ANNALES

UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKLODOWSKA

LUBLIN-POLONIA

VOL. XL, 8

SECTIO A

1986

Sektion Mathematik/Physik d. Pädagogischen Hochschule "N. K. Krupskaja"

S. KIRSCH

Remarks on Extremal Problems in a Class of Quasiconformal Mappings in the Mean

Uwagi o problemach ekstremalnych dla odwzorowań średnio guasikonforemnych

Замечания об экстремальных проблемах для отображений квазиконформных в среднем

1. Introduction. Extremal problems for quasiconformal mappings in the mean are closely related to the extremal problems for quasiconformal mappings with a prescribed dilatation bound which is a bounded function of a complex variable, whereas now the dilatation is only bounded in the mean (6) . It was P.A. Biluta 21 who first investigated such problems. He derived a necessary condition for extremal functions if they do exist. R. KUhnau 8 proved, that under some further conditions this necessary condition is also sufficient. This condition means, that the extremal function is connected with a quasilinear elliptic system of differential equations and inequalities which appears in gas 8. In his paper 9R. Kunnau used this dynamics, see 7. necessary and sufficient condition to construct analytically the extremal function and to determine the extreme value of the considered functional in a special case.

But it is also possible to go just the other way. Our main effort centers on sharp upper and lower estimates of the extreme value of the considered functional and on the geometrical characterization of those ranges of integration G' in (6) for which the extremal problem admits solution and construction of the extremal function and the extreme value of the functional is e wal to the upper, or lower bound.

In general the proof for the existence of solution of these problems is rather complicated. The class of quasiconformal mappings satisfying (5) is usually not compact. The existence of extremal functions depends on the mean function in (6) and on the boundary of G'. To illustrate this we consider the case where G' is a square and Φ is a linear function. In order to make clear the main ideas we choose as an example a functional of erötzsch - Teichmüller type whose associated quadratic differential is a complete square.

2. Notations and the Problem. Let $G \circ \infty$ be a n-tuply connected Jordan domain in the complex plane \mathfrak{c} with the boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \ldots + \Gamma_n$, $G' := \mathfrak{c} \setminus \overline{G}$, I := I(G') is the area of G' and \mathfrak{c} the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Further, let $p := p(z) \gg 1$ be a real valued bounded and measurable function which is defined on \mathfrak{c} and identical 1 in G.

we denote by \mathcal{G}_{Q} the uniquely determined quasiconformal mapping of f onto f with hydrodynamical hormalization $z + a_{1,\tilde{v}} z^{-1} + \cdots$ hear $z = \infty$, where $W = U + iV := ie^{-i\Theta} \mathcal{G}_{Q}$ satisfies

(1) $W_{\overline{z}} = -\frac{p-1}{p+1}W_{\overline{z}}$ 6- almost everywhere. Moreover, we denote by G_{μ} the univalent conformal mapping of

80

G onto a θ - parallel slit domain, $0 \leq 0 \leq \infty$, with hydrodynamical normalization $z + A_{1,0} z^{-1} + \dots$ hear $z = \infty$. We put (2) $u_{\theta} + iv_{\theta} := ie^{-i\theta}$ ($g_{\theta}(z) - z$), $z \in I$, (3) $\hat{u}_{\theta} := \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} (ie^{-i\theta}(G_{\theta} - z)) , z \in G \\ \operatorname{Re} - \operatorname{Re} (ie^{-i\theta} z) , z \text{ within } \Gamma_{1}, \vartheta = 1, z, \dots \\ \dots, n \end{pmatrix}$, where $\operatorname{Re} := \operatorname{Re} (ie^{-i\theta} G_{\theta}(\Gamma_{\theta})) = \operatorname{const.}, \vartheta = 1, 2, \dots, n$, (4) $\hat{v}_{\theta} := \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im} (ie^{-i\theta}(G_{\theta} + \pi/2 - z)) , z \in G \\ \operatorname{Ie} - \operatorname{Im} (ie^{-i\theta} z) , z \text{ within } \Gamma_{1}, \vartheta = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{pmatrix}$, where $\operatorname{Ie} := \operatorname{Im} (ie^{-i\theta} G_{\theta} + \pi/2 - z)$) = const. $\vartheta = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $\operatorname{Ie} := \operatorname{Im} (ie^{-i\theta} G_{\theta} + \pi/2 - z)$) = const. $\vartheta = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\varphi = 1, 2, \dots, n$

