gamma)}$ .

**Proof.** If  $k(z) = \left( \frac{c+1-\alpha}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt \right)^{1/\alpha}$  then  $F(z) = (z g(z))^{(c+1)/\alpha} \cdot k(z)$  and Theorem A implies  $k(z)$  is in  $\Sigma^\circ$ . Differentiating (17) we obtain

$$-\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = -\frac{c+1}{\alpha} - \frac{c+1}{\alpha} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)}.$$

For  $-\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \in P(\gamma)$  and  $-\frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} \in P$  it is well known that

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \geq \frac{1-(1-2\gamma)r}{1+r}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} \geq \frac{1-r}{1+r} \quad (|z| \leq r).$$

Therefore

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \geq \frac{\alpha - (2(c+1)(1-\gamma) + \alpha)r}{\alpha(1+r)}$$

and  $-\operatorname{Re} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} > 0$  for  $0 < |z| < \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 2(c+1)(1-\gamma)}$ .

**Remark.** Note that, this theorem is analogous to the Theorem E for functions meromorphic in the unit disc. And also note that, the limiting case  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$  while  $p = 1$  gives the result of Theorem A.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Duren , P. , *Subordination* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York 1977, 599.
- [2] Goel , R. M. , Sohi , N. S. , *On a class of meromorphic functions* , *Glasnik Mat.* 17(37) (1981), 19-28.
- [3] Jack , I. S. , *Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$*  , *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 3(1971), 469-474.
- [4] Karunakaran , V. , Ziegler , M. R. , *The radius of starlikeness for a class of regular functions defined by an integral* , *Pacific J. Math.* 91, (1980), 145-151.
- [5] Kumar , V. , Shukla , S. L. , *Certain integrals for classes of  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions* , *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 25 (1982), 85-97.
- [6] Thomas , D. K. , *On Bazilevič functions* , *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (1968), 353-361.

## STRESZCZENIE

Autor rozpatruje własności funkcji klasy  $\Sigma_p^*(\rho)$  funkcji holomorficznych  $f$  w obszarze  $0 < |z| < 1$ , o rozwinięciu  $f(z) = z^{-p} + a_0 z^{-p+1} + \dots$ , gwałtowności rzędu  $\rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ .