

Politechnika Lubelska

L. KOCZAN

### On Radii of Univalence, Starlikeness and Bounded Turning

O promieniach jednolistości, gwiazdzistości i ograniczonego obrotu

**Abstract.** This paper deals with a simple method which enables us to determine the largest disks on that every function from a given class is univalent, starlike or its turning is bounded.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H$  be the class of all complex functions holomorphic on the open unit disk  $\Delta$ . For brevity we use the notation:  $\Delta_r = \{z : |z| < r\}$ ,  $\Delta = \Delta_1$ ,  $H_0 = \{f \in H : f(0) = f'(0) - i = 0\}$ ,  $H_1 = \{f \in H_0 : f(z)/z \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \Delta\}$  and  $L(A) = \{\log(f/z) : f \in A\}$  whenever  $A \subset H_1$ . In the last-defined set take  $\log 1 = 0$ . The convex hull of  $A$  and the closed convex hull of  $A$  we denote by  $\text{conv } A$  and  $\overline{\text{conv}} A$ , respectively.

Let us consider any  $A \subset H_0$ . In this paper we shall derive a simple method which enables us in many cases to determine the largest disks  $\Delta_r \subset \Delta$  on that every function from  $A$  is univalent, starlike or its turning is bounded.

Strictly speaking, for classes  $A \subset H_0$  that satisfy some geometric properties the following quantities will be examined:

$$r_A = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \text{each } f \in A \text{ is univalent on } \Delta_r\},$$

i.e. the radius of univalence,

$$r_A^* = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \text{Re } [zf'/f] > 0 \text{ on } \Delta_r \text{ for all } f \in A\},$$

i.e. the radius of starlikeness and

$$r'_A = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \text{Re } f' > 0 \text{ on } \Delta_r \text{ for all } f \in A\},$$

i.e. the radius of bounded turning.

The class  $A$  is said to be

(i) convex if  $(1-t)f + tg \in A$  whenever  $f, g \in A$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

(ii) conjugate invariant if for any  $f \in A$  the function  $z \mapsto \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  belongs to  $A$ ,

(iii) rotation invariant if for all  $f \in A$  and  $|\eta| = 1$  the functions  $z \mapsto \bar{\eta}f(\eta z)$  are in  $A$ .  
 The general results contained in Theorems 1-2 and Corollaries 1-2 concern just such classes and are useful in applications to the classes (2) (6) or to their closed convex hulls.

## 2. Basic results.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $A \subset H_0$  is nonempty convex and rotation invariant, then*

$$(1) \quad r_A = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : f'(r) \neq 0 \text{ for all } f \in A\}.$$

In the proof we use

**Lemma .** *Suppose that  $A \subset H_0$  is nonempty, convex and rotation invariant. Then for each  $\zeta \in \Delta$  there is  $f \in A$  such that  $f'(\zeta) = 1$ . If moreover  $A$  is compact, then  $A$  contains the identity mapping.*

**Proof.** Take any  $f_0 \in A$  and fix  $\zeta \in \Delta$ . By the assumption the functions  $z \mapsto \bar{\eta}f_0(\eta z)$ ,  $|\eta| = 1$ , are in  $A$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f'_0(e^{it}\zeta) dt \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{f'_0(\eta\zeta) : |\eta| = 1\} \\ &= \text{conv}\{f'_0(\eta\zeta) : |\eta| = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

by the Minkowski theorem, see [1]. Thus there is a function  $z \mapsto t_1\bar{\eta}_1 f_0(\eta_1 z) + \dots + t_k\bar{\eta}_k f_0(\eta_k z)$ ,  $t_j \geq 0$ ,  $|\eta_j| = 1$ ,  $t_1 + \dots + t_k = 1$ , having the desired property. We let add that in the function we can put  $k = 2$ , see [1], p.35.

If  $A$  is compact, then the function

$$z \mapsto (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-it} f_0(e^{it}z) dt = z$$

belongs to  $\overline{\text{conv}}\{z \mapsto \bar{\eta}f_0(\eta z) : |\eta| = 1\} \subset A$ .

