

MAGDALENA GREGORCZYK and LEOPOLD KOCZAN

## A survey of a selection of methods for determination of Koebe sets

ABSTRACT. In this article we take over methods for determination of Koebe set based on extremal sets for a given class of functions.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a set of all functions that are analytic in the unit disk  $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  such that every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the conditions  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote a class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that the functions  $f$  are univalent in  $\Delta$ .

**Definition 1.** We define the Koebe set of the class  $A$ , where  $A \subset \mathcal{A}$  is the point-set  $\bigcap_{f \in A} f(\Delta)$  and denote it by  $\mathcal{K}(A)$ , so we have

$$\mathcal{K}(A) = \bigcap_{f \in A} f(\Delta).$$

The set  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  is a “maximal” set such that for every function  $f \in A$  the set  $\mathcal{K}(A) \subset f(\Delta)$ , i.e. if for the set  $B$  we have that  $B \subset f(\Delta)$  for every function  $f \in A$ , then  $B \subset \mathcal{K}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $m_A$  be an analytic and univalent function in the unit disk  $\Delta$ . The function  $m_A$  is called a minorant of the class  $A$  if the set  $m_A(\Delta)$  is the maximal set such that  $m_A(\Delta) \subset f(\Delta)$  for every function  $f$  from the class  $A$  provided that this function exists.

From the definition of minorant we have that the minorant of the class  $\mathcal{A}$  exists if the Koebe set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$  is a domain.

**Remark 1.** There are classes of functions for which the Koebe set is not a domain. For example, for the class  $\mathcal{T}_{a_3}$  of typically-real functions with the fixed third coefficient  $a_3 = \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}$  the set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_{a_3})$  is a collection of three disconnected domains, where

$$\mathcal{T}_{a_3} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Im z \Im f(z) \geq 0 \text{ for } z \in \Delta \text{ and } \frac{f'''(0)}{3!} = a_3 \right\},$$

$$a_3 \in [-1, 3].$$

The determination of the Koebe set for the class  $\mathcal{T}_{a_3}$  is complicated and this problem has been considered in [3].

We can give some other examples of classes of univalent functions for which the set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$  is a collection of three disconnected domains, for example  $\mathcal{A} = \{f(z), -f(-z)\}$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and

$$f(\Delta) = \mathbb{C} \setminus (\{\omega = \omega_0 + t, t \geq 0\} \cup \{\omega = \bar{\omega}_0 + t, t \geq 0\})$$

where  $\Re \omega_0 < 0$  and  $\Im \omega_0 > 0$ . Hence, we see that the Koebe set does not have to be bounded.

## 2. Examples of Koebe sets.

### 1. The Koebe set for the class $\mathcal{T}$ of typically-real functions.

The Koebe set for the class  $\mathcal{T} := \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \Im f(z) \Im z \geq 0, z \in \Delta\}$  of typically-real functions was founded by A. W. Goodman [1] in 1977. The set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis, and its boundary in the upper half plane is a curve given by the polar equation

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \theta = 0 \text{ or } \theta = \pi, \\ \frac{\pi \sin \theta}{4\theta(\pi - \theta)}, & \text{if } 0 < \theta < \pi. \end{cases}$$

In the proof of this fact Goodman used the universal function  $F(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan \frac{\pi z}{1+z^2}$  for which  $F(\Delta) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{i}{\pi}, \frac{i}{\pi}\}$  and  $\pm \frac{i}{\pi} \in \partial \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$ .

From the fact that  $F_c(z) := \frac{F(\frac{z+c}{1+c\bar{z}}) - F(c)}{(1-c^2)F'(c)}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{T}$  for  $c \in (-1, 1)$ , we have

$$\frac{\pm \frac{i}{\pi} - F(c)}{(1-c^2)F'(c)} \in \partial \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}).$$

This means that the boundary in the upper half plane of the domain  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$  is given by the parametric equation

$$\omega(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{i}{\pi} - F(c)}{(1-c^2)F'(c)} & \text{for } c \in (-1, 1), \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \text{for } c = -1, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{for } c = 1. \end{cases}$$

From this we can get the polar equation.

**2.** The Koebe set of one subclass of the class of all functions that are convex in the direction of the imaginary axis.

