

Department of Mathematics  
University of South Florida, Tampa

A. G. KARTSATOS

**Applications of Nonlinear Perturbation Theory  
to the Existence of Methods of Lines for Functional Evolutions  
in Reflexive Banach Spaces**

Wykorzystanie nieliniowej teorii perturbacji  
do możliwości stosowania metody łamanych dla równań ewolucji  
w refleksywnych przestrzeniach Banacha

**Abstract.** Nonlinear perturbation theory is applied to the existence of a method of lines associated with the functional evolution problem :

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} x' + A(t)x &= G(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ x_0 &= \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

The method satisfies an equation of the type :

$$(**) \quad A(t_{n,j-1})z_{nj} + (z_{nj} - z_{n,j-1})/h = G(t_{n,j-1}, (\bar{z}_{nj})_{t_{n,j-1}}).$$

The underlying space  $X$  is a real Banach space with uniformly convex dual space. The operators  $A(t)u$  are mainly  $m$ -accretive in  $u$  while  $G(t, f)$  is at least Lipschitz continuous in  $f$ . Here  $f$  lies in a suitable function space over the delay interval  $[-r, 0]$ .

Recent results are improved and/or extended. The results are new even in the ordinary case ( $G(t, x_t) \equiv G(t, x(t))$ ) and can be effectively used in the numerical treatment of (\*). It is nowhere assumed that  $X$  is a  $(\pi)_1$  space or that  $G(t, f)$  can be extended to a global Lipschitzian with respect to  $f$ .

**1. Introduction - preliminaries.** In what follows, the symbol  $X$  denotes a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and dual space  $X^*$ . It is always assumed that  $X^*$  is uniformly convex. The duality mapping of  $X$  is denoted by  $J$ . This mapping maps  $X$  into  $X^*$ , it is positively homogeneous of degree 1 and such that

$$\langle x, Jx \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|Jx\|^2.$$

Here,  $\langle x, f \rangle$  denotes the value of the functional  $f \in X^*$  at  $x \in X$ . An operator  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  is "accretive" if

$$\langle Ax - Ay, J(x - y) \rangle \geq 0, \quad x, y \in D(A).$$

An accretive operator  $A$  is " $m$ -accretive" if  $R(A + \lambda I) = X$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ . For an  $m$ -accretive operator, the Yosida approximants  $J_n : X \rightarrow D(A)$ ,  $A_n : X \rightarrow X$  are defined by

$$J_n x = (I + (1/n)A)^{-1} x, \quad A_n x = A J_n x,$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity operator. An accretive operator is called "strongly accretive" if there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha \|x - y\|^2, \quad x, y \in D(A).$$

An operator  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  is "compact" if it maps bounded subsets of its domain into relatively compact subsets of  $X$ . It is called "bounded" if it maps bounded subsets of its domain into bounded subsets of  $X$ . It is called "demicontinuous" if  $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x \in D(A)$  imply that  $Ax_n \rightarrow Ax$ . The symbol " $\rightarrow$ " (" $\rightharpoonup$ ") denotes strong (weak) convergence and the symbols  $R, R_+$  denote the real line and the half line  $[0, \infty)$ , respectively. A real Banach space  $X$  is called a " $(\pi)_1$  space" if there exists a sequence  $\{P_n\}$  of linear projections, each of norm 1, with finite dimensional range  $P_n X = X_n$  such that  $P_n x \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and each subspace  $X_n$  has dimension  $n$  and is contained in  $X_{n+1}$ .

We denote by  $PC([-r, 0], Y)$  the space of all piecewise continuous functions  $f : [-r, 0] \rightarrow Y$  associated with the sup-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . For a function  $u : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$  we set  $u_t(s) = u(t + s)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s \in [-r, 0]$ . In this paper we study the equation

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} x' + A(t)x &= G(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ x_0 &= \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

We assume mainly that for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $A(t)$  is a (possibly nonlinear)  $m$ -accretive operator with fixed domain  $D \subset X$ . We also assume that  $G : [0, T] \times PC([-r, 0], \overline{D_0}) \rightarrow X$ , where  $D_0$  equals either the ball  $B_r(0) = \{x \in X; \|x\| < r\}$  or  $D$ , is Lipschitz continuous in its second variable:

$$\|G(t, \psi_1) - G(t, \psi_2)\| \leq b \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_\infty$$

for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{D_0})$ . The function  $\Phi$  in  $(*)$  is a given function in  $C([-r, 0], \overline{D_0})$ .