**Remark.** The first part of Lemma follows also from the following facts. Namely, if  $f_0 \in A$ ,  $\zeta \in \Delta$  and  $r = |\zeta|$ , then  $f'_0(\partial\Delta_r) \subset \{f'(\zeta) : f \in A\}$  and the last set is convex. By the maximum principle

$$1 = f'_0(0) \in f'_0(\Delta_r) \subset \{f'(\zeta) : f \in A\}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Denote the supremum in (1) by  $\rho$ . Obviously  $\rho \geq r_A$ . If  $\rho = 0$ , then  $r_A = 0 = \rho$ . Assuming that  $\rho > 0$  fix an arbitrary point  $\zeta \in \Delta$  and consider the functional  $f \mapsto \Phi_\zeta(f) = f'(\zeta)$ . Observe first that  $\Phi_\zeta(A)$  is convex,  $\Phi_\zeta(A) = \Phi_{|\zeta|}(A)$  and  $0 \notin \Phi_\zeta(A)$ . It follows by Lemma that  $1 \in \Phi_\zeta(A)$  so there exists  $t = t(|\zeta|) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  such that  $\text{Re}[e^{-it}\Phi_\zeta(f)] > 0$  for all  $f \in A$  and  $|z| = |\zeta|$ . By

the maximum principle  $\operatorname{Re} [e^{-it} f'(z)] > 0$  for all  $f \in A$  and  $|z| \leq |\zeta|$  which means that each  $f \in A$  is univalent on  $\Delta_{|\zeta|}$ . Since  $\zeta$  was chosen arbitrarily,  $\rho \leq r_A$ . The theorem is proved.

There is a nice corollary to the proof. Namely, if we assume additionally that  $A$  is conjugate invariant, then for any  $\zeta \in \Delta_\rho$  the set  $\Phi_\zeta(A)$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis, i.e. there is  $t(|\zeta|) = 0$  and we have

**Corollary 1.** *If  $A \subset H_0$  is convex, rotation and conjugate invariant, then  $r_A^* = r_A$ , where  $r_A$  is determined in (1) or, more precisely,*

$$r_A = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \operatorname{Re} f'(r) > 0 \text{ for all } f \in A\}.$$

A similar result is contained in

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A \subset H_1$  be nonempty and rotation invariant. If  $L(A)$  is convex, then (1) holds.*

**Proof.** Following the previous proof denote the right side of (1) by  $\rho$ . Clearly  $\rho \geq r_A$ . Assuming that  $\rho > 0$  take  $\zeta \in \Delta_\rho$  and consider the functionals  $f \mapsto \Phi_\zeta(f) = f'(\zeta)$ ,  $g \mapsto \Psi_\zeta(g) = \zeta g'(\zeta) + 1$ . Observe first that  $\Psi_\zeta(L(A))$  is convex,  $0 \notin \Phi_\zeta(A) = \Phi_{|\zeta|}(A)$  and  $\Psi_\zeta(g) = \zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)$  for  $g(z) \equiv \log[f(z)/z]$ . Hence  $0 \notin \Psi_\zeta(L(A)) = \Psi_{|\zeta|}(L(A))$  and a similar argument used in the proof of Lemma shows that there is a function  $g \in L(A)$  for that  $g'(\zeta) = 0$ . Therefore  $1 \in \Psi_\zeta(L(A))$  and there is  $t = t(|\zeta|) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} [e^{-it} \zeta f'(z)/f(z)] > 0$  for all  $f \in A$  and  $|z| = |\zeta|$ . By the maximum principle each  $f \in A$  is  $t$ -spirallike on  $\Delta_{|\zeta|}$  and, since this is true for all  $|\zeta| < \rho$ , we obtain  $\rho \leq r_A$ . The proof is complete.

