

Instytut Matematyki, PAN, Łódź

J. ZAJĄC

Quasisymmetric Functions and Quasihomographies of the Unit Circle

Funkcje quasisymetryczne i quasihomografie okręgu jednostkowego

Abstract. The relationship between traditional quasisymmetric functions of the unit circle and a new representation for the boundary values of arbitrary quasiconformal automorphisms of the unit disc, called quasihomographies, is studied in this paper.

Introduction. A characterization of the boundary values of a K -quasiconformal (K -qc) automorphism F of the unit disc $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, with fixed point zero, was given by J. G. Krzyż (see [K1] and [K2]). Using the conformal configuration connected with harmonic measure, he has obtained a class of ρ -quasisymmetric (ρ -qs) functions of $T = \partial\Delta$, representing boundary automorphisms $f = F|_T$, such that

$$(K) \quad \frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{|f(\eta_1)|}{|f(\eta_2)|} \leq \rho$$

holds for each pair of disjoint adjacent open subarcs η_1, η_2 of T , with equal length and $\rho = \lambda(K)$ (cf. [LV]).

The class of all sense-preserving automorphisms of T satisfying ρ -condition with a constant ρ , $\rho \geq 1$, is said to be the class of ρ -qs functions of T and is denoted by $Q_T(\rho)$. It is invariant under composition only with the group of rotations of T . Then, by $Q_T^0(\rho)$, we denote the subclass of $Q_T(\rho)$ consisting of all f normalized $f(1) = 1$. This characterization does not comprise the boundary values of arbitrary K -qc automorphisms of Δ (see Example of [Z3]).

A new representation of the boundary values for arbitrary K -qc automorphisms of a generalized disc of the extended plane $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$, was obtained by the author in [Z1] and [Z3]. This new representation has some advantages not shared by quasisymmetric functions.

Suppose that Φ_K is the distortion function in the quasiconformal version of the Schwarz Lemma (see [PH]). It was extensively studied by G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen [AVV1], [AVV2] and [VV]. A number of results on Φ_K function was obtained by the author (see [Z3]).

By the generalized circle of the extended complex plane \overline{C} we mean the stereographic projection of a circle on the sphere $B = \{(x, y, u) : x^2 + y^2 + u^2 - u = 0\}$, onto the plane \overline{C} . Suppose that Γ is such a circle on \overline{C} that z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 is an ordered quadruple of distinct points of Γ . The expression

$$(0.1) \quad [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] = \left\{ \frac{z_3 - z_2}{z_3 - z_1} : \frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_4 - z_1} \right\}^{1/2}$$

is invariant under homographies and its values range over $(0, 1)$, for each ordered quadruple of distinct points of Γ .

By $A_\Gamma(K)$ we denote the class of all sense-preserving automorphisms f of Γ , such that

$$(0.2) \quad \Phi_{1/K}([z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]) \leq [f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)] \leq \Phi_K([z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4])$$

holds for each ordered quadruple of distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \Gamma$, with a constant $K \geq 1$.

A function $f \in A_\Gamma(K)$ is said to be K -quasihomography (K -qh) of Γ . This class of functions represents the boundary values of K -qc automorphisms (of complementary domains D and D^* of Γ) (see [Z3, part 2]). It is invariant under self-homographies of Γ and has a number of properties very close to those of K -qc mappings (see [Z1], [Z3] and [Z4]).

The aim of this paper is to explain the relationship between $Q_T(\rho)$ and $A_T(K)$, without any quasiconformal extension.

1. Quasihomographies as quasisymmetric functions. We begin with proving

Theorem 1. For each $K \geq 1$ and $f \in A_T(K)$, there exists a constant $\rho = \rho(f, K)$, such that $f \in Q_T(\rho)$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \rho \leq \lambda(K) \cot^2(\varphi_f/4),$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_f = \min_{z \in T} \min \left\{ \arg \frac{f(-z)}{f(z)}, 2\pi - \arg \frac{f(-z)}{f(z)} \right\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 is an arbitrary quadruple of distinct points of T . Then

