

zagospodarowania zlewni. Studia badawcze były skoncentrowane na planktonie wrotkowym i wybranych właściwościach fizycznochemicznych wód z powyższych jezior. Głównym celem pracy była ocena wpływu rodzaju zlewni na strukturę jakościową i ilościową zidentyfikowanych taksonów wrotków. Wykazano różnice pomiędzy fizykochemicznymi parametrami wód pochodzących z różnych zlewni. Pewna odmienność dotyczyła także jakości i ilości zaobserwowanych w powyższych wodach wrotków. W zlewniach jezior silnie zdegradowanych (duży udział gruntów ornych) wykazano obecność gatunków charakterystycznych dla wód o wysokim stopniu trofii, tj. gatunki z rodzaju *Brachionus*, *Trichocerca*, oraz taksony *Keratella cochlearis f. tecta*, *Pompholyx sulcata* etc., przy jednoczesnym spadku wskaźnika bioróżnorodności.

Key words: lake catchment area, lake trophy, planktonic rotifers, bioindicators of trophy.

INTRODUCTION

Catchment basin is the area from which water flows to a fixed water reservoir. The physical-geographical structure of a water tank basin serves as an important "supplier" of the biogenic substances to lakes. The catchments differ in relation to the scale and a structure of land use. Rain-fall and atmospheric inputs cause runoff with substantial quantity of fertilizers and pesticides from arable land that in turn, induces increased content of nitrogen and phosphorus compounds in the top water, lakes in particular. This process affects significantly a fertility level of water reservoirs. Degradation of catchment areas manifested by the water trophy growth may also result from the intensive tourist pressure (4). Some taxons of water invertebrates constitute quite useful indicators of water tank trophy, in that rotifers. Numerous species of planktonic rotifers respond strongly to the changes of the abiotic environmental factors which is conditioned by their fast growth, great reproductive potential and metabolism level integrated with the cycles of matter circulation in the water ecosystem. That is why rotifers tend to be a sensitive bioindicator of surface waters (10).

The objective of the present investigations was to evaluate the effect of a type of the Łęczyńsko-Włodawskie Lake District catchment on the qualitative and quantitative structure of planktonic rotifers and lake fertility linked with it.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The studies were conducted in June, July and August 2005 at four-week intervals. The summer months were chosen deliberately as at this season the greatest economic and tourist anthropopression is recorded in the lake basins as well as within their waters. The investigations covered nine lakes of the Łęczyńsko-Włodawskie Lake District they were divided into three groups due to their collecting area management. The first group of lakes had the basins most resistant to the degradation owing to high forestation and abundant shrubs that impede the biogenic matter fluxes to the lakes. The collecting areas of the second group of lakes were contiguous to large woodland area as well as farmed agricultural land. It was shown that in these areas nutrients were likely to be transported to the lake waters (4). Finally, the catchment basins of the third lake group were covered mainly by farmed agricultural land (4) and the biogene delivery to the lakes was most probable to have been realized from these areas (4). In the lake littoral the measurements of water temperature, pH, electrolyte conductance and oxygen content were made. Transparency was determined by Secchi's disk; the results are plotted in the Tables. The following equipment was employed in the studies: oxymeter HANNA instruments HI 9142, thermometer SLANDI TC

204, conductometer SLANDI CM 204, pH-meter SLANDI pH 204. Besides, water was sampled for the laboratory examinations and the content of total phosphorus, nitrate and ammonia nitrogen was fixed after the Hermanowicz method (5).

The water samples for planktonic rotifers were collected from the littoral zone with a 5-liter bathometer Bernatowicz type (11). Plankton was analyzed using inverted microscope Utermöhl type. Rotifer number was expressed as rotifer count in 1 l of water (11), while taxons with the keys for rotifer identification (7). The results were analyzed on the grounds of a formula for rotifer domination level calculation (3). Besides, a coefficient of species richness was computed after Shannon-Wiener (8) and a reservoirs trophy degree was determined by means of Carlson index (6). Percentage of *Keratella cochlearis f. tecta* biomass against all the forms of *Keratella cochlearis* was also established (1,6).

