

M. AROLSKA, D. D. BAINOV

On Periodic Solutions of a Neutral Type Equation

O okresowych rozwiązaniach równania typu neutralnego

O периодических решениях уравнения нейтрального типа.

The aim of the present paper is to find sufficient conditions for the existence of a periodic solution of the nonlinear equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t-h), \dot{x}(t-h)) \quad (1)$$

where h is a constant deviation.

Problems connected with investigating periodic solutions for differential equations with a deviating argument have been considered by a number of authors, as, for example, in [1], [2], [3] and [4].

We will say that conditions (A) are satisfied if:

A1. The function $f(t, x, y, z)$ is periodic w.r.t. t with period $T > 0$, and has continuous first derivatives w.r.t. (x, y, z) and is continuous for all (t, x, y, z) from the four-dimensional space.

A2. There exists a constant $m > 0$ such that the following inequality is fulfilled:

$$\left| \int_0^T [f_x(t, \sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t), \sigma_3(t)) + f_y(t, \sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t), \sigma_3(t))] dt \right| \geq m \quad (2)$$

for arbitrary T -periodic functions $\sigma_1(t)$, $\sigma_2(t)$ and $\sigma_3(t)$.

A3. The functions $f_x(t, x, y, z)$, $f_y(t, x, y, z)$ and $f_z(t, x, y, z)$ satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} |f_x(t, x, y, z)| &\leq M, \quad |f_y(t, x, y, z)| \leq M, \\ |f_z(t, x, y, z)| &\leq 2M, \quad |f_x(t, x, y, z) + f_y(t, x, y, z)| \leq N \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where M, N are constants, for which the following inequality holds:

$$2M + \frac{MNT^2}{m} < 1 \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1. *Let conditions (A) be satisfied. Then, equation (1) has a T -periodic solution $x(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t)$, where*

$$x_n(t) = a_n + \varphi_n(t), \quad (5)$$

while the constants a_n and the functions $\varphi_n(t)$ are determined as follows:

$$\varphi_0(t) = 0, \quad -\infty < t < +\infty \quad (6)$$

$$\int_0^T f(t, a_0, a_0, 0) dt = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\varphi_n(t) = \int_0^t f(s, a_{n-1} + \varphi_{n-1}(s), a_{n-1} + \varphi_{n-1}(s-h), \dot{\varphi}_{n-1}(s-h)) ds \quad (8)$$

and

$$\int_0^T f(s, a_n + \varphi_n(s), a_n + \varphi_n(s-h), \dot{\varphi}_n(s-h)) ds = 0 \quad (9)$$

Proof. From the condition A1, (6), (8) and (9) it follows that all functions $\varphi_n(t)$ are T -periodic.

To prove that there exists a unique solution a_n of the equation (9) for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, consider the function

$$\Gamma_n(a) = \int_0^T f(s, a + \varphi_n(s), a + \varphi_n(s-h), \dot{\varphi}_n(s-h)) ds$$

Further, let us calculate

$$\Gamma'_n(a) = \int_0^T [f_x(s, a + \varphi_n(s), a + \varphi_n(s-h), \dot{\varphi}_n(s-h)) + f(s, a + \varphi_n(s), a + \varphi_n(s-h), \dot{\varphi}_n(s-h))] ds$$

From condition (2) it follows that $|\Gamma'_n(a)| \geq m > 0$. Assume for convenience that $\Gamma'_n(a) > 0$. Then, for $a > 0$, $\Gamma_n(a)$ satisfies the inequality $\Gamma_n(a) \geq ma + \Gamma_n(0)$, while for $a < 0$ it satisfies the inequality $\Gamma_n(a) \leq ma + \Gamma_n(0)$.

Hence obviously there exists a constant $R_n > 0$, such that $\Gamma_n(R_n) > 0$, $\Gamma_n(-R_n) < 0$ for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since $\Gamma_n(a)$ is a continuous monotone function, then there exists only one $a_n \in (-R_n, R_n)$, such that $\Gamma_n(a_n) = 0$. Thus, we have established that a_n and $\varphi_n(t)$, ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) are determined uniquely from the relations (6), (7), (8) and (9).

Now we will prove that the sequence $x_n(t)$ converges uniformly on the segment $[0, T]$.

Introduce the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(t) &= \varphi_n(t) - \varphi_{n-1}(t), \quad b_n = a_n - a_{n-1}, \\ \rho_n &= \|\psi_n(t)\| = \max_t |\psi_n(t)| + \max_t |\dot{\varphi}_n(t)| \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Estimate $|\psi_n(t)|$. Using (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(t) &= \int_0^t [f_x(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))(\psi_{n-1}(s) + b_{n-1}) + \\ &\quad + f_y(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))(\psi_{n-1}(s-h) + b_{n-1}) + \\ &\quad + f_z(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s-h)] ds \\ &= \int_0^t [f_x(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s) + f_y(s, \sigma_n(s), \\ &\quad \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s-h)] ds + b_{n-1} \int_0^t [f_x(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \\ &\quad \theta_n(s)) + f_y(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))] ds + \\ &\quad + \int_0^t f_z(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s-h) ds \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1} \int_0^T [f_x(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s)) + f_y(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))] ds \\ = \int_0^T [f_x(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s) + f_y(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s-h)] ds - \\ - \int_0^T f_z(s, \sigma_n(s), \tau_n(s), \theta_n(s))\psi_{n-1}(s-h) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