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{|z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4|^2}{|z_2, z_3, z_4, z_1|^2} = \frac{|z_3 - z_2| |z_4 - z_1|}{|z_4 - z_3| |z_2 - z_1|}.$$

For an arbitrary $f \in A_T(K)$ let $w_i = f(z_i)$ and $\zeta_i = h(w_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where h is a homography mapping T onto itself, such that $\zeta_5 = -\zeta_1$. Consider a quadruple of ordered points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in T$, such that $z_4 = -z_2$ and $|z_2 - z_1| = |z_3 - z_2|$. Thus

$[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] = [z_2, z_3, z_4, z_1]$. By the definition of K -qh, $h \circ f \in A_T(K)$ and, because of (1.3), we have

$$(1.4) \quad 1/\lambda(K) \leq \frac{|\zeta_3 - \zeta_2| |\zeta_4 - \zeta_1|}{|\zeta_4 - \zeta_3| |\zeta_2 - \zeta_1|} \leq \lambda(K),$$

with $\lambda(K) = \Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2})/\Phi_{1/K}^2(1/\sqrt{2})$.

Let

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha = \arg \frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_4}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_4} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \arg \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_4}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_4}, \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta < \pi/2.$$

Then

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{|\zeta_3 - \zeta_2| |\zeta_4 - \zeta_1|}{|\zeta_4 - \zeta_3| |\zeta_2 - \zeta_1|} = \frac{\tan \beta}{\tan \alpha}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad 1/\lambda(K) \leq \frac{\tan \beta}{\tan \alpha} \leq \lambda(K).$$

Now, by the concavity of $\arctan x$ for $x \geq 0$, and the well-known Jensen's inequality, we have

$$(1.8) \quad \beta \leq \arctan(\lambda(K) \tan \alpha) \leq \lambda(K) \arctan(\tan \alpha) = \lambda(K)\alpha$$

and similarly

$$\alpha \leq \lambda(K)\beta.$$

Thus

$$(1.9) \quad 1/\lambda(K) \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \leq \lambda(K).$$

Let $\text{arc}(z_1, z_2) = \{z \in T : \arg z_1 < \arg z < \arg z_2\}$ and $|\text{arc}(z_1, z_2)| = |\arg z_2 - \arg z_1|$ stands for its measure. Then, for an arbitrary subarc η of T , we see that

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} |\eta| \leq |h^{-1}(\eta)| = \int_{\eta} |(h^{-1})'(z)| dz \leq \frac{1 + |a|}{1 - |a|} |\eta|,$$

where $a = |h(0)|$. Since $f = h^{-1} \circ (h \circ f)$, we have

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{|\text{arc}(w_2, w_3)|}{|\text{arc}(w_1, w_2)|} = \frac{|h^{-1}(\text{arc}(\zeta_2, \zeta_3))|}{|h^{-1}(\text{arc}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2))|} \leq \left(\frac{1 + |a|}{1 - |a|}\right)^2 \frac{|\text{arc}(\zeta_2, \zeta_3)|}{|\text{arc}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)|} \leq \lambda(K) \cot^2(\varphi_f/4),$$

with φ_f given by (1.2) (cf. [V], p.13). This completes our proof.

The constant $\lambda(K) \cot^2(\varphi_f/4)$ may depend only on K , when we confine ourselves to the normalized K -qh of T . Let

$$(1.12) \quad A_T^o(K) = \{f \in A_T(K) : f(z) = z, z^3 = 1\}.$$

then we have

Lemma. For each $K \geq 1$, $f \in A_T^0(K)$, and $z \in T$

$$(1.13) \quad |f(z) - z| \leq |\arg f(z) - \arg z| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K),$$

where

$$(1.14) \quad \Lambda(K) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{K+1}{3K-1}\right)^2 & \text{for } 1 \leq K \leq 3/2, \\ 1 - (2K-1)^{-2} & \text{for } 3/2 < K \leq 4, \\ 1 - 4^{1-K} & \text{for } K > 4 \end{cases}$$

is such that

$$(1.15) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} [\Phi_K^2(\sqrt{t}) - t] \leq \Lambda(K) \quad \text{cf. [Z3, Theorem 3].}$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality, suppose that $z \in T$ is such that $0 < \arg z < 2\pi/3$ and $\alpha = \arg z - \pi/3$, $|\alpha| \leq \pi/3$. If $z_l = e^{2\pi i l/3}$, $l = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$(1.16) \quad [z_1, z, z_2, z_3]^2 = (1 - \sqrt{3} \tan \frac{\alpha}{2})/2.$$

