

Department of Mathematics
 Indian Institute of Technology

S. PONNUSAMY

Integrals of Certain n -valent Functions

Całki pewnych funkcji n -listnych

Abstract. Some applications of Briot-Bouquet differential subordination are obtained which improve and sharpen a number of results of Libera and others.

1. Introduction. Let $H(n)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) = z^n + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots$, n a positive integer which are regular in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let F and G be regular in U . Then the function F is subordinate to G , written $F < G$ or $F(z) < G(z)$, if G is univalent in U , $F(0) = G(0)$ and $F(U) \subset G(U)$. A function $f \in H(n)$ is said to be in $S_n^*(A, B)$ if

$$(1) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < n \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right), \quad (z \in U; -1 \leq B < 1 \text{ and } B < A),$$

and is said to be in $K_n(A, B)$ if

$$(2) \quad 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < n \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right), \quad (z \in U; -1 \leq B < 1 \text{ and } B < A).$$

We denote by $S_1^*(A, B) = S^*(A, B)$, $S^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = S^*(\alpha)$, $S_n^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = S_n^*(\alpha)$; $K_1(A, B) = K(A, B)$, $K(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = K(\alpha)$ and $K_n(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = K_n(\alpha)$ ($\alpha < 1$).

The function $h(z)$ regular in U , with $h'(0) \neq 0$, is convex (univalent) if and only if $\text{Re}\{1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}\} > 0$ in U .

Let β, γ, A and B be real numbers and suppose that $\beta > 0$, $\beta n + \gamma > 0$, $-1 \leq B < 1$ and $B < A \leq 1 + \gamma(1 - B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}$. After a little manipulation — from the more general result on Briot-Bouquet differential subordination [5], it is easy to deduce that the integral operator $I_{\beta, \gamma}^n$ defined by $g = I_{\beta, \gamma}^n[f]$, where

$$(3) \quad g(z) = \left[\frac{n\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f^\beta(t) dt \right]^{1/\beta}, \quad z \in U, f \in S_n^*(A, B)$$

maps $S_n^*(A, B)$ into $S_n^*(A, B)$, i.e., $I_{\beta, \gamma}^n : S_n^*(A, B) \rightarrow S_n^*(A, B)$ (Here each power takes principal value).

For given real numbers A, B with $-1 \leq B < 1$ and $B < A \leq 1 + \gamma(1-B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}$, we define the order of starlikeness of the class $I_{\beta, \gamma}^n[S_n^*(A, B)]$ by the largest number $\delta = \delta(n, A; \beta, \gamma)$ such that

$$I_{\beta, \gamma}^n[S_n^*(A, B)] \subset S_n^*(\delta).$$

Recently many of the classical results in univalent function theory have been improved and sharpened by the powerful technique of differential subordination, e.g. [1], [5], [8], [9], etc. Recall that a function $p(z)$ regular in U is said to satisfy Briot-Bouquet differential subordination if

$$(4) \quad p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} < h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (p(0) = h(0) = n)$$

for β and γ complex constants and $h(z)$, a convex (univalent) in U with $\operatorname{Re} [\beta h(z) + \gamma] > 0$ in U . The univalent function $q(z)$ is said to be a dominant of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination (4) if $p(z) < q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (4). If $\tilde{q}(z)$ is a dominant of (4) and $\tilde{q}(z) < q(z)$ for all other dominants $q(z)$ of (4), then $\tilde{q}(z)$ is said to be the best dominant.

In this paper we find $\delta(n, A, B; \beta, \gamma)$ for appropriate choices of A, B, β and γ , by using the sharp subordination result recently obtained in [5]. Our general result includes some particular ones obtained by several authors [4, 6, 7, 8]. Our result gives improve and sharp form of the recent result obtained in [2, 10].