Table 1. Percentage structure of lake basin land management (4)

| No. | Lake | Ploughs | Buildings | Forests | Shrubbiness | Recreational area |
|-----|----------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Białe Sosnowickie | 3.71% | 0.24% | 61.52% | 1.64% | 0.00% |
| 2 | Czarne Sosnowickie | 1.11% | 0.00% | 76.31% | 11.34% | 0.00% |
| 3 | Uściwierzek | 0.93% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 87.72% | 0.00% |
| 4 | Bikcze | 9.89% | 0.09% | 0.14% | 0.07% | 0.00% |
| 5 | Piaseczno | 28.84% | 0.43% | 23.69% | 2.91% | 4.22% |
| 6 | Zagłębcze | 12.39% | 0.80% | 33.52% | 2.71% | 2.11% |
| 7 | Głębokie-Uścimowskie | 73.48% | 4.17% | 0.57% | 0.39% | 0.00% |
| 8 | Maśluchowskie | 62.61% | 3.07% | 1.93% | 0.18% | 0.00% |

Explanations: (1–3) – basins of the first category of degradation susceptibility, (4–6) – basins of the second category of degradation susceptibility, (7–9) – basins of the third category of degradation susceptibility.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The assessment of the chemical parameters reflects the actual rational units that determine water fertility, thus some physicochemical parameters of water may be employed to set the trophy (9). The influence of a catchment character on the rotifer fauna was proven by Widuto (12). He claimed that the application of liquid manure and fertilization in the Bęskie lake basin used for farming purposes induced the strong development of the rotifer species, being the indicators of the eutrophic lakes. This lake was a shallow and fertile tank. Other authors (2) found that the other four lakes exhibited a high fertility level eutrophy of these reservoirs occurred owing to their fertilization with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium compounds.

The lakes assigned to the catchment area of the greatest percentage of arable land were characterized by the highest nutrient quantity (Tab. 2). Our own studies prove that some strictly defined species of rotifers serve as the bioindicators of high fertility of water. It is confirmed by the fact that in the basins of lakes from group I the following eudominants were present: *Brachionus forficula*, *Keratella cochlearis f. typica* and *Polyarthra vulgaris*. The dominants included *Brachionus diversicornis*, *Keratella cochlearis f. tecta* and *Trichocerca similis* (Table 3). The eudominants in the lake group II appeared to be *Conochilus unicornis*, *Keratella cochlearis f. typica*, *Keratella cochlearis f. tecta* and *Polyarthra vulgaris*, whereas the dominants included *Asplanchna priodonta*, *Brachionus angularis* and *Trichocerca capucina*. The domination structure, however, is slightly different in the lake group III, where *Brachionus angularis*, *Keratella cochlearis f. tecta*, *Keratella cochlearis f. typica* and *Polyarthra vulgaris* were the eudominants. The dominants comprised *Keratella quadrata*, *Pompholyx sulcata* and *Trichocerca capucina* (Table 3). Generally, total 30 rotifer taxa were determined. These zooplankters quantity was differentiated subject to a lake (Table 4).

The values of species biodiversity index (8) in the lake group I were found to be the lowest, slightly higher in group III, while the highest in the lake group II (Table 4). These high values of the richness index (8) give evidence of the environmental conditions being conducive to the development of numerous rotifer taxa.

