

ANDRZEJ MICHALSKI

**Sufficient conditions  
for quasiconformality of harmonic mappings  
of the upper halfplane onto itself**

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a class of increasing homeomorphic self-mappings of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We define a harmonic extension of such functions to the upper halfplane by means of the Poisson integral. Our main results give some sufficient conditions for quasiconformality of the extension.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $F$  be a complex-valued sense-preserving diffeomorphism of the upper halfplane  $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$  onto itself, where  $\mathbb{C}$  stands for the complex plane. Then the Jacobian

$$(1.1) \quad J_F := |\partial F|^2 - |\bar{\partial} F|^2$$

is positive on  $\mathbb{C}^+$  and so the function

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{C}^+ \ni z \mapsto D_F(z) := \frac{|\partial F(z)| + |\bar{\partial} F(z)|}{|\partial F(z)| - |\bar{\partial} F(z)|}$$

is well defined. We recall that  $D_F(z)$  is called the maximal dilatation of  $F$  at  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ . Here and in the sequel  $\partial := (\partial_x - i\partial_y)/2$  and  $\bar{\partial} := (\partial_x + i\partial_y)/2$  stands for the formal derivatives operators. From the analytical characterization of quasiconformal mappings (see [3]) it follows that for any  $K \geq 1$ ,  $F$  is

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C55, 30C62.

*Key words and phrases.* Harmonic mappings, Poisson integral, quasiconformal mappings.

$K$ -quasiconformal if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad D_F(z) \leq K, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

Assume now that  $F$  is quasiconformal, i.e.  $F$  satisfies (1.3) for some  $K \geq 1$ . Then  $F$  has a unique homeomorphic extension  $F^*$  to the closure  $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+} := \mathbb{C}^+ \cup \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  (see [3]). The famous result of Beurling and Ahlfors (see [1]) says that a function  $f$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto itself is the restriction of  $F^*$  if and only if  $f$  is quasimetric, i.e.  $f$  is a strictly increasing homeomorphism, such that

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{f(x) - f(x-t)} \leq M$$

for some constant  $M \geq 1$  and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t > 0$ .

Assume additionally that  $F$  is a harmonic mapping, i.e.  $F$  satisfies the Laplace equation  $\partial\bar{\partial}F = 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}^+$ . Kalaj and Pavlović proved in [2] that an increasing homeomorphism  $f$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto itself is the restriction of  $F^*$  if and only if it is biLipschitz and the Hilbert transformation of  $f'$  is bounded.

Following the idea of Beurling and Ahlfors we are going to find an effective extension of  $f$  to  $F^*$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is considered in Section 2, we provide a construction of the harmonic extension  $H[f]$  defined in Definition 3.1 by means of the Poisson integral. The main purpose of this paper is to give sufficient conditions on  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , that guarantee quasiconformality of  $H[f]$ . In Section 3 we show that  $H[f]$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^+$  onto itself provided  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  has the biLipschitz property (3.2), cf. Proposition 3.2. In Section 4 we provide various auxiliary estimates dealing with partial derivatives of  $H[f]$ . Applying them we are able to estimate the maximal dilatation  $D_{H[f]}$  of  $H[f]$  in case  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies the biLipschitz property (3.2) and  $f'$  is a Dini-continuous function with respect to spherical distance (4.3). This is the main result of the paper and is stated in Theorem 5.2. In particular, if  $f'$  is Hölder-continuous with respect to spherical distance we obtain estimate of  $D_{H[f]}$  given in Theorem 5.3.

**2. Preliminary notes.** Let  $\text{Hom}^+(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of all increasing real line homeomorphisms onto itself. For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we define

$$\mathcal{F}_a := \{f \in \text{Hom}^+(\mathbb{R}) : I(f, a) < +\infty\},$$

where

$$I(f, a) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1 + t^2} dt.$$

We define also

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{a>0} \mathcal{F}_a.$$

The following properties hold.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $a < 0$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_a = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}^+(\mathbb{R})$ . There exists  $T > 0$  such that  $f(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . Hence, if  $a < 0$ , then  $|f(t) - at| \geq f(t) + |a|t$  for  $t \geq T$ , which implies that

$$I(f, a) \geq \int_T^{+\infty} \frac{f(t) + |a|t}{1 + t^2} dt.$$

Since the last integral is divergent,  $f \notin \mathcal{F}_a$  and we have a contradiction which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $a \neq b$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_a \cap \mathcal{F}_b = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a \cap \mathcal{F}_b$ ,  $a \neq b$ . Observe, that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|(a-b)t|}{1+t^2} dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - bt|}{1+t^2} dt < +\infty.$$