2. Preliminaries.

Lemma 1. Let $n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $A, B, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\beta > 0$ and $n\beta + \gamma > 0$. Suppose that these constants satisfy

$$(5) \quad -1 \leq B < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B < A \leq 1 + \gamma(1-B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}.$$

Then the differential equation

$$(6) \quad q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = n \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right)$$

has a univalent solution given by

$$(7) \quad q(z) = \frac{1}{\beta Q(z)} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta},$$

where

$$(8) \quad Q(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1 + Bzt}{1 + Bz} \right)^{n\beta(A-B)/B} t^{n\beta + \gamma - 1} dt & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ \int_0^1 t^{n\beta + \gamma - 1} \exp(n\beta Az(t-1)) dt & \text{if } B = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(9) \quad q(z) = \frac{n\beta - \gamma Bz}{\beta(1 + Bz)} \quad \text{when } A = -\frac{(\gamma + 1)B}{n\beta}, \quad B \neq 0.$$

If $p(z)$ is regular in U and satisfies

$$(10) \quad p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} < n \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right),$$

then $p(z) < q(z) < n \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

More general form of this lemma may be found in [5].

Lemma 2. Let $\mu(t)$ be a positive measure on the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. Let $g(t, z)$ be a complex valued function defined on $U \times [0, 1]$, and integrable in t for each $z \in U$ and for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$, and suppose that $\operatorname{Re} \{g(t, z)\} > 0$ on U and $g(z) = \int g(t, z) d\mu(t)$. If, for fixed λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi$), $g(t, re^{i\lambda})$ is real for r real and $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{g(t, z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{g(t, re^{i\lambda})}$, for $|z| \leq r$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ then $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{g(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{g(re^{i\lambda})}$ for $|z| \leq r$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi$.

The Lemma 2 can be proved in a similar manner as that of Lemma 2 of Wilken and Feng [12]. So we omit its proof.

3. Main result.

Theorem. Let $\beta > 0$, $n\beta + \gamma > 0$ and consider the integral operator defined by (3).

(a) If $-1 \leq B < 1$ and $B < A \leq 1 + \gamma(1 - B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}$, then the order of n -valent starlikeness of the class $I_{\beta, \gamma}^n[S_n^*(A, B)]$ is given by

$$(11) \quad \delta(n, A, B; \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{n} \left[\inf_{|z| < 1} \operatorname{Re} q(z) \right].$$

(b) Moreover if $-1 \leq B < 0$, $B < A \leq \min\{1 + \gamma(1 - B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}, -(\gamma + 1)Bn^{-1}\beta^{-1}\}$ then for $f \in S_n^*(A, B)$, we have

$$(12) \quad \delta(n, A, B; \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{n} [q(-1)] = \frac{1}{n\beta} \left[\frac{n\beta + \gamma}{F(1, n\beta(\frac{B-A}{B}); n\beta + \gamma + 1; \frac{-B}{1-B})} - \gamma \right].$$

(c) Furthermore if $0 < B < 1$, $B < A \leq \min\{1 + \gamma(1 - B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}, (2n\beta + \gamma + 1)Bn^{-1}\beta^{-1}\}$ then for $f \in S_n^*(A, B)$, we have

$$(13) \quad \delta(n, A, B; \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{n} [q(1)] = \frac{1}{n\beta} \left[\frac{n\beta + \gamma}{F(1, n\beta(\frac{A-B}{B}); n\beta + \gamma + 1; \frac{B}{1+B})} - \gamma \right]$$

where q is given by (7) and $F(a, b; c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function. The results are all sharp.

Proof. Proceeding as in [5], we see that the condition $f \in S_n^*(A, B)$ ($-1 \leq B < 1$, $B < A \leq 1 + \gamma(1 - B)n^{-1}\beta^{-1}$) together with $f(0) = 0$ (n -times) implies that $f(z) \neq 0$

in $0 < |z| < 1$. Now the function p , defined by $p(z) = zg'(z)/g(z)$ is regular in U and from (3) it can be easily shown that

$$(14) \quad p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}, \quad z \in U.$$

Since $f \in S_n^*(A, B)$ is equivalent to $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < n \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)$, $z \in U$, we deduce that $p(z)$ satisfies the differential subordination (10) and hence, by Lemma 1, $p(z) < q(z)$ which implies (11).

Next we shall use the following well known formulae for the proof of (12), (13) and (14).

For a, b, c real numbers other than $0, -1, -2$ and $c > b > 0$

$$(15) \quad \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a, b; c; z),$$

$$(16) \quad F(a, b; c; z) = F(b, a; c; z),$$

$$(17) \quad F(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-1} F(a, c-b; c; z/(1-z)).$$

where $F(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \frac{a \cdot b}{1 \cdot c} z + \frac{a(a+1) \cdot b(b+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot c(c+1)} z^2 + \dots$ is the hypergeometric series, which holds for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (1, \infty)$.