(5)
$$\phi + \psi := ie^{-i\Theta} z$$

Let z_2^2 be the class of all real valued piecewise smooth functions u=u(z) defined on z with finite Dirichlet integral and lim u(z) = 0. Denote by Az the class of all univalent z->=>= conformal mappings of G with quasiconformal continuation into G' and hydrodynamical normalization $z + a_1 z^{-1} + \dots$ near $z = \infty$. The dilatation p(z) satisfies

(6)
$$\int_{G} \Phi(p(z)) \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{G}_{z} \leq C$$

where the constant $C > \mathbf{\Phi}(1) \cdot I(G')$ and $\mathbf{\Phi} : [1, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ is a prescribed continuous, monotone and convex function for which $\mathbf{\Phi}''$ exists. Denote by $\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}$ the inverse function of $\mathbf{\Phi}$.

ie study the following extremal problem:

(7) Ro
$$(e^{-2i\theta} a_1) \longrightarrow \sup =: s_0$$

ners the supremum is taken over $A_{\overline{e}}$. Because of the extremal property of $B_{\overline{e}}$, see [5,p.98], it is clear, that for maximization it is sufficient to consider only such $g \in A_{\overline{e}}$ for which $de^{-i\Theta}g$ satisfies the system (1).

3. A variational characterization for u_{0} and v_{0} . To begin with let p and G be sufficiently smooth, so that Gaus's Theorem is applicable. Because of (1) u_{0} satisfies the equation div($p \nabla (u_{0} + \phi)) = 0$ in \mathfrak{E} . Therefore we have

(a)
$$\int_{\mathbf{r}} (p \Delta u_{\Theta} + \nabla p \nabla u_{\Theta} + \nabla p \nabla \varphi) u d\delta = 0, \quad u \in \mathbf{L}_{2}^{1}.$$

By applying Caus's Theorem (8) yields

(i)
$$(u, u_0)_p - 1(u) = 0$$
, $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^1$
with $(u, v)_p := \int_{\mathcal{C}} p \nabla u \nabla v \, d\mathcal{F}$, $(u) := \int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla p \nabla \varphi \, u \, d\mathcal{F}$
 $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_2^1$.

Hence we obtain

(10)
$$0 \leq ||u - u_0||_p^2 = F(u) - F(u_0)$$
, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^1$

where $F(u) := \|u\|^2 - 2l(u)$.

Now we compute $F(u_{\Theta})$. Because of (9) we have for $u = u_{\Theta}$

(11)
$$F(u_{\Theta}) = -1(u_{\Theta}) = -\int_{c} \nabla_{P} \nabla \varphi \cdot u_{\Theta} \, d\mathbf{G} = -\int_{c} \nabla_{P} \nabla \varphi (U - \varphi) \, d\mathbf{G} =$$
$$= \int_{c} \nabla (p-1) \nabla \varphi \cdot \varphi \, d\mathbf{G} - \int_{c} \nabla_{P} \nabla \varphi \, U \, d\mathbf{G} =$$
$$= -\int_{G} (p-1) \, d\mathbf{G} + \int_{c} (\varphi \, \partial U - U \, \partial \varphi \,) \, d\mathbf{S} =$$

$$-\int_{G} (p-1) dG - Im \int_{|z|=R} (ie^{-i\Theta} E_{\Theta}) d(ie^{-i\Theta} z) =$$

$$-\int_{G} (p-1) dG + 2\Re Re (e^{-2i\Theta} e_{1,\Theta})$$

where (|z| < R) contains [and a is the outward pointing unit normal vector on |2| =R . Put) $u = \hat{u}_{Q}$ we obtain

-

$$\|u\|^{2} = \int_{C} (p-1) |\nabla u|^{2} dG + \int_{C} |\nabla u|^{2} dG = \int_{C} (p-1) dG + I(G') + \int_{C} |\nabla u|^{2} dG.$$