If moreover in Theorem 2 we assume that  $A$  is conjugate invariant, then for each  $\zeta \in \Delta$  the set  $\Psi_\zeta(L(A))$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis, i.e. there is  $t(|\zeta|) = 0$  and Theorem 2 has the following

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that  $A \subset H_1$  is rotation and conjugate invariant. If  $L(A)$  is convex, then  $r_A^* = r_A$ , where  $r_A$  is determined in (1).*

**3. Applications.** For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  let  $P_\alpha = \{p \in H : \operatorname{Re} p > \alpha \text{ on } \Delta, p(0) = 1\}$  and  $P = P_0$ . We shall solve some radius problems for the following classes or for their closed convex hulls:

$$(2) \quad A(\alpha, \lambda) = \{z p^\lambda : p \in P_\alpha\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$(3) \quad B(M) = \{f \in H_1 : |f| < M \text{ on } \Delta\}, \quad M > 1,$$

$$(4) \quad S^* = \{f \in H_0 : \operatorname{Re}(z f'/f) > 0 \text{ on } \Delta\},$$

$$(5) \quad K(\beta) = \{f \in H_0 : \operatorname{Re}[e^{i\beta} z f'/g] > 0 \text{ on } \Delta \text{ for some } g \in S^*\}, \\ -\pi/2 < \beta < \pi/2,$$

$$(6) \quad S = \{f \in H_0 : f \text{ is univalent on } \Delta\}.$$

As a first application we get

**Theorem 3.**

- (i)  $r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)}^j = r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)}$  if  $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .  
 (ii)  $r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)}^* = r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)}$  if  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ .  
 (iii)  $r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)}$  is the unique positive solution  $r$  of the equation  $2\alpha - 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)d(\lambda, r) = 0$ , where  $d(\lambda, r) = \min\{\operatorname{Re}[(1 - \lambda)/(1 - z) + \lambda/(1 - z)^2] : |z| = r\}$ .

**Proof.** (i). All the classes  $A(\alpha, \lambda)$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, -1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  are compact convex. Indeed, fix  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, -1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , and consider the function  $h(z) = \{[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z]/(1 - z)\}^\lambda$  that is holomorphic and univalent on  $\Delta$ . Since  $zh'/h'(0) \in S^*$ , the set  $h(\Delta)$  is convex and we have the identity

$$A(\alpha, \lambda) = \{f \in H(\Delta) : f/z \prec h \text{ on } \Delta\}$$

which means the convexity of  $A(\alpha, \lambda)$ . Furthermore,  $A(\alpha, \lambda)$  is conjugate and rotation invariant so we may use Corollary 1.

(ii). Fix  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and consider the function  $g = \log h$ , where  $h$  has been defined in the proof of (i). The function  $g$  is univalent on  $\Delta$  and the set  $g(\Delta)$  is convex since  $zg'/g'(0) \in S^*$ . Thus

$$L(A(\alpha, \lambda)) = \{f \in H(\Delta) : f \prec g \text{ on } \Delta\},$$

whence the convexity of  $L(A(\alpha, \lambda))$  follows. By Corollary 2 we get the desired conclusion.

(iii). For all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  the class  $A(\alpha, \lambda)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2. Therefore  $r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)} = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : f'(r) \neq 0 \text{ for all } f \in A(\alpha, \lambda)\} = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : p(r) + \lambda rp'(r) \neq 0 \text{ for all } p \in P_\alpha\} = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \operatorname{Re}[p(r) + \lambda rp'(r)] > 0 \text{ for all } p \in P_\alpha\}$ . Since the set of all extreme points of the class  $P_\alpha$  consists of the following functions  $z \mapsto (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\zeta z)/(1 - \zeta z)$ ,  $|\zeta| = 1$ , we have hence

$$r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)} = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : 2\alpha - 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)d(\lambda, r) \geq 0\}.$$