Now, consider, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , a partition  $\{t_{nj}\}$  of the interval  $[0, T]$  with  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $t_{n0} = 0$  and  $t_{nn} = T$ . Moreover, let  $h = T/n$ ,  $t_{nj} = jh$ . Also, let  $z_{n0} = \Phi(0) \in D$ , and if  $\chi_L$  denotes the characteristic function of the interval  $L \subset R$ , set

$$f_1(x)(t) = \chi_{[-r, 0]}(t)\Phi(t) + \chi_{(0, T]}(t)x,$$

$(t, x) \in [-r, T] \times X$ , and

$$F_1(t)x = G(t, (f_1(x))_t), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \overline{D_0}.$$

The function  $x \rightarrow G(t, (f_1(x))_t)$  is a Lipschitzian on  $\overline{D_0}$  with Lipschitz constant  $b$ . At this point, assume that, for each  $n$ , the equation

$$(1) \quad (A(t_{n0}) - F_1(t_{n0}) + (1/h)I)x = z_{n0}/h$$

has a solution  $z_{n1} \in \overline{D_0}$ . Then we let

$$\bar{z}_{n1}(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t) & , t \in [-r, 0] , \\ z_{n1} & , t \in (0, T] . \end{cases}$$

It should be noted that  $\bar{z}_{n1}(t) \in \overline{D_0}$  for all  $t \in [-r, T]$ . Similarly, one defines for each  $n$  and each  $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$  the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(x)(t) &= \chi_{[-r, t_{n,j-1}]}(t) \bar{z}_{n,j-1}(t) + \chi_{(t_{n,j-1}, T]}(t) x , \\ F_j(t)x &= G(t, (f_j(x))_t) , \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The function  $F_j(t)x$  is Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $x$  on  $\overline{D_0}$  with Lipschitz constant  $b$ . Calling  $z_{nj} \in \overline{D_0}$  a solution of the equation

$$(2) \quad (A(t_{n,j-1}) - F_j(t_{n,j-1}) + (1/h)I)x = z_{n,j-1}/h ,$$

we have actually constructed the following double sequence :

$$\bar{z}_{nj}(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t) & , t \in [-r, 0] , \\ z_{n1} & , t \in (0, t_{n1}] , \\ \vdots & \\ z_{n,j-1} & , t \in (t_{n,j-2}, t_{n,j-1}] , \\ z_{nj} & , t \in (t_{n,j-1}, T] , \end{cases}$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We call this sequence  $\{\bar{z}_{nj}(t)\}$  or any such sequence valid for all large  $n$ , a "method of lines" for the problem (\*), and observe that, for all the indices  $n, j$  we have  $\bar{z}_{nj}(t) \in \overline{D_0}$ ,  $t \in [-r, T]$ . Moreover,

$$A(t_{n,j-1})z_{nj} + (z_{nj} - z_{n,j-1})/h = G(t_{n,j-1}, (\bar{z}_{nj})_{t_{n,j-1}}) .$$

If  $\overline{D_0}$  is a convex set, then the Rothe functions

$$z^n(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t) & , t \in [-r, 0] , \\ z_{n,j-1} + (t - t_{n,j-1})(z_{nj} - z_{n,j-1})/h & , t \in [t_{n,j-1}, t_{nj}] \end{cases}$$

are also lying inside  $\overline{D_0}$ . In fact, if  $t \in [t_{n,j-1}, t_{nj}]$  we have

$$z^n(t) = [1 - (t - t_{n,j-1})/h]z_{n,j-1} + [(t - t_{n,j-1})/h]z_{nj} ,$$

which lies on the line segment joining the points  $z_{nj} \in \overline{D_0}$ ,  $z_{n,j-1} \in \overline{D_0}$ .