For an arbitrary $f \in A_T^0(K)$ and $\beta = \arg f(z) - \pi/3$, we have

$$(1.17) \quad \Phi_{1/K}^{-2} \left(\frac{(1 - \sqrt{3} \tan \frac{\alpha}{2})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \leq \frac{1 - \sqrt{3} \tan \frac{\beta}{2}}{2} \leq \Phi_K^2 \left(\frac{(1 - \sqrt{3} \tan \frac{\alpha}{2})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

On the other hand

$$(1.18) \quad |f(z) - z| = 2 \sin \frac{|\beta - \alpha|}{2} \leq |\beta - \alpha| \leq 2 \tan \frac{|\beta - \alpha|}{2} \leq 2 \left| \tan \frac{\beta}{2} - \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} \right|.$$

Then, by (1.15) and (1.17), we have

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(z) - z| &\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \max\{|\Phi_K^2(\sqrt{t}) - t|, |\Phi_{1/K}^2(\sqrt{t}) - t|\} \\ &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\Phi_K^2(\sqrt{t}) - t| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Now we prove

Theorem 2. For each $K \geq 1$ there exists a constant $\rho \geq 1$ such that $A_T^0(K) \subset Q_T^0(\rho)$, where

$$(1.20) \quad \rho \leq \begin{cases} \lambda(K) \left(\frac{1 + \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K)}{1 - \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K)} \right)^2 & \text{for } 1 \leq K \leq K_0, \\ \frac{1}{3} \lambda(K) 16^{K-1} (5 + \sqrt{2})^{2K} & \text{for } K > K_0, \end{cases}$$

with Λ given by (1.14) and $1.425 < K_0 < 1.426$, that satisfies

$$(1.21) \quad 1 + \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K) = \frac{5 + \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \left(1 - \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K) \right).$$

Proof. With the notations of Lemma, for $z = e^{i\pi/3}$, we have

$$(1.22) \quad \arg f(z) = \beta + \frac{\pi}{3} \geq \frac{\pi}{3} - 2 \arctan((2\Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2}) - 1)/\sqrt{3})$$

and then

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_t &> \min \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} - 4 \arctan((2\Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2}) - 1)/\sqrt{3}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{3} - 4 \arctan((2\Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2}) - 1)/\sqrt{3}). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (1.23) remains the same for $z = e^{\pi i}$ and $z = e^{5\pi i/3}$. If

$$(1.24) \quad r = (1 - \sqrt{3} \tan \frac{\alpha}{2})/2, \quad \text{then } \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} = (1 - 2r)/\sqrt{3}.$$

Setting $\beta = \pi/3 - \alpha$, we get

$$(1.25) \quad 1 - \sqrt{3} \tan \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{2 - 4r}{2 - r}.$$

Since $4^{1-K} t^K \leq \Phi_{1/K}(t) \leq t^K$ and $\Phi_{1/K}((1-t)(1+t)) = (1 - \Phi_K(t))/(1 + \Phi_K(t))$, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $K \geq 1$ (cf. [AVV1]), then

$$(1.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \cot^2(\varphi_f/4) &< \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} + (2\Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2}) - 1)/\sqrt{3}}{1 - \sqrt{3}(2\Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2}) - 1)/\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} ((1 + \Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2})) / (1 - \Phi_K^2(1/\sqrt{2})))^2 \\ &< \frac{1}{3} \Phi_{1/K}^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{\sqrt{2} + 1} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \Phi_{1/K}^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{5 + 2\sqrt{2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left(4^{1-K} \left(\frac{1}{5 + 2\sqrt{2}} \right)^K \right)^{-2} = \frac{1}{3} 16^{K-1} (5 + 2\sqrt{2})^{2K}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimate to (1.1) we obtain the case $K > K_0$ in (1.20). This result is not sharp, since for $K = 1$, the upper bound is $(5 + 2\sqrt{2})^2/3$. To get such result, we have to use our Lemma. By (1.13) we have

$$\varphi_f > \min_{z \in T} (\pi - 2|\arg f(z) - \arg z|) \geq \pi - 2 \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K) = \pi - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K).$$

thus

$$(1.27) \quad \cot^2(\varphi_f/4) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K)}{1 - \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K)} \right)^2$$