A function  $f$  is convex in the direction of  $e^{i\alpha}$  if  $f$  maps the unit disk  $\Delta$  onto a domain convex in the direction of  $e^{i\alpha}$ . This means that each line parallel to a given line with the direction of  $e^{i\alpha}$  either misses  $f(\Delta)$  or is contained in  $f(\Delta)$  or the intersection with  $f(\Delta)$  is either a segment or a ray. Functions of this class will be denoted by  $\mathcal{CV}(e^{i\alpha})$ .

For the class  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{H}$  we define

$$\mathcal{QR} := \{f \in \mathcal{Q} : a_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{CVR}(i)$  be the class of all functions that are convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. We have  $f \in \mathcal{CVR}(i)$  if and only if for every  $\omega \in \partial f(\Delta)$ ,

$$\Im \omega > 0 \Rightarrow (f(\Delta) \cap \{\omega + it, t \geq 0\} = \emptyset \wedge f(\Delta) \cap \{\bar{\omega} + it, t \leq 0\} = \emptyset).$$

Using this property, we can consider the subclass of the class  $\mathcal{CVR}(i)$ .

Let for a fixed  $\alpha$  from the interval  $[0, 1]$

$$K_{\omega, \alpha} := \left\{ z : (1 - \alpha) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg(z - \omega) \leq (1 + \alpha) \frac{\pi}{2}, \text{ where } \omega \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

and

$$A_{\omega, \alpha} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{K_{\omega, \alpha} \cup \overline{K}_{\omega, \alpha}\}, \text{ where } \overline{K}_{\omega, \alpha} := \{\bar{\omega} : \omega \in K_{\omega, \alpha}\}.$$

**Definition 3.**  $f \in \mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  if and only if

$$\forall_{\omega \in \partial f(\Delta)} \Im \omega \geq 0 \Rightarrow (f(\Delta) \cap K_{\omega, \alpha} = \emptyset \wedge f(\Delta) \cap \overline{K}_{\omega, \alpha} = \emptyset).$$

It is easy to see that for  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  we have  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha_2}(i) \subset \mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha_1}(i)$ . The class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  is convex in the direction of  $e^{i\theta}$  for  $\theta \in [(1 - \alpha)\frac{\pi}{2}, (1 + \alpha)\frac{\pi}{2}]$ .

The set  $A_{\omega, \alpha}$  is the domain for  $\omega \neq 0$  and  $\Im \omega > 0$ . For  $\Im \omega > 0$  from the Riemann theorem we have that there exists only one univalent function  $f_{\omega, \alpha}$  in the unit disk  $\Delta$  such that  $f(\Delta) = A_{\omega, \alpha}$ ,  $f_{\omega, \alpha}(0) = 0$  and  $f'_{\omega, \alpha}(0) > 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  be a domain and the point  $\omega \in \partial \mathcal{K}(A)$ .

**Definition 4.** The function  $f_{\omega} \in A$  such that  $\omega \in \partial f_{\omega}(\Delta)$  is called the extremal function for a given Koebe domain for the class  $A$  and the domain  $f_{\omega}(\Delta)$  is called the extremal domain for the class  $A$ .

**Theorem 5.** If  $\Im \omega > 0$ , then the set  $A_{\omega, \alpha}$  is the extremal domain for the class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  when  $f'_{\omega, \alpha}(0) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Im \omega > 0$  and  $f'_{\omega}(0) = 1$ . From the definition of the class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  we have that the function  $f_{\omega, \alpha} \in \mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$ . Assume that there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  such that the point  $\omega - \varepsilon i \in \partial f(\Delta)$  for  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \Im \omega$ . By the definition of the domain  $A_{\omega, \alpha}$  we have  $A_{\omega, \alpha - \varepsilon i} \subset A_{\omega, \alpha}$  and by the definition of the class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  we have  $f(\Delta) \subset A_{\omega - \varepsilon i}$ . Hence,

$f(\Delta) \subset f_{\omega-\varepsilon i}(\Delta) \subsetneq f_{\omega}(\Delta)$ , which means that  $f \prec f_{\omega-\varepsilon i}$  and  $f_{\omega-\varepsilon i} \prec f_{\omega}$ . Hence,  $1 = f'(0) \leq f'_{\omega-\varepsilon i}(0) < f'_{\omega}(0) = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, the interval  $[\Re \omega, \omega) \subset f(\Delta)$  for every function  $f$  from the class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$ .