This method was used by the author and Parrott [8] in order to obtain solutions to problems of the type (\*) under the assumption that  $G$  is a global Lipschitzian in its second variable ( $D_0 = X$ ). It was shown however in [7] that the method actually converges as in [8] if  $D_0 = B_{\mathcal{F}}(0)$ , for some  $\mathcal{F}$ , the space  $X$  is a  $(\pi)_1$  space,

$$\langle A(t)x, Jx \rangle \geq a\|x\|^2 , \quad t \in [0, T] , \quad x \in \partial B_{\mathcal{F}/2} ,$$

for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $G(t, \bar{0}) \equiv 0$  ( $\bar{0}$  is the zero function in  $C([-r, 0], B_{\bar{r}})$ ) and  $b$  (the Lipschitz constant of  $G$  with respect to its second variable) lies in  $(0, \alpha)$ . Of course, we are only mentioning here the assumptions in [7], [8] on the second variable of  $G$ .

In this paper we show, among other results with  $D_0 = B_{\bar{r}}(0)$ , that Theorem 2 of [7] can actually be proven in spaces  $X$  that are not necessarily of  $(\pi)_1$  type. We also show that under additional assumptions on  $A$  and/or  $G$  we may assume that  $D_0 = D(A)$ . The reader should have in mind that we are only assuming conditions on  $A$ ,  $G$  that ensure the solvability of equations of the type (2), and thus guarantee the existence of a method of lines  $\{z_{n,j}(t)\}$ . This method will converge if we assume, in addition, the rest of the conditions on  $A$  and the first variable of  $G$  in the various results of [7], [8]. We can also apply these considerations to the Kato approximants [6] and the Galerkin approximants [5].

These results can be also applied (and the methods become simpler) to ordinary evolutions where  $G(t, x_t)$  is actually replaced by  $G(t, x)$ . For such results the reader is referred to Kartsatos and Zigler [10].

For the general theory of accretive operators, we refer the reader to the books of Barbu [1], Browder [2], Lakshmikantham and Leela [11] and Martin [12].

**2. Results for the space  $PC([-r, 0], \overline{B_r(0)})$ .** The following conditions will be needed in the sequel.

(A.1) For each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $A(t)u$  is  $m$ -accretive in  $u$  with domain  $D(A)$  independent of  $t$ .

(G.1) For each  $t \in [0, T]$  the function  $G : [0, T] \times PC([-r, 0], \overline{B_r(0)}) \rightarrow X$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|G(t, \psi_1) - G(t, \psi_2)\| \leq b \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\infty}$$

with Lipschitz constant  $b > 0$  and  $\Phi$  is a fixed function in  $C([-r, 0], \overline{B_r(0)})$  with  $\Phi(0) \in D(A)$ .

(G.2) Condition (G.1) holds with  $B_{\bar{r}}(0)$  replaced by  $D(A)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that conditions (A.1), (G.1) hold. Assume, further, that for every function  $\psi \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{B_r(0)})$  and every  $x \in D(A)$  with  $\|x\| > \bar{r}$  we have*

$$(3) \quad (A(t)x - G(t, \psi), Jx) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

*Then there exists a method of lines for (3).*

**Proof.** Using the  $m$ -accretiveness of the operator  $A(t_{n0})$ , for a fixed  $n$ , we obtain that the equation (1) is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad z = [A(t_{n0}) + (1/h)I]^{-1} [F_1(t_{n0})z + z_{n0}/h],$$

which can be written as  $z = Sz$ . We first note that  $F_1(t_{n0})z$  is Lipschitzian in  $z$  with constant  $b$  on the set  $\overline{B_r(0)}$ . We observe next that the mapping  $z \rightarrow [A(t_{n0}) + (1/h)I]^{-1}z$  is also Lipschitz continuous with constant  $h$ . Consequently,

$S : \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)} \rightarrow X$  with  $\|Sx - Sy\| \leq bh\|x - y\|$  for every  $x, y \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . In order to apply the contraction mapping principle, we assume that  $n$  is so large that  $bh < 1$  and we show that  $S$  maps  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$  into itself. To this end, let  $x \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$  be given and let  $u = Sx$ . Then we have

$$A(t_{n0})u + (1/h)u - F_1(t_{n0})x - z_{n0}/h = 0.$$

Recall that  $z_{n0} = \Phi(0) \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . Taking "inner products" above with  $u$  and assuming that  $\|u\| > \bar{r}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle A(t_{n0})u - F_1(t_{n0})x, Ju \rangle + (1/h)\langle u - z_{n0}, Ju \rangle \geq \\ &\geq (1/h)(\langle u, Ju \rangle - \langle z_{n0}, Ju \rangle) \geq (1/h)(\|u\|^2 - \|z_{n0}\| \|u\|) \geq (1/h)(\|u\| - \bar{r})\|u\| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $F_1(t_{n0})x = G(t_{n0}, (f_1(x))_{t_{n0}})$  with  $\|(f_1(x))_{t_{n0}}\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{r}$ . It follows that  $Sx \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . The rest of the proof is a repetition of the above argument. It is therefore omitted.