Further calculation snows

$$\int_{G} |\nabla u|^{2} dG = \int_{G} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} ds = \int_{G} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} ds - \int_{G} (u e^{-i\theta}G_{\theta}) d(ie^{-i\theta}Z_{\theta}) d(ie^{-i\theta}Z_{\theta})$$

$$= \int_{G} (p-1) dG / (\int_{G} (p-1) dG + 2 \Re \operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\varphi_{A_{1,\varphi}}}))$$

we have

(12)
$$\mathcal{P}(\lambda \hat{u}_{\varphi}) = -\lambda \int_{G} (p-1) dG$$

Taus (10), (11) and (12) yield

(13)
$$0 \leq [\lambda_{\hat{u}_{\partial}}^2 - u_{\partial}]_{\hat{p}}^2 = 2\pi \left[\lambda_{Re} (e^{-2i\theta_{A_{1,e}}}) - Re(e^{-2i\theta_{A_{1,e}}}) \right]$$

Taking into account that v_{Θ} satisfies the equation

aiv ($\frac{1}{p}\nabla(v_{\Theta} + \Psi)$) = 0, we obtain analogously

(14)
$$0 \leq \|-\hat{\lambda}\hat{v}_{\theta} - v_{\theta}\|_{1/p}^{2} =$$

= $-2\pi \left[\hat{\lambda}_{R\theta}(e^{-2i(\theta + \pi/2)} A_{1,\theta + \pi/2}) - R\theta(\theta^{-2i\theta}A_{1,\theta})\right]$

.mere

$$\lambda := \int_{G} (1 - \frac{1}{p}) d\mathbf{G} / (2 \mathbf{n}_{\text{Re}}(e^{-2\mathbf{i}(\theta + \mathbf{n}/2)} \wedge 1, \theta + \mathbf{n}/2) - \int_{G} (1 - \frac{1}{p}) d\mathbf{G})).$$

... Iso under more general assumptions on p and Γ we have the following variational characterization of u_{Ω} and v_{Ω} in

Lemma 1. If p and G satisfy the assumptions stated in sect. 2, then we have for all Θ , $O \oint \Theta \oint \pi$, the inequalities

(15)
$$\hat{\lambda}_{\mathrm{Re}(e^{-2i(\Theta + \pi/2)} A_{1,\Theta +} \pi/2)} \leq \mathrm{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} B_{1,\Theta}) \leq \langle \lambda_{\mathrm{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} A_{1,\Theta})} \rangle$$

where λ , λ are the same constants as in (12), (13) and (14). The equality on the right and left holds iff

(16) $\lambda u_{\Omega} = u_{\Omega}$ on $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

and

(17) $-\bar{\lambda}\bar{v}_{\Theta} = v_{\Theta}$ on crespectively.

<u>Proof.</u> Because of (13) and (14) the inequalities (15) are valid for sufficiently smooth p_n and G_n , and also for p and G. The latter case is obtained by applying well-known Theorems [11,1.3] about the convergence for conformal mappings of sequences of domains G_n and quasiconformal mappings $g_{\Theta,n}$ for which ie^{-ie} $g_{\Theta,n}$ satisfies (1) for $p := p_n$, whereas

(18) $G_n \longrightarrow G$ in the sense of kernel convergence and $p_n \longrightarrow p$ **6** - almost everywhere with $supp(p_n^{-1}) \in G_n^{-1}$. We now prove (16). Let e be an arbitrary compact set in \$. There exists a natural number $n_0(e)$ such that $e CCG_n$ for all $n \ge n_0(e)$. Considering (13) we have the inequality

(19)
$$\int_{\Theta} |\nabla(\lambda_{n}\hat{u}_{\Theta,n} - u_{\Theta,n})|^{2} d\mathbf{G} \leq 2\pi \left[\lambda_{n} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-2i\Theta} A_{1,\Theta}(G_{n}) \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-2i\Theta} a_{1,\Theta}(G_{n}) \right) \right]$$

for $n > n_0(e)$. Because of (18) and by applying the theorem on the convergence for sequences of quasiconformal mappings [12], [11,1.3] and the theorem on kernel convergence for conformal mappings we obtain from (19)

