ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE – SKLODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LIII, 6	SECTIO A	1999
The second se		

FREDERICK W. GEHRING and KARI HAG*

Bounds for the hyperbolic distance in a quasidisk

ABSTRACT. This is a survey of recent work on bounds for the hyperbolic distance h_D in terms of a similarity invariant metric j_D and the Möbius invariant Apollonian metric a_D . Both of these metrics provide lower bounds for h_D . Each provides an upper bound if and only if D is a quasidisk.

1. A distortion theorem. The following surprisingly simple distortion theorem for quasiconformal mappings was established in [9].

Theorem 1.1. If f is a K-quasiconformal self mapping of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which fixes 0, 1 and ∞ , then

 $|f(z)| + 1 \le 16^{K-1} (|z| + 1)^K$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The coefficient 16^{K-1} cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

The proof follows from well known facts about the modulus of a ring domain, see for example [12], and results due to Agard [1] and Teichmüller [14].

Theorem 1.1 yields, in turn, a simple bound for the change of the crossratio under a quasiconformal mapping.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30F40.

[•]Research supported in part by grants from the U. S. National Science Foundation (FWG) and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (KH).

Corollary 1.2. If f is a K-quasiconformal self mapping of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then

$$|(f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4))| + 1 \le 16^{K-1}(|(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)| + 1)^K$$

for each quadruple of points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}$.

2. The distance-ratio and hyperbolic metrics. If h_D is the hyperbolic metric with curvature -1 in a simply connected proper subdomain D of \mathbb{C} , then

(2.1)
$$j_D(z_1, z_2) \le 4 h_D(z_1, z_2)$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$ where j_D is the distance-ratio metric [7],

(2.2)
$$j_D(z_1, z_2) = \log\left(\frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\operatorname{dist}(z_1, \partial D)} + 1\right)\left(\frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\operatorname{dist}(z_2, \partial D)} + 1\right).$$

Since j_D is a function of the ratios of the euclidean distance between z_1 and z_2 and the euclidean distances from these points to ∂D , j_D is invariant with respect to similarities. See [8] and [11]. The function j_D also yields an upper bound for h_D , namely

(2.3)
$$h_D(z_1, z_2) \le a j_D(z_1, z_2) + b$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$ if and only if D is a K-quasidisk, the image of a disk or half plane under a K-quasiconformal self mapping of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$; see [6]. In this case a = a(K) and b = b(K). Inequality (2.3) implies that

(2.4)
$$h_D(z_1, z_2) \le c j_D(z_1, z_2), \qquad c = a + \max(b, \sqrt{b})$$

by [8]. Moreover, $h_D(z_1, z_2) \leq j_D(z_1, z_2)$ if D is a disk or half plane [8].

Corollary 1.2 allows us to obtain some simple estimates for a(K) and b(K).

Theorem 2.5. If f is a K-quasiconformal self mapping of \mathbb{C} , then for each proper subdomain D of \mathbb{C} ,

$$j_{f(D)}(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \le K j_D(z_1, z_2) + 2(K-1)\log 16$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$.

Corollary 2.6. If D is a domain in \mathbb{C} and if there exists a K-quasiconformal self mapping of \mathbb{C} which maps D conformally onto a disk or half plane, then

$$(2.7) h_D(z_1, z_1) \le K j_D(z_1, z_2) + 2(K - 1) \log 16$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$.

Corollary 2.6 together with (2.3) and (2.4) then yield the following bounds for hyperbolic distance in a quasidisk.

Theorem 2.8. If $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a K-quasidisk, then

$$(2.9) h_D(z_1, z_1) \le K^2 j_D(z_1, z_2) + 2(K^2 - 1)\log 16$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$. In particular,

$$h_D(z_1, z_1) \le c \, j_D(z_1, z_2)$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$ where $c = c(K) \to 1$ as $K \to 1$.