The following homotopy result can be found in the author's paper [4].

**Lemma A.** Let  $U \subset X$  be open and let  $H : [0, 1] \times \overline{U} \rightarrow X$  be such that

- i) For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H(t, \cdot)$  is demicontinuous and strongly accretive;
- ii)  $H(t, x)$  is continuous in  $t$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{U}$ ;
- iii)  $H(t, x) \neq 0$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x \in \partial U$ ;
- iv) There exists  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $\|H(0, x_0)\| \leq \|H(0, x)\|$  for every  $x \in \partial U$ .

Then  $H(1, \cdot)$  has a unique zero in  $\overline{U}$ .

In order to apply the above theorem to the present setting, we need the following definition: a set  $U \subset X$  is called "absorbing" if  $u \in U$  implies  $tu \in U$  for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let the conditions (A.1), (G.1) be satisfied and assume that  $D(A)$  is absorbing. Then if (3) holds for every  $x \in D(A)$  with  $\|x\| = \bar{r}$ , there exists a method of lines for the equation (\*).

**Proof.** We let  $U = B_{\bar{r}}(0)$  in Lemma A and  $H(t, x) = x - tSx$ , where  $S$  is as in the proof of Theorem 1. It is easy to see that

$$\langle H(t, x) - H(t, y), J(x - y) \rangle \geq (1 - bh)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ , where  $n$  is chosen so large that  $bh < 1$ . Thus i) of Lemma A is satisfied. Now, let  $t_0, t_1 \in [0, 1]$  be given. Also, let  $K$  be a bound for the mapping  $S$  on the ball  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . This bound exists by the fact that  $S$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . Then we have

$$\|H(t_1, x) - H(t_0, x)\| \leq K|t_1 - t_0|, \quad x \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)},$$

which shows that ii) of Lemma A holds. Since  $H(0, 0) = 0$  and  $H(0, x) = x$ , condition iv) of Lemma A holds with  $x_0 = 0$ . To show iii) of that lemma, assume that  $H(t, x_t) = 0$  for some  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x_t \in \partial B_{\bar{r}}(0)$ . Then we have

$$A(t_{n0})(x_t/t) + (1/h)(x_t/t) = F_1(t_{n0})x_t + z_{n0}/h,$$

which, evaluating  $Jx_t$ , implies

$$(5) \quad 0 = \langle A(t_{n_0})(x_t/t), Jx_t \rangle + (1/(ht))\|x_t\|^2 - \langle F_1(t_{n_0})x_t, Jx_t \rangle - \langle z_{n_0}/h, Jx_t \rangle > \\ > \langle A(t_{n_0})(x_t/t), Jx_t \rangle - \langle F_1(t_{n_0})x_t, Jx_t \rangle$$

because

$$(1/(ht))\|x_t\|^2 - \langle z_{n_0}/h, Jx_t \rangle \geq (1/(ht))\|x_t\|^2 - (1/h)\|z_{n_0}\| \|x_t\| \geq \\ \geq (1/(ht))\|x_t\|^2 - (1/h)\|x_t\|^2 > 0.$$

Since  $x_t/t \in D(A)$  and  $D(A)$  is absorbing,  $t(x_t/t) = x_t \in D(A)$ . Thus,

$$\langle A(t_{n_0})(x_t/t), Jx_t \rangle - \langle F_1(t_{n_0})x_t, Jx_t \rangle = \\ = [t/(1-t)]\langle A(t_{n_0})(x_t/t) - A(t_{n_0})x_t, J((1-t)/t)x_t \rangle + \\ + \langle A(t_{n_0})x_t - F_1(t_{n_0})x_t, Jx_t \rangle \geq 0,$$

i.e., a contradiction of (5). Consequently,  $H(1, x) = x - Sx = 0$  for some  $x \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . The rest of the proof, which follows as above, is omitted.

