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#### Abstract

The paper deals with the problem of decomposition of symmetric infinitely divisible random variables into a product of independent symmetric infinitely divisible factors.


We denote by $P$ the set of all probability measures defined on Borel subsets of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. By $S$ denote the subset of $P$ consisting of symmetric probability measures. By $P_{\infty}$ we denote the subset of $P$ consisting of infinitely divisible probability measures. Put $S_{\infty}=S \cap P_{\infty}$. By $\delta_{c}$ we denote the probability, measure concetrated at the point $c$. We shall also use the notation $\vartheta=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{-1}\right)$. Given $\mu, \nu \in P$ we denote by $\mu * \nu$ the convolution of $\mu$ and $\nu$. We denote by $\hat{\mu}$ the characteristic function of $\mu$, i.e.

$$
\widehat{\mu}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i t x} \mu(d x) \quad(t \in \mathbb{R}) .
$$

By $\mu \circ \nu$ we denote the multiplicative convolution of $\mu$ and $\nu$, i.e. the probability distribution of the product $X Y$ of independent random variables
$X$ and $Y$ with the probability distribution $\mu$ and $\nu$ respectively. Observe that $\vartheta \circ \mu=\mu$ for $\mu \in S$ which shows that $\vartheta$ is a unit element in $S$ for the operation 0 . It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu \circ \nu) \gamma(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(t x) \nu(d x) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A probability measure $\lambda$ from $P$ is said to be a $P_{\infty}$ - multiplicator if $\lambda \circ \mu \in P_{\infty}$ for every $\mu \in P$. The set of all $P_{\infty}$ - multiplicators will be denoted by $P_{\infty}^{0}$. Setting $S_{\infty}^{0}=S \cap P_{\infty}^{0}$ and using the notation :

$$
A \circ B=\{\mu \circ \nu: \mu \in A, \nu \in B\}
$$

we have the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \cap S_{\infty}^{0}=S_{\infty}^{0} \subset S_{\infty} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Several authors have dealt with probability measures belonging to $P_{\infty}^{0}$ and $S_{\infty}^{0}$. Goldier's result on mixtures of the exponential distributions in [2] extended by F. W. Steutel in [4] showed that the set $P_{\infty}^{0}$ is non-empty. For detailed information we refer to [5].

A probability measure $\lambda$ from $S_{\infty}$ is said to be multiplicativelly $S_{\infty}$ decomposable if there exist probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ belonging to $S_{\infty}$ such that $\lambda=\mu \circ \nu$. In the opposite case $\lambda$ is called multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ indecomposable. The problem of multiplicative $S_{\infty}$ - decomposability was discussed in [6]. In particular the following result was obtained.

Each probability measure $\mu$ from $S_{\infty}$ other than $\delta_{0}$ has a representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{1} \circ \mu_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \mu_{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a positive integer, the factors $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ belong to $S_{\infty}$ and are multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable. Moreover for every probability measure $\mu$ the set of all possible integers $k$ in representation (3) is finite.

Let $\mu \in S_{\infty}$ and $\mu \neq \delta_{0}$. Two representations

$$
\mu=\mu_{1} \circ \mu_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \mu_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu=\nu_{1} \circ \nu_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \nu_{\tau}
$$

with multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$-indecomposable factors $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ and $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{r}$ from $S_{\infty}$ are said to be equivalent if $k=r$ and the $k$-tuple $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{k}$ is a permutation of the $k$-tuple $\delta_{a_{1}} \circ \mu_{1}, \delta_{a_{2}} \circ \mu_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{a_{k}} \circ \mu_{k}$ for some $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma. If $\mu \in P$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mu}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x|^{-1} \mu(d x)=\infty$.

Proof. If $\mu$ has a positive mass at the origin, then our statement is obvious. Consequently we may assume that $\mu(\{0\})=0$. Since, by (4), the characteristic function $\hat{\mu}$ is real-valued, we conclude that $\mu \in S$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \widehat{\mu}(t) d t=2 \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos t x \mu(d x) d t=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} \sin T x \mu(d x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $T>0$. Contrary to the assertion of the Lemma let us suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x|^{-1} \mu(d x)<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (5), $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(t) d t<\infty$ which together with inequality (4) shows that the measure $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Setting $\mu(d x)=g(x) d x$ we have, by (6), the inequality $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} g(x) d x<\infty$. Consequently, bv Riemann- Lebesgue Theorem

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} g(x) \sin T x d x=0
$$

Comparying this with (5) we get the equality $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(t) d t=0$ which, by (4) and the continuity of $\widehat{\mu}$, yields $\widehat{\mu}(t)=0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. But this contradicts the formula $\widehat{\mu}(0)=1$. The Lemma is thus proved.