Suppose $-1 \leq B < 0$, and $B < A < \min \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n\beta}, \frac{-(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta} \right\}$ and denote $a = n\beta \left(\frac{B-A}{B} \right)$, $b = n\beta + \gamma$ and $c = n\beta + \gamma + 1 = b + 1$. Since $c > b > 0$, from (8), by using (15), (16) and (17) we deduce

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(z) &= (1+Bz)^a \int_0^1 (1+Btz)^{-a} t^{b-1} dt = \\ &= (1+Bz)^a \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} [(1-Bz)^{-a} F(a, c-b; c; Bz/(1+Bz))] = \\ &= \frac{1}{n\beta + \gamma} [F(1, a; c; Bz/(1+Bz))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A < -\frac{(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta}$ implies $c > a$, by using (15), (18) yields,

$$(19) \quad Q(z) = \int_0^1 g(t, z) d\mu(t),$$

where

$$(20) \quad g(t, z) = \frac{1+Bz}{1+(1-t)Bz},$$

and

$$(21) \quad d\mu(t) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1} dt.$$

For $-1 \leq B < 0$, it may be noted that $\operatorname{Re} \{g(t, z)\} > 0$, for $|z| \leq r < 1$, $g(t, -r)$ is real for $0 \leq r < 1, t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{g(z, t)} \right\} \geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + (1-t)Bz}{1 + Bz} \right\} \geq \frac{1 - (1-t)Br}{1 - Br} = \frac{1}{g(t, -r)}$$

for $|z| \leq r < 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, by using Lemma 2 we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(-r)}, |z| \leq r < 1$, and by letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ we obtain

$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(-1)}, z \in U$. Thus by letting $A \rightarrow \left(-\frac{(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta}\right)^+$ for the case $A = -\frac{(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta}$, and using (7) we obtain (12).

To prove the third part we proceed as follows :

Suppose that $0 < B < 1$ with $B < A < \min \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n\beta}, \left(\frac{2n\beta + \gamma + 1}{n\beta}\right)B \right\}$ and if we set $a = n\beta \frac{(A-B)}{B}, b = n\beta + \gamma$ and $c = n\beta + \gamma + 1$, then $c > b > 0$ as well as $c > a > 0$. As in the second part

$$Q(z) = \int_0^1 g(t, z) d\mu(t),$$

where $g(t, z)$ and $d\mu(t)$ are respectively given by (20) and (21).

For $0 < B < 1$, it may be noted that $\operatorname{Re} \{g(t, z)\} > 0$ in $U, g(t, r)$ is real for $0 \leq r < 1, t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{g(t, z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1 + (1-t)Br}{1 + Br} = \frac{1}{g(t, r)}$$

for $|z| \leq r < 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore by using Lemma 2 (with $\lambda = 0$), we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(r)}, |z| \leq r < 1$ and by letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ we obtain

$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(1)}, z \in U$. In the case $A = \left(\frac{2n\beta + \gamma + 1}{n\beta}\right)B$, we obtain (13) by letting $A \rightarrow \left[\frac{(2n\beta + \gamma + 1)B}{n\beta}\right]^+$. This by (7) leads to (13). The sharpness follows from the best dominant property.

Remark. In the case of $\beta = 1$, we see that the method of proof yields the same differential equation namely

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + \gamma} = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)}, \quad z \in U$$

where $p(z) = 1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)}$. So an analogue problem for functions in $K_n(A, B)$ can be proved in a manner similar to that of the above theorem and the results are the same. We next give some particular cases of our results.