**Corollary 3.**

- (i)  $r_{A(\alpha, 1)}^j = r_{A(\alpha, 1)}^* = r_{A(\alpha, 1)} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1 - \alpha)/(1 - 2\alpha)} - 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/10, \\ \sqrt{\alpha/(\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha - \alpha^2})} & \text{for } 1/10 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \end{cases}$

see [2], v.II, pp.96, 98,

- (ii)  $r_{A(0, \lambda)}^* = r_{A(0, \lambda)} = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1} - |\lambda|,$

see the case  $\lambda = 1$  in [2], v.I, p.129 (19) and v.II, p.98,

- (iii)  $r_{A(1/2, \lambda)}^* = r_{A(1/2, \lambda)} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 + 2\sqrt{\lambda} - \lambda}/(1 + \sqrt{\lambda}) & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 4, \\ 1/(\lambda - 1) & \text{if } \lambda \geq 4, \end{cases}$

$$(iv) \quad r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)}^* = r_{A(\alpha, \lambda)} = (\lambda - 1 + a + \sqrt{(1 - \lambda)^2 + 2a\lambda})/a$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \lambda \leq 0$ , where  $a = (1 - 2\alpha)/(1 - \alpha)$ .

**Proof.** A quite elementary calculation shows us that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda, r)(1 - r^2)^2 &= \min\{\operatorname{Re}[(1 - \lambda)(1 - r^2)w + \lambda w^2] : |w - 1| = r\} \\ &= \min\{2\lambda r^2 t^2 + r[1 - r^2 + \lambda(1 + r^2)]t + 1 - r^2 : -1 \leq t \leq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows

$$1^\circ \quad d(\lambda, r) = \left[ -(1 - \lambda)^2 r^4 + 2(1 - 4\lambda - \lambda^2 r^2 - \lambda^2 + 6\lambda - 1)/[8\lambda(1 - r^2)^2] \right] \text{ if } \lambda > 0 \text{ and } (\lambda + 1)/(2\lambda + \sqrt{3\lambda^2 + 1}) \leq r < 1,$$

$$2^\circ \quad d(\lambda, r) = [1 + (1 - \lambda)r]/(1 + r)^2 \text{ if } \lambda \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq r \leq (\lambda + 1)/(2\lambda + \sqrt{3\lambda^2 + 1}) \text{ or else if } \lambda \leq 0 \text{ and } r^2 \leq (1 + \lambda)/(1 - \lambda),$$

$$3^\circ \quad d(\lambda, r) = [1 - (1 - \lambda)r]/(1 - r)^2 \text{ if } \lambda < 0 \text{ and } (1 + \lambda)/(1 - \lambda) \leq r^2 < 1.$$

The next step is to examine the equation stated in Theorem 3(iii) for suitable values of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ .

For bounded functions with the only zero at the origin we have the following Noshiro result.

**Theorem 4.**

$$r_{B(M)}^* = r_{B(M)} = 1 + \log M - \sqrt{(2 + \log M) \log M},$$

see [2], v.II, pp.95, 107.

**Proof.** Since  $L(B(M)) = \log M - (\log M)P$ , the class  $B(M)$  satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} r_{B(M)}^* &= r_{B(M)} = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : 1 - rp'(r) \log M \neq 0 \text{ for all } p \in P\} \\ &= \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \operatorname{Re}[1 - rp'(r) \log M] > 0 \text{ for all } p \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

Restricting our linear extremal problem to the extreme points of  $P$  we get

$$r_{B(M)}^* = r_{B(M)} = \max\{r \in (0, 1) : \operatorname{Re}[2z/(1 - z)^2] \leq 1/\log M \text{ for } |z| = r\},$$

i.e.  $r_{B(M)}$  satisfies the equation

$$2r/(1 - r)^2 = 1/\log M.$$

This completes the proof.

The authors of [3] determined the radius of univalence for the class  $\overline{\operatorname{conv}} S^*$  and proved that the same number is the radius of starlikeness. We shall find the radius of univalence in a different manner. Namely we have

**Theorem 5.**  $r'_{\overline{\text{conv}} S^*} = r_{\overline{\text{conv}} S^*} = \rho$ , where  $\rho = 0.403\dots$  is the unique positive solution of the equation:  $\rho^6 + 5\rho^4 + 79\rho^2 - 13 = 0$ .