Theorem. Suppose that $\lambda \in S_{\infty}$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\lambda}(t) d t<\infty$. Then $\lambda$ is multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable.

Proof. Suppose the contrary and put $\lambda=\mu \circ \nu$ where $\mu, \nu \in S_{\infty}$. Since $\hat{\mu}(t)>0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have, by (1), the formula

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\lambda}(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(t) d t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x|^{-1} \nu(d x)
$$

Consequently, by Lemma, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\lambda}(t) d t=\infty$ which contradicts the assumption. The Theorem is thus proved.

The above Theorem may serve for determining of multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ indecomposable factors. We shall illustrate this by some examples.

Example 1. Stable probability measure. Given $0<p \leq 2$ we denote by $\sigma_{p}$ the symmetric stable probability measure with the characteristicr function
$\widehat{\sigma}_{p}(t)=\exp \left(-|t|^{p}\right)(t \in \mathbb{R})$. Of course $\sigma_{p} \in S_{\infty}$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\sigma}_{p}(t) d t<\infty$ which, by the Theorem, shows that the stable measures $\sigma_{p}(0<p \leq 2)$ are multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable. This gives an affirmative answer to the problem raised in [6]

Example 2. The measures $\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{q}(0<p, q \leq 2)$. The well-known result on variance mixtures of Gaussian probability measures can be formulated as follows. Let $X$ be a random variable with the probability distribution $\lambda$. Suppose that the probability distribution of $X^{2}$ is infinitely divisible. Then $\sigma_{2} \circ \lambda \in S_{\infty}$ (see [5]). Consider two independent random variables $Y$ and $Z$ with the probability distribution $\sigma_{2}$ and $\mu$ respectively. Here $\mu$ is an arbitrary probability measure from $P$. Observe that the probability distribution of $Y^{2}$ is the gamma distribution on $[0, \infty)$ with the density $2^{-1}(\pi x)^{-1 / 2} \exp (-x / 4)$ and, consequently, by Steutel's Theorem from [4] belongs to $P_{\infty}^{0}$. Hence it follows that the probability distribution the random variable $Y^{2} Z^{2}$ belongs to $P_{\infty}$ which shows that $\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \mu \in S_{\infty}$ for every $\mu \in P$. In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2} \in S_{\infty}^{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that for every pair $0<p \leq r \leq 2$ there exists a probability measure $\nu_{p, r}$ concentrated on the positive half-line and fulfilling the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}=\sigma_{r} \circ \nu_{p, r} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1, Chapter XVII. Exercise 9]. Applying formula (1) we get the equality

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\sigma}_{p}(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\sigma}_{r}(t) d t \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} \nu_{p, r}(d x)
$$

which yields the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} \nu_{p, r}(d x)<\infty \quad(0<p \leq r \leq 2) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $r=2$ into (8) we get the formula

$$
\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{q}=\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \nu_{p, 2} \circ \nu_{q, 2}
$$

which, by (2) and (7), yields

$$
\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{q} \in S_{\infty}^{0} \quad(0<p, q \leq 2) .
$$

Consider the case $0<p \leq 1,0<q<2$. Setting $\lambda_{r}=\sigma_{p} \circ \nu_{q, r}$ for $q<r \leq 2$ we have, by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{q}=\sigma_{r} \circ \lambda_{r} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Keilson-Steutel Theorem from [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p} \in S_{\infty}^{0} \quad \text { for } 0<p \leq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $\lambda_{r} \in S_{\infty}$. Further, by (1) and (9),

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\lambda}_{r}(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{p}(t) d t \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} \nu_{q, r}(d x)
$$

Applying Theorem we conclude that the probability measures $\lambda_{T}$ is multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable. Thus formula (10) defines a non-denumerable family of non-equivalent decompositions of the probability measure $\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{q}$ with $0<p \leq 1$ and $0<q<2$.

For the probability measure $\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2}$ the situation is quite different. In fact suppose that $\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2}=\mu \circ \nu$ with $\mu, \nu \in S_{\infty}$. By a simple calculation we have the formula

$$
\left(\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2}\right)(t)=\left(1+4 t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \quad(t \in \mathbb{R})
$$

which shows, by Theorem 2 in [6], that $\mu=\delta_{a} \circ \sigma_{2}$ and $\nu=\delta_{b} \circ \sigma_{2}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consequently, the probability measure $\sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{2}$ has exactly one decomposition up to the equivalence relation.