4. Particular cases.

i. Taking $\beta - 1$, consider the integral transform

$$I_{1,\gamma}^n[f(z)] = \frac{1+\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt, \quad \gamma > -n,$$

then from the above theorem and the above remark, we have the following : if $-1 \leq B < 0$ with $B < A \leq \min\{1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n}, \frac{-(\gamma+1)}{n} B\}$, we have

$$(22) \quad I_{1,\gamma}^n[S_n^*(A, B)] \subset S_n^*(\rho_1) ; \quad I_{1,\gamma}^n[K_n(A, B)] \subset K_n(\rho_1).$$

Furthermore if $0 < B < 1$ with $B < A \leq \min\{1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n}, (\frac{2n+\gamma+1}{n})B\}$, we have

$$(23) \quad I_{1,\gamma}^n[S_n^*(A, B)] \subset S_n^*(\rho_2) ; \quad I_{1,\gamma}^n[K_n(A, B)] \subset K_n(\rho_2).$$

where $\rho_1 = \delta(n, A, B; 1, \gamma)$ and $\rho_2 = \delta(n, A, B; 1, \gamma)$ obtained respectively from (12) and (13).

ii. For $-1 \leq B < 0$, $A = -B$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, with $n = 1$ or 2 , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,1}^n[S_n^*(-B, B)] &\subset S_n^*(\delta(n, -B, B; 1, 1)) ; \\ I_{1,1}^n[K_n(-B, B)] &\subset K_n(\delta(n, -B, B; 1, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(n, -B, B; 1, 1) = \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n+1}{F(1, 2n; n+2; 1/(1-B))} - 1 \right].$$

For instance $n = 1$, it follows that if $f \in S^*(-B, B)$ or $K(-B, B)$ with $-1 \leq B < 0$, then the Libera operator [3]

$$(24) \quad I_{1,1}^1[f(z)] = \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt$$

is in $S^*(\delta(1, -B, B; 1, 1))$ or $K(\delta(1, -B, B; 1, 1))$ respectively, where

$$\delta(1, -B, B; 1, 1) = \frac{2}{F(1, 2; 3; -B/(1-B))} - 1.$$

Here $\delta(1, 1, -1; 1, 1) = \frac{1}{2(2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 - 1)} - 1$ is the order of starlikeness (or convexity resp.) of the class $I_{1,1}^1[S^*(0)]$ (or $I_{1,1}^1(K(0))$ resp.).

Similar for $n = 2$, it follows that if $f \in S_2^*(-B, B)$ (or $K_2(-B, B)$ resp.) with $-1 \leq B < 0$, then $I_{1,1}^2[f(z)] = \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt$ is in $S_2^*\left(\frac{1+(B/2)}{1-B}\right)$ (or $K_2\left(\frac{1+(B/2)}{1-B}\right)$ resp.). In particular

$$I_{1,1}^2[S_2^*(0)] \subset S_2^*\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) ; I_{1,1}^2[K_2(0)] \subset K_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right).$$

For $0 < B < 1$ with $B < A \leq \min\{2 - B, 4B\}$, it follows that if $f \in S^*(A, B)$ (or $K(A, B)$ resp.) then the Libera operator $I_{1,1}^n[f(z)]$ defined by (24) is in $S^*(\delta(1, A, B; 1, 1))$ (or $K(\delta(1, A, B; 1, 1))$ resp.), where $\delta(1, A, B; 1, 1)$ is obtained from (13).

iii. Taking $B = -1$ and $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ with $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1)$, and $\alpha_0 = \max\left\{\frac{-\gamma}{n\beta}, \frac{n\beta - \gamma - 1}{2n\beta}\right\}$ then we have

$$(25) \quad I_{\beta,\gamma}^n[S_n^*(\alpha)] \subset S_n^*(\delta(n, 1 - 2\alpha, -1; \beta; \gamma)),$$

where $\delta(n, 1 - 2\alpha, -1; \beta; \gamma)$ is obtained from (12). For $n = 1$ this is due to Mocanu et al. [8].

Substituting $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = n - 1$ in (25) and using the remark, we see that if $f \in S_n^*(\alpha)$ or $K_n(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), then

$$I_{1,n-1}^n[f(z)] = \frac{2n-1}{z^{n-1}} \int_0^z t^{n-2} f(t) dt$$

belongs to $S_n^*(\delta(n, 1 - 2\alpha, -1; 1, n - 1))$ or $K_n(\delta(n, 1 - 2\alpha, -1; 1, n - 1))$ respectively. Here

$$\delta(n, 1 - 2\alpha, -1; 1, n - 1) = \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{2n-1}{F(1, n(1-\alpha), 2n, 1/2)} - (n-1) \right].$$

