

Halina HEBDA - GRABOWSKA (Lublin)

**On the Rate of Convergence for Distributions
of Linear Type Functionals for Sums of Infima
of Independent Random Variables**

ABSTRACT. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. Put $X_m^* = \inf(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$, $m \geq 1$; and $S_n = \sum_{m=1}^n X_m^*$, $n \geq 1$.

In this paper the convergence rate for distributions of linear functionals in the invariance principle is obtained.

1. Introduction and results. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.

Let us put

$$X_m^* = \inf(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m), \quad m \geq 1,$$

$$\tilde{S}_n = \sum_{m=1}^n X_m^*, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \tilde{S}_0 = 0,$$

and define

$$(1) \quad \tilde{S}_{n,k} = \left(S_k - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} \right) / \left(2 \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m} \right)^{1/2}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$
$$\tilde{S}_{n,0} = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We will denote by $S_n = \{S_n(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$, $n \geq 1$, the random functions given as follows:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= \tilde{S}_{n,k} + \frac{t - t_k}{t_{k+1} - t_k} (\tilde{S}_{n,k+1} - \tilde{S}_{n,k}), \\ &\text{for } t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ S_n(0) &= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} / \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $t_0 = 0$.

Let $\{C_{[0,1]}, \mathcal{B}_C\}$ be the space of continuous functions with the uniform metric, and let \mathcal{L} be a linear continuous functional defined on $C_{[0,1]}$. It is known that \mathcal{L} may be written in the form

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}(x) = \int_0^1 x(t) d\mu(t),$$

where $\mu(t)$ is a function with bounded variation on $[0, 1]$ (see f.e. [2], p. 304).

We are interested in finding the rate of convergence in the invariance principle:

$$\mathcal{L}(S_n) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{L}(W), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $W = \{W(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a Wiener process on $(C_{[0,1]}, \mathcal{B}_C)$.

For simplicity of notations we write

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \sup_{\lambda} |P[\mathcal{L}(X) < \lambda] - P[\mathcal{L}(Y) < \lambda]|,$$

where X, Y are random elements from (C, \mathcal{B}_C) .

We can now formulate the following:

Theorem. Let $S_n = \{S_n(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$, $n \geq 1$ be a sequence of random functions given by (2). Then for any linear continuous functional \mathcal{L} defined on $C_{[0,1]}$ we have

$$(4) \quad \Delta(S_n, W) \leq C_{\mathcal{L}} (\log n)^{-2/5},$$

where $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a positive constant dependent only on \mathcal{L} .

This type Theorem for independent random variables has been obtained in [2].

The rate of convergence in the invariance principle for integral type functionals for sums of infima of independent random variables was investigated in [5].

2. Proof. In the proof we apply some lemmas given by P. De- héuvels ([3], [4], lemmas 3.1, 3.2) and H. Hebda-Grabowska ([5], lemmas 3.3, 3.4) which we state in Section 3 in the interests of clarity.

To shorten notation we denote $c_n = \left(2 \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}\right)^{1/2}$, $n \geq 1$ and set

$$V_{n,k} = \frac{[\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k - E(\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k)]}{kc_n}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

$$V_{n,0} = 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where r.v's. τ_n , $n \geq 1$ are given in Section 3 by (3.1) ($\varepsilon(n) = n^{-1}$).

Put

$$(5) \quad U_{n,k} = \sum_{m=1}^k V_{n,m}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad n \geq 1$$

and

$$L_n^{(s)} = \sum_{k=1}^n E|V_{n,k}|^s, \quad s \geq 2.$$

By Lemma 3.2 r.v's. $V_{n,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ are independent and

$$EV_{n,k} = 0, \quad \sigma^2 V_{n,k} = \frac{2}{kc_n^2}, \quad \sigma^2 U_{n,k} = t_k,$$

$$\sigma^2 U_{n,n} = 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

moreover

$$(6) \quad L_n^{(s)} = O\left(s! \left(\log n\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}+1}\right), \quad s \geq 2, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let us define the random functions $W_n^{(1)} = \{W_n^{(1)}(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$, $n \geq 1$:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} W_n^{(1)}(t) &= U_{n,k} + \frac{t - t_k}{t_{k+1} - t_k} (U_{n,k+1} - U_{n,k}), \\ W_n^{(1)}(0) &= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_k, 0 \leq k \leq n$ are as in (2).

Now using Theorem 3 ([2], p. 295) we obtain

$$(8) \quad \Delta(W_n^{(1)}, W) \leq C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} (L_n^{(s)})^{\gamma(s)},$$

where $\gamma(s) = \min(\frac{2}{s}, \frac{1}{s-2})$, $s > 2$, and $C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)}$ is a positive constant dependent only on the functional \mathcal{L} .

Adding $s = 4$ to (6) we get $L_n^{(4)} = O(4!(\log n)^{-1})$ and $\gamma(4) = 1/2$ hence from (8)

$$(9) \quad \Delta(W_n^{(1)}, W) \leq C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} (\log n)^{-1/2},$$

where $C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}$ depends only on \mathcal{L} .