(20)
$$\int_{\Theta} |\nabla(\lambda \hat{u}_{\Theta} - u_{\Theta})|^{2} dG \leq \left\{ 2\mathfrak{A} \left[\lambda_{\operatorname{Re}}(e^{-2i\Theta} A_{1,\Theta}(G)) - \operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} B_{1,\Theta}(G)) \right] \right\}$$

for all compact $e \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Therefore, if the equality of the right-hand side in (15) holds, there must be necessarily $\lambda \hat{u}_{\Theta} - u_{\Theta} \equiv 0$ on every compact $e \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ bearing in mind the hydrodynamical normalization of g_{Θ} and G_{Θ} near $z = \infty$ and the continuity of G_{Θ} in \overline{G} . If on the other hand (16) is valid we conclude that $g_{\Theta} = (1 - \lambda)z + \lambda G_{\Theta}$ in \overline{G} . Therefore we have $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} | a_{1,\Theta}) = \lambda \operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} | A_{1,\Theta})$.

Analogously one can prove the assertion in connection with (17).

4. Sharp estimates for the extreme value s. In the following we use the inequality of Jensen [3,p.150] in the form

(21)
$$\int_{G} p \, d\mathbf{G} \leq \mathbf{I} \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1} (\frac{1}{\mathbf{I}} \int_{G} \mathbf{\Phi}(p(z)) \, d\mathbf{G})$$

Here the equality holds iff $p \equiv const.$ in G', or $\Phi'' > 0$.

According by we have

(22)
$$\int_{G} p \alpha G \leq I \Phi^{-1}(C/I)$$

for all p satisfying (6) and by applying the inequality of Schwarz

$$I^{2} = \left(\int_{G} p \cdot p^{-1} dG\right)^{2} \leq \int_{G} p dG \cdot \int_{G} p^{-1} dG \leq \int_{G} p^{-1} dG \cdot I \cdot \xi^{-1} (C/I) .$$

raus we obtain

(2))
$$\int_{G} (1 - \frac{1}{p}) dG \leq I \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{g^{-1}(C/I)})$$

for all p satisfying (6). Equality in (22) and (23) holds iff p $\Xi {}_{\bullet}^{-1}(C/I) = \text{const.}$ in G' and ${}_{\bullet}^{\prime\prime} > 0$. From this in conmection with Lemma 1, (15), we have except for the assertion on the equality the following snarpend form of (31) in [8].

<u>Incorem 1. If 6 and $\mathbf{\Phi}$ satisfy the assumptions under 2.,</u> <u>then for all</u> \mathbf{e} , $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{e} \leq \mathbf{\pi}$, (24) $\mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{\mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{I} (1 - 1/\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I}))}{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\hat{a}} - \mathbf{I}(1 - 1/\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I}))} \leq \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{\theta}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{a} \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I}) - 1)}{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I}) - 1)} =: \mathbf{a} \mathbf{\lambda},$ <u>where</u> $\mathbf{a} := \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{e}^{-2\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\Theta}} \mathbf{A}_{1,\mathbf{\Theta}})$, $\mathbf{\hat{a}} := \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{e}^{-2\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{\Theta} + \mathbf{X}/2)} \mathbf{A}_{1,\mathbf{\Theta} + \mathbf{X}/2})$. <u>If in addition</u> $\mathbf{\Phi}^{**} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{0}$ and the extremal problem is solvable, <u>buen the equalities on the right and left in (24) always hold</u> <u>simultaneously. This is the case iff</u>

(25)
$$\alpha G_{\theta}(z) + \beta G_{\theta+\pi/2} \equiv z \quad in \quad \overline{G}$$

with the representation $\beta = \lambda'(\lambda + \lambda)$. The extremal function β_{α}

 $(20) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Theta} = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)z + \lambda G_{\Theta}(z) , & z \in G \\ \\ (1-\frac{\lambda-\lambda}{2})z + \frac{\lambda+\lambda}{2} e^{2i\Theta} \overline{z} - I_{\Theta} \hat{\lambda} e^{i\Theta} - iR_{\Theta} \lambda e^{i\Theta} , \end{cases}$

2 within Γ_{2} , $\Im = 1, 2, \dots, E$,

where $R_3 := \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{ie}^{-i\Theta} G_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Gamma_3))$, $I_3 := \operatorname{In}(\operatorname{Ie}^{-i\Theta} G_{\mathfrak{g}+\pi/2}(\Gamma_3))$ $\mathfrak{d} = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, is uniquely determined.