**Proof.** The class  $\overline{\text{conv}} S^*$  satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 1, so the radius of univalence and bounded turning is equal to  $\sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \text{Re } f'(r) > 0 \text{ for all } f \in \overline{\text{conv}} S^*\} = \sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \text{Re}[(1+z)/(1-z)^3] > 0 \text{ for } |z| = r\}$  because the Koebe functions compose the set of all extreme points for  $\overline{\text{conv}} S^*$ . Thus the both radii are equal to  $\max\{r \in (0, 1) : p(r, t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , where  $p(r, t) \equiv 1 - 6r^2 + r^4 + (6r^3 - 2r)t + (6r^2 - 2r^4)t^2 - 4r^3t^3$ . For  $0 < r < (\sqrt{33} - 5)/4$  and  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$  we have  $p(r, t) > 0$ , since  $\partial p/\partial t$  is negative at  $t = -1$ ,  $t = 1$ , and  $a_r > 1$ , where  $\partial^2 p(r, a_r)/\partial t^2 = 0$ . If  $(\sqrt{33} - 5)/4 \leq r < 1$ , then  $p(r, t) \geq p(r, t_r)$ , where  $\partial p(r, t_r)/\partial t = 0$  with  $-1 \leq t_r \leq 1$ . The desired equation follows from the equation  $p(\rho, t_\rho) = 0$  after removing all the irrationalities.

**Theorem 6.** The radius  $r_{\overline{\text{conv}} K(\beta)}$  is the least positive solution  $r$  of the equation

$$4r^6 + 8r^4 \cos 2\beta + 5r^2 - 1 = 0.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 1 the considered radius is identical with  $\sup\{r \in (0, 1) : f'(r) \neq 0 \text{ for } f \in \overline{\text{conv}} K(\beta)\} = \max\{r \in (0, 1) : |\text{Im } \log[f'(z)/f'(\zeta)]| < \pi \text{ for all } f \in K(\beta), |z| = |\zeta| = r\}$ . The connection between  $K(\beta)$  and the classes  $S^*$  and  $P$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\overline{\text{conv}} K(\beta)} &= \max\{r \in (0, 1) : 2 \arctan[2r \cos \beta / (1 - r^2)] + 4 \arcsin r \leq \pi\} \\ &= \max\{r \in (0, 1) : \arctan[2r \cos \beta / (1 - r^2)] \leq \arctan[(1 - 2r^2) / (2r\sqrt{1 - r^2})]\} \\ &= \max\{r \in (0, 1) : 4r^6 + 8r^4 \cos 2\beta + 5r^2 - 1 \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 7.**  $r'_{\overline{\text{conv}} S} = r_{\overline{\text{conv}} S} = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}/2 = 0.382\dots$

**Proof.** By Corollary 1 we get that the both radii are equal to  $\sup\{r \in (0, 1) : \text{Re } f'(r) > 0 \text{ for all } f \in S\} = \max\{r \in (0, 1) : |\arg f'(r)| < \pi/2 \text{ for all } f \in S\} = \max\{r \in (0, 1) : \arcsin r \leq \pi/8\} = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}/2$  because of the rotation theorem for the class  $S$  (see e.g. [2], v.I, p.66).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Eggleston, H.G., *Convexity*, Cambridge University Press 1969.
- [2] Goodman, A.W., *Univalent functions*, v.I-II, Mariner Pub. Co. Tampa, Florida 1983.
- [3] Hamilton, D.H., Tuan, P.D., *Radius of starlikeness of convex combinations of univalent starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc. 78 (1980), 56-58.

STRESZCZENIE

W pracy przedstawiono prostą metodę, która pozwala wyznaczyć największe kola, na których każda funkcja z danej klasy jest jednolista, gwiaździsta lub jej obrót jest ograniczony.