Table 2. Physicochemical parameters of waters originating from chosen lakes

| Lake | pH | Conduction ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Temp. ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) | Oxygen saturation (%) | Oxygen (mgO_2/l) | P_{tot} (mgP/l) | NO_3 (mgN/l) | NH_4 (mgN/l) | Visibility (m) |
|----------------------|-----|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------|
| Białe Sosnowickie | 8.1 | 263 | 26.8 | 95 | 7.2 | n.w. | 0.085 | 0.153 | 0.9 |
| Czarne Sosnowickie | 7.9 | 304 | 25.6 | 64 | 5.8 | 0.196 | 0.100 | 0.277 | 0.7 |
| Uściwierzek | 6.2 | 482 | 18.5 | 13 | 1.1 | 0.164 | n.w. | 1.925 | 0.3 |
| Bikecze | 7.6 | 250 | 27.0 | 98 | 8.6 | n.w. | 0.06 | 0.400 | 1.5 |
| Piaseczno | 7.4 | 81 | 27.0 | 95 | 7.5 | 0.012 | 0.030 | 0.180 | 3.8 |
| Zagłębcze | 7.2 | 180 | 27.2 | 98 | 7.9 | 0.110 | 0.150 | 0.200 | 3.2 |
| Głębokie Uścimowskie | 7.6 | 212 | 27.3 | 100 | 8.7 | 0.280 | 0.116 | 0.277 | 0.6 |
| Maśluchowskie | 7.0 | 115 | 25.4 | 56 | 4.3 | 0.200 | 0.210 | 0.310 | 0.5 |
| Uścimowskie | 7.5 | 321 | 27.6 | 72 | 5.6 | 0.220 | 0.216 | 0.271 | 0.5 |

Table 3. Percentage structure of dominant species of rotifers originating from chosen lakes

| Species | Name of the lake | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| | Białe | Czarne | Uściwierzek | Bikcze | Piaszczno | Zagłębocze | Głębokie | Mzśluchowskie | Uścimowskie |
| <i>Anuraeopsis fissa</i> (Gosse) | | | 26.67 | | | | | | |
| <i>Asplanchna priodonta</i> (Gosse) | | | | 5.26 | 6.25 | 6.84 | | | 2.73 |
| <i>Brachionus angularis</i> (Gosse) | 11.04 | | | 7.89 | 8.04 | 11.11 | 9.91 | 14.49 | 10.38 |
| <i>Brachionus diversicornis</i> (Daday) | 6.34 | 19.18 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Brachionus forficula</i> (Wierzejski) | 29.45 | 15.07 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Conochilus unicornis</i> (Rousselet) | | 6.85 | | 7.89 | 10.71 | 15.38 | 2.24 | 2.91 | 2.19 |
| <i>Keratella cochlearis f. typica</i> (Gosse) | 10.43 | 12.33 | 40 | 10.53 | 16.96 | 10.26 | 15.32 | 20.29 | 14.21 |
| <i>Keratella cochlearis f. tecta</i> (Gosse) | 5.25 | 8.22 | | 12.63 | 8.93 | 14.53 | 22.52 | 22.22 | 18.58 |
| <i>Keratella quadrata</i> (Müller) | | | | 4.74 | | | 8.56 | 3.86 | 9.29 |
| <i>Lepadella borealis</i> (Harring) | | | 33.33 | | | | | | |
| <i>Polyarthra vulgaris</i> (Carlin) | 14.72 | 13.01 | | 9.47 | 14.29 | 12.82 | 18.02 | 14.49 | 18.58 |
| <i>Pompholyx sulcata</i> (Hudson) | | | | 12.63 | | | 10.81 | 8.21 | |
| <i>Trichocerca capucina</i> (Wierzejski) | | | | 6.32 | 5.36 | 4.27 | 6.76 | | 7.11 |
| <i>Trichocerca cylindrica</i> (Imhof) | 9.23 | 2.05 | | 3.16 | | | | | |
| <i>Trichocerca similes</i> (Wierzejski) | 6.75 | 6.16 | | | 6.25 | 2.56 | 5.86 | | |
| Other | 6.79 | 17.13 | | 19.48 | 23.2 | 22.23 | | 13.53 | 16.93 |

A significant characteristic indicating high trophity proved to be total rotifers count that ranged from 560 up to 1,035 ind./l. water and, according to Carlson (6), this interval shows the eutrophic character of waters. Uściwierzek Lake was the only exception with the total count 150 ind./l. water. This index values in the studied group of lakes indicated the eutrophic or even polytrophic character of waters, whereas the group of lakes of a medium level of basin degradation had mesotrophic or mesoeutrophic waters according to the above index. Waters studied in the lake group III showed an absolutely polytrophic character.