Sketch of Proof. By hypothesis, there exists a K-quasiconformal self mapping f of \mathbb{C} which maps D onto a disk or half plane. If D is bounded, then we may assume that f fixes ∞ and f(D) is the unit disk B. The existence theorem for the Beltrami equation implies there exists a K-quasiconformal self mapping $g: B \to B$ which fixes 0 such that $g \circ f$ is conformal in D. Reflection in ∂B extends g to a K-quasiconformal self mapping of \mathbb{C} . Then $h = g \circ f$ is K^2 -quasiconformal and we can apply Corollary 2.6 to obtain (2.9).

Remark 2.10 The coefficient K of $j_D(z_1, z_2)$ in (2.7) cannot be replaced by a constant less than (K + 1)/2. The coefficient K^2 of $j_D(z_1, z_2)$ in (2.9) cannot be replaced by a constant less than $(K^2 + 1)/2$.

These lower bounds follow from explicit calculations for the case where $D = \{z = re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < \infty, |\theta| < \pi\alpha/2\}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$. See [9].

3. A sharp criterion for a quasidisk. Ahlfors' well known three-point criterion for a quasidisk can easily be rewritten in terms of crossratios. See [6] and [8].

Criterion 3.1. A Jordan domain D is a quasidisk if and only if there is a constant $c \ge 1$ such that

$$|(z_1, z_4, z_2, z_3)| + |(z_3, z_4, z_2, z_1)| = \frac{|z_1 - z_2||z_3 - z_4| + |z_2 - z_3||z_4 - z_1|}{|z_1 - z_3||z_2 - z_4|} \le c$$

for each ordered quadruple of points z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 in ∂D .

How large can the constant c be for a K-quasidisk? For this we recall the distortion function

$$\lambda(K) = \left(rac{\phi_K(1/\sqrt{2})}{\phi_{1/K}(1/\sqrt{2})}
ight)^2 \quad ext{where} \quad \phi_K(r) = \mu^{-1}(\mu(r)/K).$$

See [12], [3]. Here K > 0, 0 < r < 1 and $\mu(r)$ is the modulus of the ring domain bounded by $\{z : |z| = 1\}$ and the segment [0, r].

The function $\lambda(K)$ gives the sharp upper bound for the distortion of the unit circle,

$$\sup_{\theta_1,\theta_2} \left(\frac{|f(e^{i\theta_1}) - f(0)|}{|f(e^{i\theta_2}) - f(0)|} \right),\,$$

under a K-quasiconformal self map f of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$; see [13].

Theorem 2 of [2] then yields the following result.

Criterion 3.2. If z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 is an ordered quadruple of points on a K-quasicircle C, then

(3.3)
$$\frac{|z_1 - z_2||z_3 - z_4| + |z_2 - z_3||z_4 - z_1|}{|z_1 - z_3||z_2 - z_4|} \le c(K)$$

where

$$c(K) = \frac{\lambda(K)^{1/2} + \lambda(K)^{-1/2}}{2}$$

Inequality (3.3) is sharp for all K.

Additional calculation then yields the following variant of Criterion 3.2 [10].

Criterion 3.4. If z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 is an ordered quadruple of points on a K-quasicircle C and if

$$\max(|z_1 - z_0|, |z_3 - z_0|) \le a \le b \le \min(|z_2 - z_0|, |z_4 - z_0|)$$

for some point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then

 $(3.5) b/a \le \lambda(K)^{1/2}.$

Inequality (3.5) is sharp for all K.

4. The Apollonian and hyperbolic metrics. If D is a proper subdomain of the extended complex plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then the Apollonian metric a_D is defined as

(4.1)
$$a_D(z_1, z_2) = \sup_{w_1, w_2 \in \partial D} \log |(z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2)|$$
$$= \sup_{w_1, w_2 \in \partial D} \log \left(\frac{|z_1 - w_1| |z_2 - w_2|}{|z_1 - w_2| |z_2 - w_1|} \right)$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$. See [4] and [5]. Strictly speaking a_D is only a pseudometric if ∂D lies in a circle C and D contains points which are symmetric in C. It follows that a_D is invariant with respect to Möbius transformations.