But the first integral is divergent, thus we have a contradiction, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** By Proposition 2.2, for every fixed  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists exactly one constant  $a > 0$ , such that  $I(f, a) < +\infty$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , then  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , where  $\tilde{f}(t) := -f(-t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $I(\tilde{f}, a)$ . Substituting  $s := -t$  we have

$$I(\tilde{f}, a) = - \int_{+\infty}^{-\infty} \frac{|\tilde{f}(-s) + as|}{1+s^2} ds = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|-\tilde{f}(-s) - as|}{1+s^2} ds = I(f, a). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.5.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t)/t \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t)/t < 0$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $f(t_n) < 0$  for  $n \geq T$ . But  $f \in \text{Hom}^+(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.  $f$  is an increasing homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , thus we have a contradiction and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f(t)/t \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $\tilde{f}(t) := -f(-t)$ . By Proposition 2.4 we have  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_a$  and then by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{f}(t)/t \geq 0.$$

This is equivalent to  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f(t)/t \geq 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , then  $a$  is an accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $+\infty$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfying the condition

$$\forall T > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists t \geq T \left| \frac{f(t)}{t} - a \right| < \delta.$$

If we put  $T := n$  and  $\delta := 1/n$ , then we have

$$\forall_{n>0} \exists_{t \geq n} \left| \frac{f(t)}{t} - a \right| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

This means that  $a$  is an accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $+\infty$ .

Assume that  $a$  is not an accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $+\infty$ . This implies that

$$\exists_{T>0} \exists_{\delta>0} \forall_{t \geq T} \left| \frac{f(t)}{t} - a \right| \geq \delta.$$

Hence

$$I(f, a) \geq \int_T^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) + at|}{1+t^2} dt \geq \int_T^{+\infty} \frac{\delta t}{1+t^2} dt.$$

Since the last integral is divergent, this contradicts the assumption  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , then  $a$  is an accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $-\infty$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $\tilde{f}(t) := -f(-t)$ . By Proposition 2.4 we have  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_a$  and by Proposition 2.7 we obtain that  $a$  is an accumulation point of  $\tilde{f}(t)/t$  in  $+\infty$ . This is equivalent to that  $a$  is an accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $-\infty$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t)/t = a$ .*

**Proof.** Note, that by Proposition 2.7  $a$  is the accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $+\infty$ . Assume that there exists  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \neq a$  which is an accumulation point of  $f(t)/t$  in  $+\infty$ , i.e. there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $t_n > 0$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that

$$\forall_{\varepsilon>0} \exists_{\tilde{n}} \forall_{n \geq \tilde{n}} \left| \frac{f(t_n)}{t_n} - b \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Set  $\varepsilon := |a - b|/3$  and denote

$$s_n := \frac{2b + a}{2a + b} t_n.$$

In view of Proposition 2.5 we may restrict our consideration to  $a \geq 0$  and  $b \geq 0$ .

If  $b > a \geq 0$ , then  $s_n > t_n$  and for  $t \in [t_n, s_n]$  we have the following estimate

$$f(t) - at \geq f(t_n) - as_n > \left( b - \varepsilon - a \frac{2b + a}{2a + b} \right) t_n = \frac{(b - a)(2b + a)}{3(2a + b)} t_n > 0.$$

We chose from  $\{t_n\}$  a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that  $t_{n_1} = t_{\tilde{n}}$  and for all  $k$  holds

$$t_{n_{k+1}} > s_{n_k}.$$

Hence, for  $t \in [t_n, s_n]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(f, a) &\geq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt = \int_0^{t_{n_1}} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt + \int_{t_{n_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt \\
&\geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{n_k}}^{t_{n_{k+1}}} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt \geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{n_k}}^{s_{n_k}} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt \\
&\geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{n_k}}^{s_{n_k}} \frac{(b-a)(2b+a)t_{n_k}}{3(2a+b)(1+t_{n_k}^2)} dt \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(b-a)(2b+a)(s_{n_k} - t_{n_k})t_{n_k}}{3(2a+b)(1+s_{n_k}^2)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(b-a)^2(2b+a)t_{n_k}^2}{3[(2a+b)^2 + (2b+a)^2t_{n_k}^2]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe, that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(b-a)^2(2b+a)t_{n_k}^2}{3[(2a+b)^2 + (2b+a)^2t_{n_k}^2]} = \frac{(b-a)^2}{3(2b+a)} \neq 0.$$