Example 3. The multiplicative semigroup generated by $\sigma_{p}$. Suppose that $0<p \leq 1$. By Zolotariev Theorem ([7, Theorem 3.5.2]) there exists a oneparameter multiplicative semigroup $\rho_{r}(r>0)$ fulfilling the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{q} \circ \rho_{r}=\rho_{q+r}(q, r>0) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\sigma_{p} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{r} \neq \delta_{0} \quad \text { for } r>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $r>1$ we have, by (12) and (13), $\rho_{r}=\sigma_{p} \circ \rho_{r-1}$ which, by (11), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{r} \in S_{\infty} \text { for } r \geq 1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A measure $\lambda$ from $S$ is called cancellable if the equality $\lambda \circ \mu=\lambda \circ \nu$ with $\mu, \nu \in S$ yields $\mu=\nu$. Suppose that $\sigma_{p} \circ \mu=\sigma_{p} \circ \nu$ and $\mu, \nu \in S$. By formula (1) and the symmetry of $\mu$ and $\nu$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-|t|^{p} x^{p}\right) \mu(d x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-|t|^{p} x^{p}\right) \nu(d x) \quad(t \in \mathbb{R})
$$

which, by the uniqueness of the Laplace transform, yields $\mu=\nu$. Thus the stable probability measures $\sigma_{p}$ are cancellable. Moreover, by (12) and (13), for every positive integer $m$ the multiplicative convolution power $\rho_{m}=\sigma_{p}^{0 m}$ is cancellable.

Now we shall prove that the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{q}=\delta_{c} \circ \rho_{r} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q, r>0$ yields $q=r$. Contrary to this assume that $q \neq r$. Since, by (14), $c \neq 0$, we may assume without loss of generality that $q=r+a$ for some $a>0$.

Taking a positive number $b$ such that $m=r+b$ is a positive integer we get, by (12) and (16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{m} \circ \rho_{a}=\rho_{m} \circ \delta_{c} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by (15), $\rho_{m} \in S_{\infty}$, we have $\vartheta \circ \rho_{m}=\rho_{m}$. Consequenltly equality (17) can be written in the form

$$
\rho_{m} \circ\left(\vartheta \circ \rho_{a}\right)=\rho_{m} \circ\left(\vartheta \circ \delta_{c}\right)
$$

Of course both probability measures $\vartheta \circ \rho_{a}$ and $\vartheta \circ \delta_{c}$ are symmetric. Since the probability measure $\rho_{m}$ is cancellable, the above equality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta \circ \rho_{a}=\vartheta \circ \delta_{c} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that for every positive integer $n$ fulfilling the condition $n a>1$ we have, by (15), $\rho_{n a} \in S_{\infty}$ which implies $\rho_{n a}=\vartheta \circ \rho_{n a}$. Thus, by (12) and ( 18),

$$
\rho_{n a}=\left(\vartheta \circ \rho_{a}\right)^{\circ n}=\vartheta \circ \delta_{c} n
$$

Hence it follows that the probability measure $\rho_{n a}$ is concentrated at the two points $-c^{n}$ and $c^{n}$. On the other hand the support of the infinitely
divisible probability measure $\rho_{n a}$ different, by (14), from $\delta_{0}$ is unbounded ([1, Chapter VI. 3]) which yields the contradiction. This shows that equality (16) implies $q=r$.

From (13) it follows that the probability measure $\rho_{1}$ is multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable. By Proposition 1 in [6] the subset of $S_{\infty}$ consisting of all multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable probability measures is open in the topology of weak convergence. Consequently, we can choose an integer $s \geq 2$ such that $\rho_{r}$ are multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable whenever $1 \leq r \leq 1+1 / s$. Given an arbitrary positive integer $n$ and a real number $q$ fulfilling the inequality $0 \leq q<(2 s)^{-1}$ we put for the sake of notation $a(q)=1+q, b(q)=1-q+1 / s, w=(n+1)(s+1)$ and

$$
u(k)=k(s+1)-1, \quad v(k)=(n+1-k) s-1 \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n) .
$$

It is clear that $1 \leq a(q) \leq 1+1 / s, 1 \leq b(q) \leq 1+1 / s, u(k) \leq 1$ and $v(k) \geq 1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Moreover for every $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ we get, by (12), a non-denumerable family of non-equivalent decompositions of the probability measure $\rho_{w}$ into $(n+1) s+k$ multiplicatively $S_{\infty}$ - indecomposable factors

$$
\rho_{w}=\rho_{a(q)} \circ \rho_{b(q)} \circ \rho_{a(0)}^{\circ u(k)} \circ \rho_{b(0)}^{\circ v(k)} \text {. }
$$
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