Proof. If the equality on the right (left) in (24) holds, then by Lemma we have necessarily (16) ((17)) and because of (22) ((23)) $p \equiv \mathbf{\xi}^{-1}(C/I) = \text{const.}$ in G'. From (16) ((17)) in connection with (1) we conclude, that $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\xi}$ is an affine mapping within $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}$ of the form

 $\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)(1+p)(z+qe^{2i\Theta}\bar{z}) + C_{2}$,

with q := (p-1)/(p+1), C₁ constant, $\Im = 1, 2, ..., n$. Therefore (25) follows from [6, Theorem 1, p.227]. Because of [4, Theorem 2 and 3] (25) is valid iff the equality on the right and loft in (24) holds simultaneously. From (16) and (17) we obtain (26). Conversly, one can prove that $\varepsilon_0 \in A_2$ is represented by (26) as in [6] by considering (25).

In the case $\mathbf{\xi}$ is linear, the class of domains G for which the equality in (24) holds is wider then in the strict convex case of $\mathbf{\xi}$. A complete geometrical characterization of those domains is given in

Theorem 2. Let G be a domain counded by analytic closed Jordan curves, $\oint(p) = p$. I. The extremal problem (7) is solvable and the extreme value $s_{\theta} = \frac{a (C - I)}{2\pi a + C - I} =: 1 \cdot \lambda$ (the upper bound in (24)), where $a := \operatorname{Re}(e^{-21\Theta} A_{1,\Theta})$ iff the following three conditions are fulfilled : (i) there is no tangent on Γ subtending the angle $\Theta + \pi/2$ with the positive real axis except for those points on Γ which correspond to the end points of boundary segments of the θ - parallel slit domain $G_{\theta}(G)$; (ii) for every pair of points z', $z' \in \Gamma_{0}$ satisfying $Re(e^{-i\theta}(z' - z'')) = 0$ and every y = 1, 2, ..., n $G_{\theta}(z') = G_{\theta}(z'')$;

(iii) in all exceptional points under (i) Γ has a non-vanishing curvature .

The extremal function is uniquely determined and has the representation

(27)
$$\mathfrak{S}_{\Theta} = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)z + \lambda G_{\Theta}(z) , z \in G \\ (1-\lambda)z + \lambda G_{\Theta}(z') , z \in G' \end{cases}$$

here z is one of the two points of intersection of the lin
through zeG' subtending the angle $\Theta + \pi/2$ with the positive
Lal axis and the closed curve [a containing z inside.
II. The extremal problem (7) is solvable and the extreme value
$s_0 = \frac{\hat{a} I(0-I)}{2\pi a^0 - I(0-I)} =: \hat{\lambda} \hat{a}$ (the lower bound in (24)),
where $\hat{a} := \operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i(\Theta + \pi/2)} A_{1,\Theta + \pi/2})$, iff G fulfils
(25). The uniquely determined extremal function is given by
(26). The constants λ , $\dot{\lambda}$ are given under I. and II.

<u>Remark 1.</u> Domains G satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) are for instance those with the property (25) or analytic bounded domains G fulfilling (i) and (iii), which are symmetric with respect to an arbitrary fixed line subtending the angle Θ with the positive real axis and intersecting every closed curve Γ_3 , $\mathbf{q} = 1, 2, ..., n$.

<u>Remark 2.</u> Now by considering domains bounded by piecewise analytic closed Jordan curves with the property of symmetry as noted in kemark 1, Theorem 2.1 is also valid in the case of analytic corners (exterior angle \mathcal{F} , $\mathfrak{n} \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \langle 2\mathfrak{n} \rangle$ corresponding to the end points of the straight lines of the \Im - parallel slit domain $G_{\Omega}(G)$.