Due to real coefficients the segment  $(\bar{\omega}, \omega) \subset f(\Delta)$  for every function  $f$  from the class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$ , so we have  $(\bar{\omega}, \omega) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i))$ . From this and the fact that  $\omega \in \partial f_{\omega}(\Delta)$  we have that  $\omega \in \partial \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i))$  and  $\omega \in \partial \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i))$  also when  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

From the Schwarz–Christoffel formula we have

$$f_{\omega, \alpha}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{[(\zeta - e^{i\theta})(\zeta - e^{-i\theta})]^{1-\alpha}}{(1 - \zeta^2)^{2-\alpha}} d\zeta,$$

where

$$\omega = \omega(\theta) = \int_0^{e^{i\theta}} \frac{[(\zeta - e^{i\theta})(\zeta - e^{-i\theta})]^{1-\alpha}}{(1 - \zeta^2)^{2-\alpha}} d\zeta, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi].$$

From the above, we have

**Theorem 6.** *The Koebe set of the class  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  is a domain and its boundary is a curve given by the equation*

$$\omega(\theta) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\theta} [(1-t)(1-te^{2i\theta})]^{1-\alpha}}{(1-t^2e^{2i\theta})^{2-\alpha}} dt, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

where  $\omega(\theta)$  for  $\theta \in [-\pi, 0]$  determines the equality  $\omega(\theta) = \overline{\omega(-\theta)}$ .

### 3. Other forms of the Koebe domains for the class $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$ .

- (1) Notice that the Bieberbach's transformation  $\frac{f(\frac{z+c}{1+c\bar{z}}) - f(c)}{(1-c^2)f'(c)}$  remains invariant in  $\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)$  and the extremal functions  $f_{\omega(\theta)}$  for  $c \in (-1, 1)$ . Moreover, for  $\Im \omega(\theta) > 0$  we have

$$\{f_{\theta, c} : c \in (-1, 1)\} = \{f_{\omega(\theta)} : \theta \in (0, \pi)\},$$

where

$$f_{\theta, c}(z) := \frac{f_{\omega(\theta)}(\frac{z+c}{1+c\bar{z}}) - f_{\omega(\theta)}(c)}{(1-c^2)f'_{\omega(\theta)}(c)}.$$

Taking  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have

$$\frac{\omega(\frac{\pi}{2}) - \int_0^c \frac{(1+\zeta^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\zeta^2)^{2-\alpha}} d\zeta}{(1-c^2)^{\alpha-1}(1+c^2)^{1-\alpha}} \in \partial \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CVR}_{\alpha}(i)).$$

It means that the boundary of Koebe domain for the class  $\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i)$  is given by the equation

$$v(c) = \left( \frac{1-c^2}{1+c^2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \int_0^c \frac{(1+\zeta^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\zeta^2)^{2-\alpha}} d\zeta \right).$$

(2) A minorant of the class  $\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i)$ .

By Theorem 2, we have the equation of boundary of the domain for the class  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i))$

$$\omega(\theta) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\theta} [(1-t)(1-te^{2i\theta})]^{1-\alpha}}{(1-t^2e^{2i\theta})^{2-\alpha}} dt, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

Notice that for the function

$$f(z) := \int_0^1 \frac{z [(1-t)(1-t^2z^2)]^{1-\alpha}}{(1-t^2z^2)^{2-\alpha}} dt$$

we have  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \omega(\theta)$  for  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Hence,  $f(\Delta) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i))$ , which means that  $\frac{1}{f'(0)}f(z) \in \mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i)$ . From the above, we see that the minorant of the class  $\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i)$  is the function  $f(z)$ , therefore  $m_{\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i)}(z) = f(z)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{CV}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(i)) = f(\Delta)$ , where

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{z [(1-t)(1-t^2z^2)]^{1-\alpha}}{(1-t^2z^2)^{2-\alpha}} dt.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Goodman, A. W., *The domain covered by a typically real function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1977), 233–237.
- [2] Koczan, L., *Typically real functions convex in the direction of the real axis*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **43** (1991), 23–29.
- [3] Sobczak-Kneć, M., *Obszary Koebe’go i obszary pokrycia oraz zagadnienia ekstremalne w pewnych klasach funkcji analitycznych*, Ph.D. dissertation, Lublin University of Technology, Lublin, 2011 (Polish).

Magdalena Gregorczyk  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Lublin University of Technology  
ul. Nadbystrzycka 38D  
20-618 Lublin  
Poland  
e-mail: m.gregorczyk@pollub.pl

Leopold Koczan  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Lublin University of Technology  
ul. Nadbystrzycka 38D  
20-618 Lublin  
Poland  
e-mail: l.koczan@pollub.pl

Received February 3, 2017