hence we could write (11) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n(t)| &= \left[1 - \frac{\int_0^t [f_x(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) + f_y(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)] ds}{\int_0^T [f_x(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) + f_y(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)] ds} \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t [f_x(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)\psi_{n-1}(s) + f_y(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)\psi_{n-1}(s-h) + \\ &\quad + f_z(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)\psi_{n-1}(s-h)] ds - \frac{\int_0^t [f_x(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) + f_y(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)] ds}{\int_0^T [f_x(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) + f_y(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)] ds} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^T [f_x(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)\psi_{n-1}(s) + f_y(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)\psi_{n-1}(s-h) + \\ &\quad + f_z(s, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n)\psi_{n-1}(s-h)] ds \leq \frac{2MN}{m} [(T-t)t + t(T-t)] \|\psi_{n-1}(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the maximum of the function $(T-t)t$ on the segment $[0, T]$ is equal to $T^2/4$, we obtain

$$|\psi_n(t)| \leq \frac{MNT^2}{m} \|\psi_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (13)$$

Estimate $|\psi_n(t)|$. From (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(t) = & f_x(t, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) \psi_{n-1}(t) + f_y(t, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) \psi_n(t-h) + \\ & + f_z(t, \sigma_n, \tau_n, \theta_n) \psi_{n-1}(t-h), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$|\psi_n(t)| \leq 2M \|\psi_{n-1}(t)\| \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14) follows the inequality

$$\varrho_n \leq \left(\frac{MNT^2}{m} + 2M \right) \varrho_{n-1} \quad (15)$$

From the estimation of (15) follows the uniform convergence of the T -periodic functions $\varphi_n(t)$.

To prove the convergence of the sequence a_n , note that from (12) one can easily obtain the inequality

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{2MT}{m} \varrho_n$$

From (4) and (15) it follows that the sequence a_n is convergent.

Set

$$a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n, \varphi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(t), x(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + \varphi_n(t))$$

One can see from (8) that $x(t)$ is a T -periodic solution of equation (1). Thus, the theorem is proved.

Remark 1. The theorem holds if condition (6) is replaced by: $\varphi_0(t) = \varphi(t)$, where $\varphi(t)$ is an arbitrary T -periodic and continuously-differentiable for $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ function.

Remark 2. $T = h$, the conditions (A) could be weakened, as follows: Conditions (3) and (4) are replaced by:

$$|f_x(t, x, y, z) + f_y(t, x, y, z)| \leq N, |f_z(t, x, y, z)| \leq N \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{(Nh)^2}{2m} + N < 1 \quad (4)$$

Remark 3. Every T -periodic solution of the equation (1) can be considered as the limit of the sequence of the type (5), where

$$a_0 = x(0), \varphi_0(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x(s-h), \dot{x}(s-h)) ds$$

while a_n and $\varphi_n(t)$ for $n \geq 1$ are determined by the relations (8) and (9).

Indeed, in this case we obtain

$$\varphi_n(t) = \varphi_0(t), a_n = a_0, (n = 1, 2, \dots), x_n(t) = x(t)$$

and the assumption is obvious.

Theorem 2. *Let the conditions (A) be satisfied. Then the T -periodic solution of equation (1) is unique.*

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a T -periodic solution of equation (1), defined by theorem 1, i.e.

$$x(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t)$$

where $x_n(t)$ are obtained from (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9).

By $\omega(t)$ denote an arbitrary T -periodic solution of equation (1). Determine the sequence $\omega_n(t) = \tilde{a}_n + \tilde{\varphi}(t)$ according to remark 3.

Consider the difference $\tilde{\varphi}_n(t) = \tilde{\varphi}_n - \varphi_n$. It is easy to obtain the estimation

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_n(t)| \leq \frac{MNT^2}{m} \|\tilde{\varphi}_{n-1}(t)\|$$

$$|\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_n(t)| \leq 2M \|\tilde{\varphi}_{n-1}(t)\|$$

whence

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_n(t)\| \leq \left(\frac{MNT^2}{m} + 2M \right)^n \|\tilde{\varphi}_0(t)\| \quad (17)$$

$$|\tilde{a}_n - a_n| \leq \frac{2MT}{m} \|\tilde{\varphi}_n(t)\| \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18) and using the condition (4), we get

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_n(t)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad |\tilde{a}_n - a_n| \rightarrow 0$$

$n \rightarrow \infty \qquad n \rightarrow \infty$

i.e.

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(t), \tilde{a} = a, x(t) = \omega(t)$$

Thus, the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] Халанай А., *Периодические решения линейных систем с запаздыванием*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 6, 1 (1961), 141-158.
- [2] Norkin, S. B., *On periodic solutions of a linear homogeneous differential equation of second order with retarded argument*, Mat. Sb. N. S., 45 (87) (1958), 71-104.
- [3] Родионов, А. М., *Периодические решения нелинейных дифференциальных уравнений с запаздыванием*, Trudy Sem. Teor. Differencial. Uravnenii i Otklon. Argumentom Univ. Družby Narodov Patrisa Lumumby, 2 (1963), 220-207.
- [4] Mikolajska, Z., *Une remarque sur la methode des approximation successive dans la recherche des solutions periodiques des equations differentielles á paramètre retardé*, Ann. Polon. Math., 24 (1970).

STRESZCZENIE

W pracy podano kilka warunków dostatecznych na istnienie i jednoznaczność rozwiązań okresowych dla równania typu neutralnego o stałym odchyleniu.

РЕЗЮМЕ

В работе доказано несколько достаточных условий о существовании и единственности периодических решений уравнений нейтрального типа с постоянным отклонением.