Then, the case $1 \leq K \leq K_0$ in (1.20) follows by applying (1.27) to (1.1) where K_0 satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1 + \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K)}{1 - \tan \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Lambda(K)} = \min_{1 \leq K < \infty} 4^{K-1} \frac{(5 + \sqrt{2})^K}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

This makes our proof complete.

2. Quasisymmetric functions as quasihomographies. An opposite inclusion is presented by

Theorem 3. For each $\rho \geq 1$ there exists $K \geq 1$ such that $Q_T(\rho) \subset A_T(K)$ and

$$(2.1) \quad K \leq \begin{cases} \chi(\nu(2C_\rho^2)) & \text{for } 1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_0, \\ \chi(\nu(M_\rho - 1)) & \text{for } \rho > \rho_0, \end{cases}$$

with $\rho_0 = (50\pi + 1)/(50\pi - 1)$, where:

$$(2.2) \quad C_\rho = \frac{64^{\nu(\rho)-1}}{(1 - \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1})^{\nu(\rho)}} \frac{\sqrt{\rho+1} + \sqrt{2\pi(\rho-1)}}{\sqrt{\rho+1} - 4.1\sqrt{2\pi(\rho-1)}};$$

$$(2.3) \quad M_\rho = \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 4^{7\nu(\rho)-4};$$

$$(2.4) \quad \nu(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{2\sqrt{r-1}}}{1 - 2^{-m} e^{1/m}}, \quad m = \text{Ent} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r-1}} \right\}, & \text{for } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{5}{4}, \\ 3.41 \log_2(1+r), & \text{for } \frac{5}{4} < r \leq 6, \\ (\ln 2) \left(1 - \left(\log_2 \left(\frac{2}{r} \log_2(1+r) \right) \right)^{-1} \right) (1+r), & \text{for } r > 6 \end{cases}$$

with $\nu(r) \simeq (\ln 2)(1+r)$, when $r \rightarrow \infty$;

$$(2.5) \quad \chi(r) = \begin{cases} r \left(\frac{r-1}{\log_4(31/33)} + 1 \right)^{-1} & \text{for } 1 \leq r \leq r_0, \\ 2r & \text{for } r > r_0 \end{cases}$$

with $r_0 = 1 + \log_{16}(33/31)$. The functions ν and χ were introduced by the author in [Z1] and [Z2], respectively, in a connection with the distortion function Φ_K .

Proof. Suppose that $f \in Q_T(\rho)$, $1 \leq \rho < \infty$, is arbitrarily chosen. Without any loss of generality, we may assume, that $f(1) = 1$ and $f(-1) = -1$ (cf. [K2]). Let $h(z) = i(1-z)/(1+z)$, $h(T) = \bar{\mathbf{R}}$. For an arbitrary symmetric triple $a-t, a, a+t \in \mathbf{R}$, with $t > 0$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad [\infty, a-t, a, a+t]^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

For each quadruple, as on the left hand side of (2.6), there exists a positively ordered quadruple of distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in T$ and positive numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, such that $z_1 = h^{-1}(\infty) = -1$, $z_2 = h^{-1}(a - t) = e^{2i\alpha}$, $z_3 = h^{-1}(a) = e^{2i(\alpha+\beta)}$ and $z_4 = h^{-1}(a + t) = e^{2i(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}$. Moreover, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \pi - \delta$. Thus, by invariance of (0.1) under homographies

$$(2.7) \quad [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]^2 = \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} \frac{\sin \delta}{\sin(\alpha + \delta)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha \leq \gamma$. Then $\alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Let $f(z_2) = e^{i\alpha'}$, $f(z_3) = e^{2i(\alpha'+\beta')}$ and $f(z_4) = e^{2i(\alpha'+\beta'+\gamma')}$, where α', β', γ' are positive and there exists a positive δ' , such that $\alpha' + \beta' + \gamma' = \pi - \delta'$. If $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism such that $f(e^{ix}) = e^{ig(x)}$, and normalized ($g(0) = 0, g(\pi) = \pi$) then, by a result of J. G. Krzyż [K2], $g \in Q_{\mathbf{R}}(\rho)$, and the inequality

$$(2.8) \quad |g(x) - x| \leq \pi \frac{\rho - 1}{\rho + 1}$$

holds for $0 \leq x \leq \pi$.