Table 4. Trophic and rotifer's indices of fecundity of waters

| Indices | Name of the lake | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| | Białe | Czarne | Uściwierzek | Bikcze | Piaszczno | Zagłębobcze | Głębokie | Maśluchowskie | Uścimowskie |
| % <i>tecta</i> in the total population | 21 | 32 | 0 | 38 | 27 | 50 | 43 | 36 | 48 |
| TSI index | 61.52 | 65.14 | 7736 | 54.15 | 40.74 | 43.21 | 67.36 | 70 | 70 |
| Rotifer numbers of species | 10 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| Shannon's diversity index | 3.2 | 3.25 | 1.57 | 3.63 | 3.54 | 3.65 | 3.38 | 3.19 | 3.18 |
| Density of rotifers | 815 | 730 | 150 | 950 | 560 | 585 | 1000 | 1035 | 915 |

The values of biomass index of *Keratella cochlearis f. tecta* as against all the forms of *Keratella cochlearis* were found within 21–50% (Table 4). They confirm the eutrophic character of the investigated lakes.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Regardless the way of catchment area management, there was recorded a process of fertility growth in all the studied lakes.

2. The lake analysis proved that lake trophic identity has been on the decline as those commonly defined as dystrophic or mesotrophic exhibited the characteristics of lakes of different eutrophy level (increased fertility).

The above conclusions were confirmed with the presence of specific assemblies rotifers as bioindicators of high fertility of water.

REFERENCES

1. Ejsmont-Karabin J. 1998. Empirical equations for biomass calculation of planktonic rotifers. *Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol.* 45 (4): 513–522.
2. Ejsmont-Karabin J., Węgleńska T. 1995. Variation in the structure of the summer zooplankton of four lakes during inorganic fertilization and after its cessation. *Ekol. Pol.*, 33(4): 645–676.
3. Górny M., Grüml L. 1981. *Metody stosowane w zoologii gleby*. PWN, Warszawa, 397–402.
4. Harasimiuk M. (eds) 1998. *Jeziora Łęczyńsko-Włodawskie*. Monografia przyrodnicza. UMCS, Lublin, PIOŚ Warszawa, 176.

5. Hermanowicz W. 1976. Fizyczno-chemiczne badania wody i ścieków. PWN, Warszawa, 224–226.
6. Karabin A. 1985. Pelagic zooplankton (*Rotatoria* + *Crustacea*) variation in the process of lake eutrophication. *Ekol. Pol.* 33 (4): 567–616.
7. Koste W. 1978. Rotatoria. Die Rädertiere Mitteleuropas. Berlin, Stuttgart, I Textband, 673, II Tafelband, 234.
8. Krebs Ch. *Ekologia*. PWN, Warszawa, 735.
9. Lampert W., Sommer U. 1996. *Ekologia wód śródlądowych*. PWN, Warszawa, 389.
10. Marneffe Y., Comblin S. et al. Biomonitoring of river Warche (Belgium). 1997. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* 47(1): 111–124.
11. Radwan S. (eds) 2004. Fauna słodkowodna Polski, zeszyt 32A i 32B. Wrotki (*Rotifera*). Łódź, 447.
12. Widuto J. Dynamika rozwoju zooplanktonu Jeziora Bęskiego w warunkach intensywnego stosowania gnojowicy i nawożenia mineralnego w latach 1981–1984. 1989. *Acta Acad. Agricult. Tech. Olst., Protectio Aquarum et Piscatoria*, No 17, Ann.: 14–23.