If the operator  $A(t)$  is demicontinuous for each  $t \in [0, T]$  and bounded on  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ , then we can actually work with the original equation (2). This is the content of Theorem 3 below.

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that (G.1) is satisfied with  $A(t)u$  just accretive in  $u$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Further, assume that  $D(A) = D(A(t))$  is independent of  $t$ ,  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)} \subset D(A)$  and (3) holds for  $\|x\| = \bar{r}$ . Then if  $A(t)$  is bounded and demicontinuous on  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there exists a method of lines for (\*).*

**Proof.** This time we look at the homotopy  $H(t, x) = (1-t)x + tSx$ , where  $Sx = (A(t_{n_0}) - F_1(t_{n_0}) + (1/h)I)x - z_{n_0}/h$ . Assuming again that  $n$  is so large that  $bh < 1$ , we see easily that

$$\langle H(t, x) - H(t, y), J(x - y) \rangle \geq (1-t)\|x - y\|^2 + t((1/h) - b)\|x - y\|^2$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  and every  $x, y \in \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . Thus  $H(t, x)$  is coercive in  $x$  on  $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . The rest of the proof follows as in the Theorem 2 except the argument concerning  $x_t/t$  which is not needed here.

This theorem is of particular importance in view of the fact that one may consider the Yosida approximants  $A_m(t_{n, j-1}) = A(t_{n, j-1})(I + (1/m)A(t_{n, j-1}))^{-1}$ , instead of  $A(t_{n, j-1})$  itself, in the equation (2), and then obtain a solution of (2) via a limiting process. This was done in [7, Th.2] under the assumption that  $X$  is a  $(\pi)_1$  space. The following result eliminates that assumption.

**Theorem 4.** *Let the conditions (A.1), (G.1) be satisfied. Assume also that there exists a constant  $a > 0$  such that  $\langle A(t)x, Jx \rangle \geq a\|x\|^2$  for all  $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let the Lipschitz constant  $b$  of  $G$  lie in  $(0, a)$  and  $G(t, \bar{0}) \equiv 0$ , where  $\bar{0}$*

denotes the zero function in  $PC([-r, 0], \overline{B_F(0)})$ . Then (\*) possesses a method of lines provided that  $0 \in D(A)$  and  $A(t)0 \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** It was shown in [7, Th.2] that given  $\bar{b} \in (b, a)$  and  $m$  sufficiently large and independent of  $t$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \langle A_m(t)u - G(t, \psi), Ju \rangle > 0, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

for all  $u \in \partial B_F(0)$ ,  $\psi \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{B_F(0)})$ . We sketch this proof here because  $\partial B_F(0)$  should be replaced in [7, Th.2] by  $\overline{B_F(0)}$  and the assumption on  $A(t)0$  was omitted there. Whenever  $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_F(0)}$  we have

$$\langle (I + (1/m)A(t))x, Jx \rangle \geq [1 + (a/m)]\|x\|^2.$$

If we set  $u = (I + (1/m)A(t))x$ , we can see easily that

$$\|J_m(t)u\| \leq [1 + (a/m)]^{-1}\|u\| \quad (J_m(t) = [I + (1/m)A(t)]^{-1}).$$

Since the operator  $I + (1/m)A(t)$  is surjective, given  $u \in \partial B_F(0)$  there exists  $x \in D(A)$  with  $x + (1/m)A(t)x = u$ . Taking the values of  $Jx$  on this equality we obtain that  $\|x\| \leq \|u\|$ . Thus  $x \in \overline{B_F(0)}$ . It follows that (6) holds for every  $u \in \partial B_F(0)$ . Following the proof in the above reference, we obtain now that

$$\langle A_m(t)u, Ju \rangle \geq m[1 - (1 + (a/m))^{-1}]\|u\|^2,$$

which, for  $\bar{b} \in (b, a)$ ,  $u \in \partial B_F(0)$  and all large  $m$ , gives

$$(7) \quad \langle A_m(t)u - G(t, \psi), Ju \rangle \geq \bar{b}r^2 - br^2 > 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \psi \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{B_F(0)})$$

We now consider the equation

$$(8) \quad (A_m(t_{n0}) - F_1(t_{n0}) + (1/h)I)x - z_{n0}/h = 0$$

for all  $m$  for which (7) holds and for  $n$  large enough so that  $bh < 1$ . Since, for each such  $m$ , the operator  $A_m(t_{n0})$  is continuous and bounded on  $\overline{B_F(0)}$ , an application of Lemma A to the mapping  $H(t, x) = (1-t)x + tSx$  with  $Sx$  equal to the first term of (8) shows the existence of a solution  $x_m$  of (8) for all large  $m$ .