We intend to show that for an arbitrary $1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$, where $2\pi(\rho_0 - 1)/(\rho_0 + 1) = 1/25$, there exists a constant $C_\rho, 1 \leq C_\rho < \infty$, such that the inequality

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \frac{1}{C_\rho} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)}$$

is satisfied by all admissible independent α, β and α', β' defined here. Assuming that $\varepsilon^2 = 2\pi(\rho - 1)/(\rho + 1), 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/5 < \pi/4$, we will consider a few special cases:

$$(I) \quad \beta < \alpha + \beta \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then, by (2.8) [Z3, Theorem 13], [Z2, Theorem 4], and the Wang-Hübner inequality (cf. [AVV1]), there exists $K', 1 \leq K' \leq \nu(\rho)$, where ν is given by (2.4), such that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} &\geq \frac{\beta'}{\alpha' + \beta'} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} \geq \Phi_{1/K'}^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \right) \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} \\ &\geq 16^{1-K'} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^{K'} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} \\ &\geq 16^{1-K'} \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} \right)^{K'} \left(\frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^{K'} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \leq \gamma$, we have $|z_2 - z_4| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z_1 - z_4|$ and by (2.7) we see that

$$(2.11) \quad \sin(\alpha + \beta) \leq 4 \sin \beta.$$

Therefore

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq 64^{1-K'} \left(\frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^{K'} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)};$$

$$(II) \quad \beta \leq \varepsilon < \alpha + \beta < \pi - \varepsilon.$$

Then using (2.8) and (2.11) we have

$$(2.13) \quad \sin \beta \geq \frac{1}{4} \sin(\alpha + \beta) \geq \frac{1}{4} \sin \varepsilon,$$

hence

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin \beta} \geq \frac{\sin(\beta - \varepsilon^2)}{\sin \beta} = \cos \varepsilon^2 - \cot \beta \sin \varepsilon^2 \geq \cos \varepsilon^2 - 4 \frac{\sin \varepsilon^2}{\sin \varepsilon}$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} \leq \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon')}{\sin \varepsilon}.$$

Therefore

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \left(\cos \varepsilon^2 - 4 \frac{\sin \varepsilon^2}{\sin \varepsilon} \right) \frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon')} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)};$$

$$(III) \quad \varepsilon \leq \beta < \alpha + \beta \leq \pi - \varepsilon.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin \beta} \geq \frac{\sin(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)}{\sin \varepsilon}$$

and further, by (2.15),

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \frac{\sin(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)}{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon')} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

$$(IV) \quad \varepsilon \leq \beta \leq \pi - \varepsilon \leq \alpha + \beta.$$

In this case we can see that $\gamma + \delta \leq \varepsilon$ and, because $\alpha \leq \gamma$, it follows that $\alpha < \gamma + \delta \leq \varepsilon$ hence $\alpha + \gamma + \delta < 2\varepsilon$. Using the same arguments as in (I), we conclude that

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\sin(\gamma' + \delta')}{\sin(\gamma' + \delta' + \alpha')} &\leq \frac{\gamma' + \delta'}{\gamma' + \delta' + \alpha'} \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)} \leq \Phi_{K'}^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma + \delta}{\gamma + \delta + \alpha}} \right) \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)} \\ &\leq 16^{1-1/K'} \left(\frac{\gamma + \delta}{\gamma + \delta + \alpha} \right)^{1/K'} \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)} \\ &\leq 16^{1-1/K'} \left(\frac{\sin(\gamma + \delta)}{\sin(\gamma + \delta + \alpha)} \right)^{1/K'} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon} \right)^{1/K'} \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \leq \gamma$, we have $|z_1 - z_3| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z_2 - z_3|$. Thus

$$(2.20) \quad \sin(\alpha + \beta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sin \beta .$$