<u>Proof of Theorem 2.</u> I. Let $g_{ij} \in A_{p}$ be an extremal function for which s_{ij} is equal to the upper bound in (24). Because of $p \equiv 1$ in G and Lemma 1, (17), we have for g_{ij} the following representation

(28)
$$g_{\Theta} = (1 - \lambda)z + \lambda G_{\Theta}(z)$$
 in G

and

U := Re(ie^{-i\Theta} \mathcal{B}_{Θ}) = (1- λ) Re(ie^{-i\Theta} z) + λ R₃, R₃ = const. , for z within Γ_3 , \mathcal{J} =1,2,...,n. Because U + iV := ie^{-i\Theta} \mathcal{B}_{Θ} satisfies the system (1) for which the corresponding dilatation p realizes the equality in (6) the level lines (U = const.) which are straight lines are necessarily orthogonal to (V = const) in G'. Therefore by considering (28) and the continuity of \mathcal{B}_{Θ} we conclude

$$V := Im(ie^{-i\Theta}g_{\Theta}) = (1 - \lambda)Im(ie^{-i\Theta}z) + \lambda Im(ie^{-i\Theta}G_{\Theta}(z')) \text{ for } z \in G'.$$

Here z' is one of the two points of intersection of the line through z G'subtending the angle $0+\pi/2$ with the positive real axis and the closed curve Γ_0 containing z inside. Particularly to every z within Γ_0 there may be at most two such points of intersection z' and z'' satisfying (ii) from Theorem 2. Those points z $\in \Gamma$ for which z' = z'' obviously correspond to the end points of the straight lines of the θ - parallel slit domain $G_0(G)$. This yields the representation (27). Evidently δ_0 maps rectangles with sides parallel to the axis of the co--ordinate system after a rotation $T := \xi + i = e^{-i\theta}$ z into rectangles with sides parallel to the axes in the image plane. By considering infinitesimal rectangles we obtain for the ratio of the side-lengths

(29)
$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \frac{d}{d} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\Theta}G_{\Theta}(z'))}{s} = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \frac{|G_{\Theta}(z')|}{\cos(\alpha - \Theta)}$$

 $\dot{z} \in G',$

whereas the larger side is parallel to the real axis of the rotated co-ordinate system. We denote by of the angle between the tangent on Γ at z' and the positive real axis. Obviously p(z) is the dilatation of the mapping z_{Θ} in G'. From (29) we conclude necessarily (i), otherwise p(z) would not be bounded. Taking into account that every exceptional point z_{Θ} is a simple zero of $G'_{\Theta}(z)$, we deduce from (29) and $p \not < \infty$

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} p(z') = \lim_{z \to z_0} 1 + \frac{|G_{\theta}(z')|}{\cos(\alpha - \theta)} = 1 + \left|\frac{C_0}{k}\right| < \infty ,$$

where $C_0 = \lim_{z \to z_0} \left|\frac{G_{\theta}(z)}{z}\right| \neq 0, \infty$ and k denotes the curvature

of 1 at 20. From this (iii) follows.

Conversely, if G fulfils the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 2, one proves as in [9] that for every λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$, g_{Θ} given by (27) is a hydrodynamically normalized quasiconformal mapping of **C** onto **C**. Using (29) and writting $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{\zeta} + i\mathbf{\eta} =$ $= e^{-i\Theta} \mathbf{z}$ we obtain after a short calculation

$$(30) \qquad \int_{G} p d\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbf{J}(\Gamma')} e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta} G_{\theta} d\mathbf{\eta} = \\ = \mathbf{I} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{4}{2\mathbf{i}} \int_{\mathbf{J}(\Gamma')} e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta} G_{\theta} d(\mathbf{J} - \overline{\mathbf{J}})\right) = \\ = \mathbf{I} + \frac{2\pi\lambda}{1-\lambda} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-2\mathbf{i}\theta} A_{1,\theta}\right) = \mathbf{I} + \frac{2\pi\lambda}{1-\lambda},$$

91

where $a := \bar{\kappa}e(e^{-2i\Theta} A_{1,\Theta})$. If we choose $\bar{\lambda}$ so that the equality in (6) holds, then g_{Θ} shows to be an admissible mapping for which the equality on the right-hand side in (24) holds.

II. The assertion under II. can be proved in the same manner as in Theorem 1.

Remark 3. R. Allinau [6] proved that in the case G = (121)and $\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(Q)}{Q} = 0$ an extremal function can not exist because $S_G = \operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} A_{1,\Theta})$. Because of (24) this situation is obviously not possible in the case of an arbitrary domain G and convex Φ

In the following we illustrate the dependence of the solvability of the extremal problem (7) on the boundary of G in the case $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{p}$.