At this point the proof follows as the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 3.6 of Barbu [1]. We let  $A_m = A_m(t_{n0})$ ,  $F = (1/h)I - F_1(t_{n0})$ ,  $y = z_{n0}/h$ . We have  $A_mx_m + Fx_m = y$ . We note that  $A_m$  is  $m$ -accretive and  $F$  is coercive with constant  $c = (1 - bh)/h$ . We have

$$0 = \langle A_mx_m - A_qx_q, J(x_m - x_q) \rangle + \langle Fx_m - Fx_q, J(x_m - x_q) \rangle \geq \\ \geq \langle A_mx_m - A_qx_q, J(x_m - x_q) \rangle + c\|x_m - x_q\|^2 = \\ = \langle A_mx_m - A_qx_q, J(J_mx_m - J_qx_q) \rangle + \\ + \langle A_mx_m - A_qx_q, J(x_m - x_q) - J(J_mx_m - J_qx_q) \rangle + c\|x_m - x_q\|^2,$$

where  $J_m = (I + (1/m)A(t_{n_0}))^{-1}$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m - x_q\|^2 &\leq -(1/c)(A_m x_m - A_q x_q, J(x_m - x_q) - J(J_m x_m - J_q x_q)) \leq \\ &\leq (1/c) \|A_m x_m - A_q x_q\| \|J(x_m - x_q) - J(J_m x_m - J_q x_q)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the boundedness of  $\{\|F x_m\|\}$  implies the boundedness of  $\{\|A_m x_m\|\}$  and  $\|J_m x_m - x_m\| \leq (1/m) \|A_m x_m\|$ , using the uniform continuity of  $J$  on bounded subsets of  $X$  we get  $x_m \rightarrow x \in \overline{B_r(0)}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $A_m x_m = y - F x_m \rightarrow y - F x$ , Proposition 3.4 of Barbu [1] implies that  $x \in D(A)$  and  $Ax = y - Fx$ . We have thus solved (1) with the solution lying in  $D(A) \cap \overline{B_r(0)}$ . The same argument applies to (2). It is therefore omitted.

**3. Results for the space  $PC([-r, 0], \overline{D(A)})$ .** It is well known that if  $X$  is also uniformly convex, then  $\overline{D(A)}$  is a convex set. In addition, a result of Reich [13] says that  $\overline{D(A)}$  admits a nonexpansive retraction, i.e., a mapping  $P : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \overline{D(A)}$  such that  $P^2 = P$  and  $\|Px - Py\| \leq \|x - y\|$ ,  $x, y \in X$ . This result can be effectively used in problems where the perturbations are defined only on  $\overline{D(A)}$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let conditions (A.1), and (G.2) be satisfied. Further, assume that there exist constants  $d_1 > 0$ ,  $d_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\langle A(t)x, Jx \rangle \geq -d_1 \|x\|$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $x \in D(A)$  with  $\|x\| \geq d_2$ .

Moreover, let the constant  $K > 0$  be such that

$$\|G(t, \psi)\| \leq K$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\psi \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{D(A)})$ . Then there exists a method of lines for the equation (\*).

**Proof.** This time we write (2) as follows :

$$(9) \quad u - F_1(t_{n_0})\{A(t_{n_0}) + (1/h)I\}^{-1}u - z_{n_0}/h = 0,$$

where  $u = (A(t_{n_0}) + (1/h)I)x$ , or, equivalently,  $u - Su = 0$  for the obvious operator  $S : X \rightarrow X$ . It is easy to see that  $S$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $X$  with constant  $bh$ . We assume again that  $n$  is so large that  $bh < 1$ , and consider only such  $n$ 's. In order to apply Lemma A, with  $H(t, u) = u - tSu$ , we show that conditions ii) and iii) are satisfied for some open set  $U$ . As far as condition ii) is concerned, we observe that

$$\|Su\| \leq \|S0\| + bh\|u\| \leq \|S0\| + \|u\|$$

implies the uniform continuity of  $H(t, u)$  in  $t$  with respect to  $u$  lying in any bounded subset of  $X$ .