If  $a > b \geq 0$ , then  $s_n < t_n$  and for  $t \in [s_n, t_n]$  we have the following estimate

$$f(t) - at \leq f(t_n) - as_n < \left(b + \epsilon - a \frac{2b+a}{2a+b}\right) t_n = \frac{(b-a)(2b+a)}{3(2a+b)} t_n < 0.$$

We chose from  $\{s_n\}$  a subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that  $s_{n_1} = s_{\bar{n}}$  and for all  $k$  holds

$$s_{n_{k+1}} > t_{n_k}.$$

Hence, for  $t \in [s_n, t_n]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(f, a) &\geq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt = \int_0^{s_{n_1}} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt + \int_{s_{n_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt \\
&\geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{s_{n_k}}^{s_{n_{k+1}}} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt \geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{s_{n_k}}^{t_{n_k}} \frac{|f(t) - at|}{1+t^2} dt \\
&\geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{s_{n_k}}^{t_{n_k}} \frac{(a-b)(2b+a)t_{n_k}}{3(2a+b)(1+t_{n_k}^2)} dt = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(a-b)(2b+a)(t_{n_k} - s_{n_k})t_{n_k}}{3(2a+b)(1+t_{n_k}^2)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(a-b)^2(2b+a)t_{n_k}^2}{3(2a+b)^2(1+t_{n_k}^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe, that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(a-b)^2(2b+a)t_{n_k}^2}{3(2a+b)^2(1+t_{n_k}^2)} = \frac{(a-b)^2(2b+a)}{3(2a+b)^2} \neq 0.$$

Finally, (2.1) and (2.2), together, imply that  $I(f, a) = +\infty$ , which contradicts the assumption  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t)/t = a,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f(t)/t = a$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $\tilde{f}(t) := -f(-t)$ . By Proposition 2.4 we have  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_a$  and by Theorem 2.9 we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{f}(t)/t = a.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f(t)/t = a$$

and completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** Every function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  has the form

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto f(t) = at + g(t),$$

where  $g(t)/t \rightarrow 0$  as  $|t| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**3. The harmonic extension  $H[f]$ .** We introduce a harmonic extension of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^+$ . By the definition of the class  $\mathcal{F}$  the following definition makes sense.

**Definition 3.1.** For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  we define  $H[f] : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$  as follows

$$H[f](z) := az + P[g](z),$$

where  $g$  is related to  $f$  by (2.3) and

$$(3.1) \quad P[g](z) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_z(t)g(t) dt$$

is the Poisson integral for  $\mathbb{C}^+$  and

$$P_z(t) := \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\{z\}}{|z-t|^2}$$

is the Poisson kernel for  $\mathbb{C}^+$ .

Note, that  $P[g](z) \in \mathbb{R}$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and let us denote

$$U(z) := \operatorname{Re}\{H[f](z)\} = a \operatorname{Re}\{z\} + P[g](z)$$

and

$$V(z) := \operatorname{Im}\{H[f](z)\} = a \operatorname{Im}\{z\}.$$

Throughout this paper  $U$  and  $V$  will always mean  $\operatorname{Re}\{H[f]\}$  and  $\operatorname{Im}\{H[f]\}$ , respectively.

Recall that the biLipschitz condition on  $f$ , i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad \exists_{L_1, L_2 > 0} \forall_{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}} L_2 |t_2 - t_1| \leq |f(t_2) - f(t_1)| \leq L_1 |t_2 - t_1|$$

is the necessary condition for  $H[f]$  to be quasiconformal (see [2]).

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2), then  $H[f]$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^+$  onto itself.*

**Proof.** Fix  $y > 0$  and let  $z_1 = x_1 + iy$ ,  $z_2 = x_2 + iy$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $P_z(t) > 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_z(t) dt = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} U(z_1) - U(z_2) &= ax_1 + P[g](z_1) - ax_2 - P[g](z_2) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(x_1 - t)^2 + y^2} [ax_1 + g(t)] dt \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(x_2 - t)^2 + y^2} [ax_2 + g(t)] dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{s^2 + y^2} [a(x_1 - s) + g(x_1 - s) - a(x_2 - s) - g(x_2 - s)] ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{s^2 + y^2} [f(x_1 - s) - f(x_2 - s)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f$  increases, then  $U(z_1) > U(z_2)$  for  $x_1 > x_2$ . Hence  $U$  is univalent on every horizontal line. Since  $V(z) = a \operatorname{Im}\{z\}$ ,  $H[f]$  is univalent.