<u>Theorem 5.</u> Let G be the exterior of a square with the center at the origin and the sides of length ℓ parallel to the axes of the coordinate system, $\Phi(p) \equiv p$, $\theta = 0$.

Then extremal function for the problem (7) does not exist and we have

(31)
$$s_0 := \sup \operatorname{Re} a_1 = \frac{a(C - l^2)}{2\pi a + C - l^2}$$

where the supramum is taken over A_p and $a := \operatorname{Re} A_{1,0} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^2 \Gamma^4(1/4)}{16\pi^2}$, $\Gamma(.) : Gamma - function.$

<u>Proof.</u> At first we prove (31). Because of (24) for $\Phi(p) \equiv p$ it is sufficient to construct a maximizing sequence $(\varepsilon_{0,n})$, $\varepsilon_{0,n} \in \mathbb{A}_p$, where (Re $a_1[\varepsilon_{0,n}]$) converges to the expression on the right-hand side of (31). Let G_n be the exterior of the piecewise analytic closed Jordan curve given by the equation

$$\left|\frac{2y}{L}\right| + \left|\frac{2x}{L}\right|^n = 1$$
, $n \ge 2$, $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{S}$.

The two analytic arcs of $\prod_n = \Im G_n$ meet at $z_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ under the same exterior angle $\Im = 2(\Re - \arctan n)$. Obviously (G_n) converges to G in the sense of the kernel convergence. Hence $(a_n := \operatorname{Re} A_{1,0}(G_n))$ converges to a := $\operatorname{Re} A_{1,0}(G)$ and $(I(G_n))$ to I(G') for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

According to Remark 2 and Theorem 2, (27), the mapping SO, n e_{A_p} :

$$\mathcal{E}_{O,n} = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda_n)z + \lambda_n G_{O,n}(z), & z \in G_n \\ (1-\lambda_n)z + \lambda_n G_{O,n}(z^*), & z \in G_n^* \end{cases}$$

is admissible if λ_n is chosen so that

$$C = \mathbf{l}^2 - I(G_n) + \int_{G_n} p_n \, d\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{l}^2 + \frac{\lambda_n}{1 - \lambda_n} 2\mathbf{T} a_n$$

which is obtained by using (29) and (30).

Consequently we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Re} a_1[s_{0,n}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n \cdot a_n = \frac{a(C - L^2)}{2\Re a + C - L^2} = s_0$$

Because of the symmetrical configuration of G evidently a := $A_{1,0} = d^2$. We denote by d the exterior conformal radius of G whose numerical value is $d = 1 \cdot \Gamma^2(1/4) / (4\pi^{3/2}) =$ = $1 \cdot 0.59017...$, see [10].

Suppose there exists an extremal function $g_0 \in A_p$. Then according to Theorem 2.I g_0 would have necessarily the representation (27). But from (29) one concludes that the dilatation p(z)of g_0 would be unbounded if $z \in G'$ converges to $z_1 = 1/2$. Moreover, g_0 would be discontinuous along the vertical sides of the square G. Accordingly $g_0 \notin A_p$.

5. Geometrical bounds for the domains of the values
$$a_1$$

and $w(z_1)$, $w \in A_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let oe
 $K_{\frac{1}{2}} := \{a_1 : w \in A_{\frac{1}{2}}\}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$

Corollary 1. The boundary of $K_{\frac{1}{2}}$ lies within the closed annulus with centre at the origin and the interior and exterior radii

(32)
$$R_{i} := \frac{(r + lml) \cdot I \cdot (1 - 1/4^{-1}(C/I))}{2\pi (r + lml) - I(1 - 1/4^{-1}(C/I))}$$

and

$$R_{e} := \frac{(r + |m|) \cdot I \cdot (\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(C/I) - 1)}{2\pi(r + |m|) + I(\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(C/I) - 1)}$$

whereas R_i = R_e iff.

 $G_0(z) + G_{\pi/2}(z) \equiv 2z$ for all $z \in \overline{G}$

In this case $K_{\pm} = (|z| \leq R_{i} = R_{e})$ is a closed disc.

<u>Remark 4.</u> It is well-known that for instance the domain of values a₁ over the class of quasiconformal mappings with a prescribed dilatation bound which is a bounded function of a complex variable is always a closed disc.