Using (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} = \frac{\sin(\alpha' + \gamma' + \delta')}{\sin(\gamma' + \delta')} \geq 32^{-1+1/K'} \left(\frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/K'} \frac{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} .$$

$$(V) \quad \pi - \varepsilon \leq \beta < \alpha + \beta .$$

Then, $\gamma + \delta < \alpha + \mu + \delta \leq \varepsilon$, and following (IV), we arrive at

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq 32^{-1+1/K'} \left(\frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/K'} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} .$$

Hence, by (2.12), (2.16), (2.18), (2.21) and (2.22), we see that

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \frac{1}{C_{K'}} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} ,$$

with

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{1}{C_{K'}} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{C_{K'}^1}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^2}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^3}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^4}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^5} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{C_{K'}^1}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^2}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^3}, \frac{1}{C_{K'}^4} \right\} .$$

where $C_{K'}^l$ are constants described by (2.12), (2.16), (2.18), (2.21) and (2.22), respectively, when $l = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

In a similar way

$$(2.25) \quad \frac{\sin \delta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \delta')} \geq \frac{1}{C_{K'}} \frac{\sin \delta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} .$$

Therefore, by (2.23), (2.25) and (2.7), we have the inequality

$$(2.26) \quad [f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)]^2 = \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \frac{\sin \delta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \delta')} \geq \frac{1}{C_{K'}^2} [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] = \frac{1}{2C_{K'}^2} ,$$

which holds for our quadruple $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in T$. Similar arguments give the inequality

$$(2.26') \quad [f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4), f(z_1)]^2 = \frac{\sin \gamma'}{\sin(\beta' + \gamma')} \frac{\sin \alpha'}{\sin(\beta' + \alpha')} \geq \frac{1}{C_{K'}^2} [z_2, z_3, z_4, z_1] = \frac{1}{C_{K'}^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2C_{K'}^2} ,$$

with the same points as in (2.26).

Let $F = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$, that is a sense-preserving homeomorphism of \mathbf{R} onto itself. Moreover, by (2.26) and (2.26'),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.27) \quad \frac{1}{\frac{F(a+t) - F(a)}{F(a) - F(a-t)} + 1} &= \frac{F(a) - F(a-t)}{F(a+t) - F(a-t)} = [\infty, F(a-t), F(a), F(a+t)]^2 \\
 &= [h \circ f(z_1), h \circ f(z_2), h \circ f(z_3), h \circ f(z_4)]^2 \\
 &= [f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)]^2 \geq \frac{1}{2C_{K'}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.27') \quad \frac{1}{\frac{F(a) - F(a-t)}{F(a+t) - F(a)} + 1} &= \frac{F(a+t) - F(a)}{F(a+t) - F(a-t)} = [F(a-t), F(a), F(a+t), \infty]^2 \\
 &= [f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4), f(z_1)]^2 \geq \frac{1}{2C_{K'}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.27) and (2.27'), we see that

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{1}{2C_{K'}^2 - 1} \leq \frac{F(a+t) - F(a)}{F(a) - F(a-t)} \leq 2C_{K'}^2 - 1,$$

hence $F \in Q_{\mathbf{R}}(2C_{K'}^2, -1)$. Now, by [Z3, Theorem 13], we see that there exists K , $1 \leq K < \infty$, such that $F \in A_{\mathbf{R}}(K)$ and $K \leq \chi(\nu(2C_{K'}^2, -1))$. Thus, for each ordered quadruple of distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.29) \quad [f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)]^2 &= [F \circ h(z_1), F \circ h(z_2), F \circ h(z_3), F \circ h(z_4)]^2 \\
 &\leq \Phi_K^2([h(z_1), h(z_2), h(z_3), h(z_4)]) = \Phi_K^2([z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4])
 \end{aligned}$$

and then $f \in A_T(K)$, for $1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$, $\rho_0 = (50\pi + 1)/(50\pi - 1)$.