Now define

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} W_n^{(2)}(t) &= \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k} + \frac{t - t_k}{t_{k+1} - t_k} (\tilde{S}_{n,\tau_{k+1}} - \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k}), \\ t &\in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ W_n^{(2)}(0) &= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau_k} X_i^* - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} \right) / c_n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \\ \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_0} &= 0, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the form (3) of functional \mathcal{L} , (7), (10), and simply evaluations

we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P[|\mathcal{L}(W_n^{(2)}) - \mathcal{L}(W_n^{(1)})| \geq \delta_n] \\
 &= P\left[\left|\int_0^1 (W_n^{(2)}(t) - W_n^{(1)}(t)) d\mu(t)\right| \geq \delta_n\right] \\
 (12) \quad &= P\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (W_n^{(2)}(t) - W_n^{(1)}(t)) d\mu(t)\right| \geq \delta_n\right] \\
 &\leq P\left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |U_{n,k} - \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k}| V_0^1 \mu \geq \delta_n\right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $V_0^1 \mu$ is a variation of μ on $[0, 1]$.

So, by Lemma 3.3 putting $\delta_n = (\log n)^{-2/5}$ we obtain

$$(13) \quad P\left[|\mathcal{L}(W_n^{(2)}) - \mathcal{L}(W_n^{(1)})| \geq (\log n)^{-2/5}\right] \leq C_l^{(3)} (\log n)^{-2/5},$$

where $C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(3)}$ is a positive constant dependent only on \mathcal{L} .

By simple arguments we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(W_n^{(2)}, W) &\leq \Delta(W_n^{(1)}, W) + P\left[|\mathcal{L}(W_n^{(2)}) - \mathcal{L}(W_n^{(1)})| \geq \delta_n\right] \\
 (14) \quad &+ \max_x \left[\sup_x |P[\mathcal{L}(W) < x + \delta_n] - P[\mathcal{L}(W) < x]|, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \sup_x |P[\mathcal{L}(W) < x] - P[\mathcal{L}(W) < x - \delta_n]| \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By (9), (13) and the fact that

$$\mathcal{L}(W) = \int_0^1 W(t) d\mu(t)$$

is normally distributed with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2 = \int_0^1 (\mu(1) - \mu(t))^2 dt$ ([2], p. 305) we obtain

$$(15) \quad \Delta(W_n^{(2)}, W) \leq C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(4)} (\log n)^{-2/5},$$

as

$$\sup_x |P[\mathcal{L}(W) < x \pm \delta_n] - P[\mathcal{L}(W) < x]| \leq (2\pi)^{-1/2} \frac{\delta_n}{\sigma},$$

([6], p. 143).

Similar arguments (as in (12)), apply to the case of $S_n = \{S_n(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad & P \left[\left| \mathcal{L}(S_n) - \mathcal{L}(W_n^{(2)}) \right| \geq \delta_n \right] \\
 & \leq P \left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\tilde{S}_{n,k} - \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k}| V_0^1 \mu \geq \delta_n \right] \\
 & \leq C_{\mathcal{L}}^{(5)} (\log n)^{-2/5},
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.4.

Replacing in (14) $W_n^{(2)}$ by S_n , $W_n^{(1)}$ by $W_n^{(2)}$ and using (15) - (16) we get (4). This finishes the proof.

3. Lemmas. In this section we present some lemmas we needed in the proof of our Theorem.

Let $\{\varepsilon(n), n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers strictly decreasing to zero.

By $\{\tau_n = \tau(\varepsilon(n)), n \geq 1\}$ we denote the sequence of r.v.'s. such that

$$(3.1) \quad \tau_n = \inf \left\{ m : \inf(X_1, \dots, X_m) \leq \varepsilon(n) \right\},$$

where $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.r.v.'s. u.d. on $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 3.1. *The sequence $\{\tau_n, n \geq 1\}$ increases with probability one and $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s., as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 3.2. *The random variables $\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n, n \geq 1$ are independent and if $\varepsilon(n) = n^{-1}$, then*

$$E(\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n) = 1, \quad \sigma^2(\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n) = 2n, \quad n \geq 1$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n E(\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k)^p / k^p \sim p! \log n.$$

Lemma 3.3.

$$P \left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k} - U_{n,k}| \geq \delta_n \right] \leq \frac{C}{\delta_n^4 c_n^4},$$

where $U_{n,k}$ and \tilde{S}_{n,τ_k} are as in (5) and (11) in Section 2, and $c_n = (2 \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m})^{1/2}$.

Lemma 3.4.

$$P \left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\tilde{S}_{n,k} - \tilde{S}_{n,\tau_k}| > (\log n)^{-2/5} \right] = O \left((\log n)^{-2/5} \right),$$

where $\tilde{S}_{n,k}$ are given by (1).

REFERENCES

- [1] Billingsley, P., *Convergence of Probability Measures*, J. Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] Borisov, J. S., *On the rate of convergence for distributions of integral type functionals*, Teor. Verojatn. i Primenen **21** (1976), 293–308.
- [3] Deh uvels, P., *Sur la convergence de sommes de minima de variables al atoires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **276**, A (1973), 309–313.
- [4] ———, *Valeurs extr males d' chantillons croissants d'une variable al atoire r elle*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar  Probab. Statist. **10** (1974), 89–114.
- [5] Hebda-Grabowska, H., *On the rate of convergence for distributions of integral type functionals for sums of infima of independent random variables*, Probab. Math. Statist. **14**(2) (1993).
- [6] Petrov, V. V., *Sums of independent random variables*, Nauka, Moscow 1972.
- [7] Prohorov, Yu. V., *Schodimost slucajnych processov i predelnyje teoremy veroyatnosti*, Teor. Ver. i ee Prim. **I** (1956), 177–238.

Instytut Matematyki UMCS
Plac Marii Curie-Sk odowskiej 1
20-031 Lublin, Poland

received May 8, 1995

