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## Inequalities for Wright-Convex Functions


#### Abstract

In this note we show that well-known inequalities for convex functions are also valid for Wright-convex functions.


1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A real function $f$ defined on $I$ is called Wright-convex if, for each $x<y$ and $h>0$ such that $x$ and $y+h$ are in $I$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+h)-f(x) \leq f(y+h)-f(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Z. Opial [3] (see also [1, p. 351]) proved the following result:

If $x_{2 k} \leq x_{2 k-1}, x_{2 k} \leq x_{2 k+1}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

A generalization of this inequality was given in [9] and some related results were given in [4]. The following theorem is a special case of these results:

Theorem 1. Let $x_{i} \in I, i=1, \ldots, 2 n+1$, be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i} \in I . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 k} \leq x_{2 k+1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2 k}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i} \geq 0, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for every convex function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1}(-1)^{i-1} f\left(x_{i}\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the reverse inequalities in (3) are valid, then (4) is also valid.
(ii) If instead of (3) the following conditions hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 k} \leq x_{2 k+1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2 k}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i} \leq 0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the reverse inequality in (4) is valid. If the reverse inequalities in (5) are valid, then the reverse inequality in (4) is also valid.

Remark. Of course, (4) is a generalization of Opial's inequality.
Now we shall prove that Theorem 1 is also holds, if $f$ is a Wright-convex function. In fact, we shall prove (4) only in the case when (2) and (3) are fulfilled; the other cases can be proved similarly.

Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Wright-convex. For $n=1$ we have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{3}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $x_{2} \leq x_{1}$ and $x_{2} \leq x_{3}$. But this is a simple consequence of (1): put $x=x_{2}, y=x_{3}$ and $h=x_{1}-x_{2}$.

Suppose that Theorem 1 (with $f$ Wright-convex) holds for $n-1$ and that (2) and (3) are fulfilled for $n$. Then they are also fulfilled for $n-1$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i-1} f\left(x_{i}\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{2 n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}$ and $x_{2 n} \leq x_{2 n+1}$, we may use (6) with $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ replaced by $\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}, x_{2 n}$ and $x_{2 n+1}$, resp. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{2 n}\right)+f\left(x_{2 n+1}\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7) and (8) we infer that Theorem 1 holds also for $n$; this finishes the proof.

The following theorem is a generalization of a result from [5].
Theorem 2. Let $y_{i}, z_{i} \in I, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
(i) If

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{k} \leq y_{k+1}, k=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{9}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}, k=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{10}\\
& z_{1}+\cdots+z_{n}=y_{1}+\cdots+y_{n} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for every Wright-convex function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following inequality is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(y_{n}\right) \leq f\left(z_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(z_{n}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (11) is valid and the reverse inequalities hold in (9) and (10) then (12) is also valid.
(ii) If (9), (11) and the reverse inequality in (10) hold, then the reverse inequality in (12) holds. If (10), (11) and the reverse inequality in (9) hold, then the reverse inequality in (12) holds, too.

Proof. Let $x_{2 k-1}=y_{k}, x_{2 k}=z_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n, x_{2 n+1}=z_{n}$. Then the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, hence (4) becomes

$$
f\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{1}\right)-\cdots-f\left(z_{n}\right)+f\left(z_{n}\right) \leq f\left(z_{n}\right) .
$$

Thus (12) is proved. The other cases can be proved similarly.
Remark. Assumptions of Theorems 1 and 2 are simpler than those in [7], [8].

Theorem 3. Let $a_{1}, a_{2 n-1} \in I$ and $a_{k}+a_{k+1} \in I, k=1, \ldots, 2 n-2$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \geq a_{3} \geq \ldots \geq a_{2 n-1}  \tag{13}\\
& a_{2 k} \geq 0, k=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

then for every Wright-convex function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(a_{1}\right)+f\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) & +\cdots+f\left(a_{2 n-2}+a_{2 n-1}\right) \leq f\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \\
& +\cdots+f\left(a_{2 n-3}+a_{2 n-2}\right)+f\left(a_{2 n-1}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

If (14) and the reverse inequalities in (13) are fulfilled then the reverse inequality in (15) is true.

Proof. Let $y_{1}=a_{1}, z_{n}=a_{2 n-1}, y_{k+1}=a_{2 k}+a_{2 k+1}, z_{k}=a_{2 k-1}+$ $a_{2 k}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$. Then (11) and the reverse inequalities in (9) and (10) are valid; so (15) is a consequence of (12).
2. The well-known Petrović inequality is also valid for Wright-convex functions:

Theorem 4. Let $f:[0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Wright-convex. If $x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$ and $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \leq \boldsymbol{a}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(x_{n}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)+(n-1) f(0) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $n=2$ (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+f(0) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from (1) if we set $x=0, y=x_{1}, h=x_{2}$. Suppose that Theorem 4 holds for $n-1$. Then $f\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(x_{n-1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)+(n-2) f(0)$. By using (17) with $x_{1}$ replaced by $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}$ and $x_{2}$ replaced by $x_{n}$ we get (16); this completes the inductive proof.
3. All the above results for Wright-convex functions, as well as the Szegö inequality (see [6]), can be obtained from corresponding results for convex functions as consequences of the following result.

Theorem 5 ([2]). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Wright-convex if and only if $f=C+A$ where $C: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is additive.

Note also that in [2] it was proved that the well-known majorization theorem is also valid for Wright-convex functions.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be real numbers.
A vector $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is said to be majorized by a vector $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, in symbols $x \succ y$ or $y \prec x$, if after possible reordering of the components so that $x_{1} \geq \ldots \geq x_{n}$ and $y_{1} \geq \ldots \geq y_{n}$, we have $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k} \geq y_{1}+\cdots+y_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=y_{1}+\cdots+y_{n}$.

Theorem 6 ([2]). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(x_{n}\right) \leq f\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(y_{n}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all vectors $x$ and $y$ with $x_{i}, y_{i} \in I$ and $x \prec y$, if and only if $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Wright-convex.

Remark. It is easy to see that Theorem 4 is a consequence of Theorem 6. Also Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 6 (see [5]).

Theorems 1-4 are proved in this note by using directly the definition of a Wright-convex function. The following problem could be of interest: Prove Theorem 6 by using directly the definition of a Wright-convex function.
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