Now let $\rho > \rho_0$. If $0 < \beta' < \pi/2$, then

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\beta'}{\alpha' + \beta'}.$$

If $\pi/2 \leq \beta' < \alpha' + \beta' < \pi$, then

$$(2.31) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq 1.$$

By applying (2.8) [Z3, Theorem 13], [Z2, Theorem 4] and the Wang-Hübner inequality (cf. [AVV1]), we see that there exists K' , $1 \leq K' < \nu(\rho)$, such that

$$(2.32) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\beta'}{\alpha' + \beta'} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \Phi_{1/K'}^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \right) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} 16^{1-K'} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^{K'}.$$

In what follows we consider four possibilities (I') - (IV').

$$(I') \quad 0 < \beta < \alpha + \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then

$$(2.33) \quad \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)};$$

$$(II') \quad 0 < \beta < \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \alpha + \beta < \pi.$$

Then, in view of $\alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$(2.34) \quad \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha} \geq \frac{4}{3\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)};$$

$$(III') \quad \frac{\pi}{4} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha + \beta < \pi.$$

Then, by (2.20),

$$(2.35) \quad \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \geq \frac{1}{8} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)};$$

$$(IV') \quad \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta < \alpha + \beta < \pi.$$

Then again, by (2.20),

$$(2.36) \quad \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \geq \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

Since

$$(2.37) \quad \frac{1}{8} = \min \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi}, \frac{4}{3\sqrt{2}\pi}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4} \right\}$$

then, by (2.32),

$$(2.38) \quad \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin(\alpha' + \beta')} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} 16^{1-K'} \left(\frac{1}{8} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} \right)^{K'}$$

In a similar way we can show that

$$(2.38') \quad \frac{\sin \delta'}{\sin(\delta' + \alpha')} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} 16^{1-K'} \left(\frac{1}{8} \frac{\sin \delta}{\sin(\delta + \alpha)} \right)^{K'}$$

Following our considerations presented by (2.26), (2.26'), (2.27), (2.27'), then by (2.28) with (2.38) and (2.28'), we see that $F \in A_{\overline{R}}(K)$, and $1 \leq K \leq \chi(\nu(M_{K'} - 1))$, where $M_{K'} = \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 4^{7K'-4}$.

Let us call our attention back to (2.24). For $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 = 1/5$ we have

$$\max \left\{ \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}, \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\sin \varepsilon \cos \varepsilon^2 - 4 \sin \varepsilon^2} \right\} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - 4\varepsilon / \cos \varepsilon} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - 4.1\varepsilon}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_{K'} &= \max \left\{ 64^{K'-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon} \right)^{K'} \frac{\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}, \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\sin \varepsilon} \frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon \cos \varepsilon^2 - 4 \sin \varepsilon^2}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\sin(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)}, 32^{1-1/K'} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon} \right)^{1/K'} \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)} \right\} \\ (2.39) &\leq \max \left\{ 64^{K'-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon} \right)^{K'} \frac{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}{\sin(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)} \right\}, \frac{\sin(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)}{\sin \varepsilon \cos \varepsilon^2 - 4 \sin \varepsilon^2} \\ &\leq 64^{K'-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon} \right)^{K'} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - 4\varepsilon / \cos \varepsilon} \leq 64^{K'-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \varepsilon} \right)^{K'} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - 4.1\varepsilon} \\ &\leq 64^{K'-1} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^2\right)^{K'}} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - 4.1\varepsilon} = \frac{64^{K'-1}}{\left(\frac{\pi}{3} \frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1}\right)^{K'}} \frac{\sqrt{\rho+1} + \sqrt{2\pi(\rho-1)}}{\sqrt{\rho+1} - 4.1 \sqrt{2\pi(\rho-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\chi_{K'}$ and $\nu_{K'}$ are increasing functions so, in view of (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain the estimate (2.1). This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [AVV1] Anderson, G. D., Vamanamurthy, M. K., Vuorinen, M., *Distortion functions for plane quasiconformal mappings*, Israel J. Math. 62, 1 (1988), 1-16.
- [AVV2] Anderson, G. D., Vamanamurthy, M. K., Vuorinen, M., *Inequalities for quasiconformal mappings in the plane and space*, Manuscript, 44 p.
- [HP] Hersch, J., Pfluger, A., *Generalisation du lemme de Schwarz et du principe de la mesure harmonique par les fonctions pseudo-analytiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. 243 (1957).
- [LV] Lehto, O., Virtanen, K. I., *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1973.
- [K1] Krzyż, J. G., *Quasircles and harmonic measure*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 12 (1987), 19-24.
- [K2] Krzyż, J. G., *Harmonic analysis and boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings*, ibid. 14 (1989), 225-242.
- [V] Vuorinen, M., *Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1988.
- [VV] Vamanamurthy, M. K., Vuorinen, M., *Functional inequalities for special functions in quasiconformal theory*, Manuscript, Febr. 5, 1991.
- [Z1] Zajac, J., *A new definition of quasisymmetric functions*, Mat. Vesnik 40 (1988), 361-365.