The metric a_D furnishes information about other metrics defined in D. For example, if D is a disk, then $a_D(z_1, z_2) = h_D(z_1, z_2)$ for $z_1, z_2 \in D$ [Be]. If $D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a simply connected domain of hyperbolic type, then a_D yields the sharp lower bound

$$(4.2) a_D(z_1, z_2) \le 2 h_D(z_1, z_2)$$

for h_D [5]. Cf. (2.1). Finally it follows from (2.2) and (4.1) that

$$(4.3) a_D(z_1, z_2) \le j_D(z_1, z_2)$$

even when D is not simply connected [Be].

As in the case of the distance-ratio metric j_D , the Apollonian metric a_D yields an upper bound for h_D only when D is a quasidisk. This fact is a consequence of the following analogues for a_D of inequalities (2.7) and (2.9). The geometric information concerning ∂D in Criterion 3.4 is needed in the proof of these results. For example, as noted above, there exist $z_1, z_2 \in D$ with $a_D(z_1, z_2) = 0 < h_D(z_1, z_2)$ whenever ∂D is a proper subset of a circle.

Theorem 4.4. If f is a K-quasiconformal self map of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and if D is a proper subdomain of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then

 $a_{f(D)}(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \le K a_D(z_1, z_2) + 2(K - 1) \log 32$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$.

Corollary 4.5. If D is a domain in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and if there exists a K-quasiconformal self map f of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which maps D conformally onto a disk, then

(4.6)
$$h_D(z_1, z_2) \le K a_D(z_1, z_2) + 2(K-1) \log 32.$$

Theorem 4.7. If $D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a K-quasidisk, then

$$(4.8) h_D(z_1, z_2) \le K^2 a_D(z_1, z_2) + 2(K^2 - 1)\log 32$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in D$. In particular, $h_D(z_1, z_2) \leq c a_D(z_1, z_2)$ where $c = c(K) \rightarrow 1$ as $K \rightarrow 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agard, S. B., Distortion theorems for quasiconformal mappings, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI 413 (1968), 1-11.
- [2] Agard, S. B and F. W. Gehring, Angles and quasiconformal mappings, Proc. London Math. Soc. 14A (1965), 1-21.
- [3] Anderson, G. D., M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. K. Vuorinen, Distortion functions for plane quasiconformal mappings, Israel J. Math. 62 (1988), 1-16.
- Barbilian, D., Einordnung von Lobatschewskys Massbestimmung in gewisse allgemeine Metrik der Jordanschen Bereiche, Casopis Matematiky a Fysiky 64 (1934-35), 182-183.
- [5] Beardon, A. F., The Apollonian metric of a domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Quasiconformal mappings and analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [6] Gehring, F. W., Characteristic properties of quasidisks, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1982.
- [7] _____, Characterizations of quasidisks, Banach Center Publications 48 Warsaw (1999 (to appear)).
- [8] _____ and K. Hag, Hyperbolic geometry and disks, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 104 (1999 (to appear)).
- [9] _____ and K. Hag, A bound for hyperbolic distance in a quasidisk, Comput. Methods and Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Co., 1997.
- [10] _____ and K. Hag, The Apollonian metric and quasiconformal mappings, (to appear).
- [11] _____ and B. P. Palka, Quasiconformally homogeneous domains, J. d'Analyse Math. 30 (1976), 172-199.
- [12] Lehto, O. and K. I. Virtanen, Quasiconformal mappings in the plane, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [13] Lehto, O., K. I. Virtanen and J. Väisälä, Contributions to the distortion theory of quasiconformal mappings, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI 273 (1959), 1-14..
- [14] Teichmüller, O., Extremale quasikonforme Abbildungen und quadratische Differentiale, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 22 (1940), 1-197.

University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA received April 11, 1999

Norwegian University of Science and Technology N-7491 Trondheim, Norway