Now, we are going to prove that all possible solutions  $u_t$  of  $H(t, u) = 0$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$ , lie inside a ball which is independent of  $t$ . In fact, let  $t_m \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\|u_{t_m}\| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Set  $u_m = u_{t_m}$ . Then we have

$$(10) \quad A(t_{n_0})x_m + (1/h)x_m - t_m F_1(t_{n_0})x_m = t_m z_{n_0}/h,$$



where  $u_m = A(t_{n0})x_m + (1/h)x_m$ . If  $\{\|x_m\|\}$  has a bounded subsequence, then (10) implies that  $A(t_{n0})x_m$  has a bounded subsequence, which implies in turn that  $\{\|u_m\|\}$  has a bounded subsequence, i.e., a contradiction. It follows that  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle A(t_{n0})x_m, Jx_m \rangle + (1/h)\|x_m\|^2 - t_m \langle F_1(t_{n0})x_m, Jx_m \rangle - t_m \langle z_{n0}/h, Jx_m \rangle \geq \\ &\geq -d_1\|x_m\| + (1/h)\|x_m\|^2 - K\|x_m\| - \|z_{n0}\|\|x_m\|/h = \\ &= [(1/h)\|x_m\| - d_1 - K - \|z_{n0}\|/h]\|x_m\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., a contradiction. If we let  $\|u_i\| \leq \bar{r}$  for all possible solutions  $u_i$  of  $H(t, u) = 0$ , then we may take  $U = B_{\bar{r}_1}(0)$ , for any  $\bar{r}_1 > \bar{r}$ , and the conditions ii), iii) of Lemma A are satisfied. Conditions i), iv) follow easily. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $X$  be uniformly convex. Let conditions (A.1), (G.2) hold. Let  $0 \in D(A)$  with  $A(t)0 = 0$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let  $\Phi(s) \in \overline{D(A)} \cap \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ ,  $s \in [-r, 0]$ . Assume that for every  $x \in \partial B_{\bar{r}}(0) \cap D(A)$ , every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and every  $\psi \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{D(A)} \cap \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)})$  we have

$$(11) \quad \langle A(t)x - \lambda G(t, \psi), Jx \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then (\*) possesses a method of lines.

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is also uniformly convex there exists a nonexpansive retraction  $P$  on  $\overline{D(A)}$ . Since  $0 \in \overline{D(A)}$ ,  $P0 = 0$ . Thus, for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\|Px\| \leq \|x\|$ . We now consider the homotopy

$$H(t, x) \equiv x - [A(t_{n0}) + (1/h)I]^{-1} [t(F_1(t_{n0})Px + z_{n0}/h)].$$

The equation  $H(1, x) = 0$  is equivalent to (2). In fact, if  $H(1, x) = 0$ , then  $x \in D(A)$  and  $Px = x$ . In order to apply Lemma A to this problem, we notice again that the term in  $H(t, x)$  after the minus sign is a Lipschitz continuous function on  $X$  with Lipschitz constant  $bh$ . We assume again that  $n$  is so large that  $bh < 1$ . In fact,

$$\|F_1(t_{n0})Px - F_1(t_{n0})Py\| \leq b\|Px - Py\| \leq b\|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Now, let  $x \in \partial B_{\bar{r}}(0)$  be given. Since  $\|Px\| \leq \|x\|$ , we have that  $\|Px\| \leq \bar{r}$ . This implies that the entire function