- [Z2] Zając, J., *Distortion function and quasisymmetric mappings*, to appear in Ann. Polon. Math.
- [Z3] Zając, J., *The distortion function Φ_K and quasihomographies*, submitted to Quasiconformal Space Mappings, A collection of surveys 1960–1990.
- [Z4] Zając, J., *The universal Teichmüller space and quasihomographies*, submitted to publication.

STRESZCZENIE

Celem pracy jest wykazanie związków pomiędzy funkcjami quasisymetrycznymi okręgu jednostkowego a nową klasą automorfizmów reprezentujących wartości brzegowe dowolnych automorfizmów quasikonforemnych kola jednostkowego, zwanych quasihomografiami.

(received April 29, 1991)

Biblioteka
UMCS
Lublin

ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA

Nakład 650 + 25 egz., ark. wyd. 6,2, ark. druk. 6,9. Oddano do powielenia w lipcu 1991 r., powielono w sierpniu 1991 r.

Zakład Poligrafii NOT w Lublinie nr zam. 227/91

1. A. Dernek Certain Classes of Meromorphic Functions
2. G. M. Dimkov , V. V. Starkov Le problème des coefficients dans une classe de fonctions localement univalentes
3. M. D. Grigorian , S. A. Ajunz Generalization of Legendre Polynomials
4. V. K. Jain On the Eneström–Kakeya Theorem III
5. W. Kaczor Measures of Noncompactness and an Existence Theorem for Differential Equations in Banach Spaces
6. A. G. Kartsatos Applications of Nonlinear Perturbation Theory to the Existence of Methods of Lines for Functional Evolutions in Reflexive Banach Spaces
7. J. G. Krzyż Some Remarks Concerning the Cauchy Operator on AD -regular Curves
8. A. Lecko , D. Partyka An Alternative Proof of a Result due to Douady and Earle
9. R. J. Libera , E. J. Zlotkiewicz Bounded Functions with Symmetric Normalization
10. M. Maksym , A. Zmurek The Structures on Certain Submanifolds of the Riemannian Manifold with a 3-structure
11. M. Maksym , A. Zmurek On a Decomposition of the Riemannian Manifold with a 3-structure
12. J. Miazga , A. Wesolowski An Extension of a Sufficient Condition for $|p|$ -valence of Analytic Functions
13. S. Ponnusamy Integrals of Certain n -valent Functions
14. S. Ponnusamy On Bazilevic Functions
15. S. Ponnusamy , O. P. Juneja Some Applications to Briot–Bouquet Differential Subordinations
16. M. Startek , D. Szynal A Note on the Sibley Type Metrics
17. P. G. Todorov On the Coefficients of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions
18. J. Waniurski On the Bloch–Landau Constant for Möbius Transforms of Convex Mappings
19. A. Wesolowski On Certain Extension of Epstein's Univalence Criterion

Biblioteka Uniwersytetu
MARIJ CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ
w Lublinie

4050|44

CZASOPISMA

1990

Adresse:

UNIWERSYTET MARIJ CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ
BIURO WYDAWNICTW

Plac Marij

Curie-Skłodowskiej 5

20-031 LUBLIN

POLOGNE