In the case of the class $K_{\overline{\Phi}}$ this is in general not true except for the special case of Corollary 1, for instance. The example in Theorem 3 shows that $K_{\overline{\Phi}=p}$ is i.g. closed. <u>nemerk 5.</u> Because of $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} k_{1,\Theta}) \leq r + |m| \leq R^2$, where R is the radius of the smallest circle K which contains Γ and by an argument of monotonicity one can replace $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-2i\Theta} k_{1,\Theta})$ or (r + |m|) by R^2 in all estimates. After this replacement equality holds in every estimate iff G is the exterior of K.

Applying the square root transformation $3 = \sqrt{z - z_1}$, $z_1 \in I$ fixed, in (15) we obtain by Remark 5

Corollary 2. Put $\Phi(p,z) := (p-1) / |z-z_1|$, $z_1 \in \mathfrak{C}$ fixed. Then we have the inequality

(33)
$$|w(z_1) - z_1| \leq \frac{2D(z_1) \cdot C}{4\Re D(z_1) + C}$$
, we have

where $D(z_1) := \max_{z \in \Gamma} |z-z_1|$. In the case G is the exterior of a circle contered at z_1 the exact domain of values $w(z_1)$, we as , is a closed disc given by (33). See also [4].

Remark 6. Analogously to Corollary 2 a reasoning as in [4] enables us to obtain sharp estimates for the functionals of Grunsky and Golusin type by using mean functions Φ adapted to the functional. REFERENCES

- [1] Билута, П.А., Некоторые экстремальные задачи в классе отображений, квазиконформных в среднем, Докл. Акад. Наук СССР 155(1964), 503-505.
- [2] Билута, П.А., Некоторые экстремальные задачи для отображений, квазиконформных в среднем, Сиб. мат. журн. 6 (1965), 717-726.
- [3] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Polya, G., Inequalities, Cambridge 1934.
- [4] Kirsch, S., Funktionalabschätzungen bei quasikonformen Abbildungen, to appear in math. Nachr.
- [5] Kruschkal, S.L., Künnau, R., Quasikonforme Abbildungen neue Methoden und Anwendungen, Teubner-Texte zur Math. Bd. 54.
- Kühnau, R., Bemerkungen zu den Grunkyschen Gebieten, math.
 Nachr. 44(1970), 285-293.
- [7] Kühnau, R., Eine Extremalcharakterisierung von Unterschallgasströmungen durch quasikonforme Abbildungen, Banach Center Publ. 11(1983), 199-210.
- [8] Kühnau, R., Über Extremalprobleme bei im mittel quasikonformen Abbildungen, Prep.Nr.50 d.Sekt.Math.d.Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg 1981; Lect. Notes Math. 1013(1983), 113-124.
- [9] Kühnau, R., Bemerkungen zu Extremalproblemen bei in einem speziellen Mittel quasikonformen Abbildungen, Lect. Notes hatk Math. 1013(1983), 125-131.
- [10] Ландкоф, Н.С., Основы современной теории потенциалов, Москва 1966.
- [11] Renelt, H., Quasikonforme Abbildungen und elliptische Systeme. Teubner-Texte zur Lath. Bd. 46, Leipzing 1982.

[12] Strebel, K., Ein Konvergenzsatz für Folgen quasikonformer Abbildungen, Comment. Math. Helv. 44(1969), 469-475.

STRESZCZENIE

Praca jest poświącona istnieniu funkcji ekstremalnej realizującej kresy górny i dolny pewnego funkcjonału na klasie odwzorowań średnio quasikonforemnych, tzn. homeomorfizmów, których dylatacja ma ograniczoną średnią polową.

Szczegółowo rozpatrzono przypadek, kiedy dziedziną odwzorowania jest kwadrat, a różniczka kwadratowa związana z funkcjonałem jest zupełnym kwadratem.

PESIME

Работа посвящена существованию экстремальной функцим, которая дает точную верхнюю или нижнюю грань некоторого функционала в классе отображений квазиконформных в среднем, т.е. гомеоморфизмов, дилатация которых имеет ограниченное среднее по площади. Подробнее рассмотен случай отображений заданных на квадрате и функционала сопряженного с квадратическим дифференциалом, который является полным квадратом.