To show that  $U$  maps every horizontal line in the upper halfplane onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , we fix  $y > 0$  and observe that

$$U(x + iy) - U(iy) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{s^2 + y^2} [f(x - s) - f(-s)] ds.$$

Let  $x > 0$ . Since  $f$  increases and by applying the biLipschitz condition (3.2), we have

$$U(x + iy) - U(iy) \geq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{s^2 + y^2} L_2 |x| ds = L_2 x.$$

Let  $x < 0$ . Analogically we obtain

$$U(x + iy) - U(iy) \leq - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{s^2 + y^2} L_2 |x| \, ds = L_2 x.$$

Since  $V(z) = a \operatorname{Im}\{z\}$ ,  $H[f](\mathbb{C}^+) = \mathbb{C}^+$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that not every function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  has the extension  $H[f]$  which is quasiconformal.

**Example 3.3.** Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $f(t) = t + |t|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn} t$ . Obviously,  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$  since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|t|^{1/2}}{1 + t^2} \, dt < +\infty.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| = |t_1 - t_2| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1} + \sqrt{t_2}} \right),$$

where  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ . Hence, we see that

$$\forall L > 0 \exists t_1, t_2 > 0 \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1} + \sqrt{t_2}} > L,$$

e.g. putting  $t_2 := t_1/4 := 1/(9L^2)$ . This means that  $f$  is not biLipschitz and so it cannot have quasiconformal extension to the upper halfplane.

**4. Estimates of partial derivatives of  $H[f]$ .** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  and  $z = x + iy$ . We compute partial derivatives of  $U$  and  $V$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z) &= a + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(P[g](z)) = a + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{-2y(x-t)}{[(x-t)^2 + y^2]^2} g(t) \, dt \\ &= a + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2ys}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} [g(x+s) - g(x-s)] \, ds, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(P[g](z)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{(x-t)^2 - y^2}{[(x-t)^2 + y^2]^2} g(t) \, dt \\ (4.1) \quad &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s^2 - y^2}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} [g(x+s) + g(x-s)] \, ds, \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(z) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}(z) &= a. \end{aligned}$$

First, we give the estimates on  $\partial U/\partial x$  under assumption, that  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is biLipschitz only.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2), then*

$$(4.2) \quad L_2 \leq \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z) \leq L_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

**Proof.** Observe, that (3.2) implies

$$2(L_2 - a)s \leq g(x + s) - g(x - s) \leq 2(L_1 - a)s$$

for every  $s > 0$ . Let  $z = x + iy$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z) &= a + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2ys}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} [g(x + s) - g(x - s)] \, ds \\ &\leq a + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{4ys^2}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} (L_1 - a) \, ds = L_1, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z) &= a + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2ys}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} [g(x + s) - g(x - s)] \, ds \\ &\geq a + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{4ys^2}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} (L_2 - a) \, ds = L_2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary from the estimates of  $\partial U/\partial x$  we obtain the estimates of the Jacobian  $J_{H[f]}$  of  $H[f]$  defined in (1.1).

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2), then*

$$aL_2 \leq J_{H[f]}(z) \leq aL_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

**Proof.** We can rewrite the Jacobian of  $H[f]$  in the form

$$J_{H[f]} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}.$$

Since  $\partial V/\partial x = 0$  and  $\partial V/\partial y = a$ , by applying the inequalities (4.2) the proof is completed.  $\square$

Now, we give the estimate of  $\partial U/\partial y$  under an additional assumption on  $f$ , but first we formulate the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is absolutely continuous function, then*

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s}{s^2 + y^2} [f'(x + s) - f'(x - s)] \, ds.$$

**Proof.** Recall that

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s^2 - y^2}{(s^2 + y^2)^2} [g(x + s) + g(x - s)] \, ds,$$

where  $z = x + iy$ . Since  $f$  is absolutely continuous,  $f'$  exists almost everywhere and for almost all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$f'(t_1) - f'(t_2) = g'(t_1) - g'(t_2).$$

Hence, integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s}{s^2 + y^2} [g(x+s) + g(x-s)] \Big|_0^{+\infty} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s}{s^2 + y^2} [g'(x+s) - g'(x-s)] \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Theorem 2.9,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = 0,$$

the proof is completed.  $\square$

Recall, that a continuous function  $\varphi$  is said to be Dini-continuous with respect to spherical distance if it satisfies the following condition