$$f_1(Px)(t) = \chi_{[-r, 0]}(t)\Phi(t) + \chi_{(0, T]}(t)Px,$$

$(t, x) \in [-r, T] \times \partial B_{\bar{r}}(0)$ , lies in the closed convex set  $\overline{D(A)} \cap \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)}$ . Thus  $(f_1(Px))_t \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{D(A)} \cap \overline{B_{\bar{r}}(0)})$  and if  $x$  is a solution of the equation  $H(t, x) = 0$  lying in  $\partial B_{\bar{r}}(0)$  for some  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle A(t_{n0})x - tG(t_{n0}, (f_1(Px))_{t_{n0}}), Jx \rangle + (1/h)\langle x, Jx \rangle - (t/h)\langle z_{n0}, Jx \rangle \geq \\ &\geq (1/h)[\|x\|^2 - t\|z_{n0}\|\|x\|] \geq (1/h)(1-t)\bar{r}^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see now that all the conditions i), iv) of Lemma A are satisfied with  $U = B_T(0)$ . This completes the proof.

Evidently, separate, and independent of  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , conditions can be imposed on  $A(t)$ ,  $G(t, \psi)$  for (11) to hold.

4. **General comments.** Analogous results do hold in general Banach spaces whenever  $A(t)$  has compact resolvents  $(A(t) + (1/h)I)^{-1}$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . However, as far as the author knows, it has not been shown whether the method of lines constructed here actually converges to the solution of (\*) if  $X^*$  is not uniformly convex and  $G(t, \psi)$  does depend on  $\psi \in PC([-r, 0], \overline{D_0})$  nontrivially.

One can also use the present results to show that Theorem 3 of [7] can be proved without assuming that  $G(t, \psi)$  is extendable to a global Lipschitzian on  $[0, T] \times O$ , where  $O = O([-r, 0], X)$ . One can assume instead conditions like the ones of Section 2 above. The results of that section are directly applicable in this setting.

Theorem 2 replaces the assumption that  $X$  is a  $(\pi)_1$  space in Theorem 1 of [7] by the boundedness of  $A(t)u$  with respect to  $u$  on  $\overline{B_T(0)}$ . Since  $A(t)u$  is demicontinuous (and thus locally bounded) in  $u$ , this boundedness assumption is certainly a natural one.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Barbu, V., *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Noordhoff Int. Publ., Leyden 1976.
- [2] Browder, F.E., *Nonlinear Operators and Nonlinear Equations of Evolution in Banach Spaces*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 18, Amer. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island 1976.
- [3] Kartsatos, A.G., *The existence of a method of lines for evolution equations involving maximal monotone operators and locally defined Lipschitzian perturbation*, to appear.
- [4] Kartsatos, A.G., *Zeros of demicontinuous accretive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Integral Equations, 8 (1985), 175-184.
- [5] Kartsatos, A.G., Parrot, M.E., *Existence of solutions and Galerkin approximations for nonlinear functional evolution equations*, Tohoku Math. J., 34 (1962), 509-523.
- [6] Kartsatos, A.G., Parrot, M.E., *Convergence of the Kato approximants for evolution equations involving functional perturbations*, J. Differential Equations, 47 (1963), 358-377.
- [7] Kartsatos, A.G., Parrot, M.E., *Global solutions of functional evolution equations involving locally defined Lipschitzian perturbations*, J. London Math. Soc., 27 (1983), 306-316.
- [8] Kartsatos, A.G., Parrot, M.E., *A method of lines for a nonlinear abstract functional evolution equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 266 (1984), 73-89.
- [9] Kartsatos, A.G., Toro, J., *Passivity and admissibility for evolution equations in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., 6 (1982), 225-236.
- [10] Kartsatos, A.G., Zigler, W., *Rothe's method and weak solutions of perturbed evolution equations in reflexive Banach spaces*, Math. Ann., 219 (1976), 159-168.

- [11] Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., *Nonlinear Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1981.
- [12] Martin, R. H., jr., *Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Wiley, New York 1976.
- [13] Reich, S., *Extension problems for accretive sets in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 26 (1977), 378-395.

### STRESZCZENIE

Stosuje się nieliniową teorię perturbacji do rozwiązania równania ewolucji (\*) metodą łamanych. Zakłada się, że kolejne przybliżenia spełniają równanie (\*\*). Ulepsiono, względnie uogólniono dotychczas otrzymane rezultaty w tym kierunku. Są one nowe nawet w przypadku zazwyczaj rozpatrywanym  $G(t, z_1) \equiv G(t, z(t))$  i mogą być efektywnie stosowane przy numerycznym rozwiązywaniu równań.