This for $n = 1$ reduces to a result of MacGregor [4].

iv. Let $\beta > 0, n\beta + \gamma + B \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq B < 0$. In this case

$$\min\left\{1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n\beta}, \frac{-(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta}\right\} = \frac{-(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta},$$

and if we take $B < A = \frac{-(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta}$, we get

$$g(z) = \frac{n\beta - \gamma Bz}{\beta(1 + Bz)}, \quad z \in U.$$

Therefore for $B = -1, \operatorname{Re} g(z) > \frac{n\beta - \gamma}{2\beta}$. This shows that if $\beta n \geq \gamma \geq 1 - n\beta$, the

integral operator $I_{\beta,\gamma}^n$ maps $S_n^*\left(\frac{n\beta - \gamma - 1}{2n\beta}\right)$ into $S_n^*\left(\frac{n\beta - \gamma}{2\beta}\right)$.

For instance

$$I_{1,1}^n[S_n^*(-\frac{1}{2})] \subset S_n^*(0);$$

v. Let $\beta > 0$ and $n\beta + \gamma + B \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq B < 0$. In this case $\min\left\{1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n\beta}, \frac{-(\gamma+1)B}{n\beta}\right\} = 1 + \frac{\gamma(1-B)}{n\beta}$ and so we have for $B = -1$ (with $n\beta + \gamma \leq 1$ and $\beta > 0$),

$$\delta\left(n, 1 - \frac{2\gamma}{n\beta}, -1; \beta, \gamma\right) = \frac{1}{n\beta} \left[\frac{n\beta + \gamma}{F\left(1, 2(n\beta + \gamma); n\beta + \gamma + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right)} - \gamma \right].$$

Using the wellknown identity [12]

$$F\left(a, b; \frac{a+b+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)},$$

we find that

$$\delta\left(n, 1 - \frac{2\gamma}{n\beta}, -1; \beta, \gamma\right) = \frac{1}{n\beta} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n\beta + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(n\beta + \gamma)} - \gamma \right].$$

If in the last formula, taking $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$\delta\left(n, 1, -1; \frac{1}{\alpha}, 0\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha} + 1\right)}$$

for $\alpha \geq n$. This shows that if $g \in H(n)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

for $\alpha \geq n$, then $g \in S_n^*(\delta(n, 1, -1; 1/\alpha, 0))$.

Acknowledgements. The author is deeply grateful to Prof. O.P. Juneja, for his encouragement, advice and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eenigenburg, P., Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T., Reade, M. O., *On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination*, General Inequalities, 3, International Series of Numerical Mathematics Vol. 64, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1983, 339-348.
- [2] Kumar, V., Shukla S. L., *On p -valent starlike functions with reference to the Bernardi integral operator*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 30 (1984), 37-43.
- [3] Libera R. J., *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1965), 755-758.
- [4] MacGregor T. H., *A subordination for convex functions of order α* , J. London Math. Soc., 9 (1975), 530-538.
- [5] Miller, S. S., Mocanu P. T., *Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential equation*, J. Differential Equations 56 (1985), 297-309.

- [6] Miller, S. S., Mocanu P. T., Reade, M. O., *The order of starlikeness of alpha-convex functions*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 20 (43), (1978), 25-30.
- [7] Mocanu, P. T., Reade M. O., Ripeanu D., *The order of starlikeness of Libera integral operator*, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, 19 (42), (1977), 67-73.
- [8] Mocanu, P. T., Ripeanu, D., Serb, I., *The Order of Starlikeness of Certain Integral Operators*, *Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1013*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [9] Ponnusamy, S., Juneja, O. P., *Some applications to Briot-Bouquet differential subordination* (submitted).
- [10] Ruscheweyh, S., Singh, V., *On a Briot-Bouquet equation related to univalent functions*, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures. Appl.* 24 (1979), 285-290.
- [11] Whittaker, E. T., Watson, G. N., *A course of modern analysis*, 4th edition (reprinted), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927.
- [12] Wilken, D. R., Feng, J., *A remark on convex and starlike functions*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 21 (1980), 287-280.

STRESZCZENIE

Przy pomocy podporządkowania różniczkowego Briota-Bouqueta poprawia się i zaostrza liczne wyniki Libery i innych.