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^\varsigma \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \, dt = M_\varsigma < +\infty$$

for some  $\varsigma \in (0, 1]$ , where  $\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

$$\omega(t) := \sup\{d_s(\varphi(t_1), \varphi(t_2)) : d_s(t_1, t_2) < t\}$$

is the modulus of continuity of  $\varphi$  with respect to spherical distance  $d_s$ ,

$$d_s(t_1, t_2) := \frac{|t_1 - t_2|}{\sqrt{1 + t_1^2} \sqrt{1 + t_2^2}}.$$

Obviously,  $\omega$  is non-decreasing function and

$$(4.4) \quad d_s(\varphi(t_1), \varphi(t_2)) \leq \omega(d_s(t_1, t_2))$$

holds for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 4.4.** If  $f$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2) and  $f'$  is Dini-continuous with respect to spherical distance a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $f'$  exists everywhere in  $\hat{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $L_2 \leq |f'(t)| \leq L_1$ ,  $t \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ . In particular, there exists finite value of  $f'$  at the point  $\infty$ . If, additionally,  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ , then by Remark 2.11  $f$  is of the form (2.3) and so we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f'(t) = a.$$

**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2) and if  $f'$  is Dini-continuous with respect to spherical distance (4.3), then*

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| \leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \left[ \frac{M_\varsigma}{\sqrt{1 - \delta^2}} + \log \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^2}}{\delta} \right) \right],$$

where  $\delta := \min\{\varsigma, 1/\sqrt{1 + M_\varsigma}\}$  and  $\varsigma, M_\varsigma$  satisfy (4.3).

**Proof.** Since  $f$  is biLipschitz,  $f$  is absolutely continuous and by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s}{s^2 + y^2} [g'(x+s) - g'(x-s)] \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|g'(x+s) - g'(x-s)|}{s} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the Dini-continuity condition with respect to spherical distance (4.3) we have that (4.4) holds for  $f'$  and so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1 + [f'(x+s)]^2} \sqrt{1 + [f'(x-s)]^2}}{s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \omega \left( \frac{2s}{\sqrt{1 + (x+s)^2} \sqrt{1 + (x-s)^2}} \right) \right] \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the biLipschitz condition for  $f$  gives

$$\left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| \leq \frac{(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s} \omega \left( \frac{2s}{\sqrt{1 + (x+s)^2} \sqrt{1 + (x-s)^2}} \right) \, ds.$$

Setting

$$(4.6) \quad t := \frac{2s}{\sqrt{1 + (x+s)^2} \sqrt{1 + (x-s)^2}},$$

we have

$$t' = \frac{-2s^4 + 2(1+x^2)^2}{(\sqrt{1 + (x+s)^2} \sqrt{1 + (x-s)^2})^3} = \frac{t^3[-2s^4 + 2(1+x^2)^2]}{4s^3}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= t^2, \quad B := [2t^2(1-x^2) - 4], \quad C := t^2(1+x^2)^2, \\ \Delta &:= B^2 - 4AC = 16(1-t^2)(1+x^2t^2). \end{aligned}$$

To apply the substitution (4.6) to the last integral we need to divide it into two integrals from 0 to  $\sqrt{1+x^2}$  and from  $\sqrt{1+x^2}$  to  $+\infty$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| &\leq \frac{4(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^2}{(Bs^2 + 2C)} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^3} t' \, ds \\ &= \frac{4(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \left[ \int_0^{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \frac{1}{(B + \frac{2C}{s^2})} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^3} t' \, ds + \int_{\sqrt{1+x^2}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(B + \frac{2C}{s^2})} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^3} t' \, ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6) we compute two solutions

$$s^2 = \frac{-B - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A} \quad \text{and} \quad s^2 = \frac{-B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| &\leq \frac{4(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt + \frac{4(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_1^0 \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{8(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt \leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by definition,  $\omega(t) \leq 1$  and  $\omega$  satisfies (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| &\leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \left[ \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt + \int_\delta^1 \frac{\omega}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\varsigma^2}} \int_0^\varsigma \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt + \int_\varsigma^1 \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt, & \delta \geq \varsigma, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}} \int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt + \int_\delta^1 \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt, & \delta < \varsigma \end{cases} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \begin{cases} \frac{M_\varsigma}{\sqrt{1-\varsigma^2}} + \log \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{1-\varsigma^2}}{\varsigma} \right), & \delta \geq \varsigma, \\ \frac{M_\varsigma}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}} + \log \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{\delta} \right), & \delta < \varsigma. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Simple calculation shows that the above estimate is the best when  $\delta = \min\{\varsigma, 1/\sqrt{1+M_\varsigma}\}$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

In particular, if  $\varphi$  is Hölder-continuous with respect to spherical distance  $d_s$ , i.e.

$$(4.7) \quad d_s(\varphi(t_1), \varphi(t_2)) \leq \lambda d_s(t_1, t_2)^\alpha$$

for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and some constants  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\varphi$  is also Dini-continuous with respect to spherical distance.

We have the following corollary from the proof of Theorem 4.5.

**Corollary 4.6.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2) and  $f'$  is Hölder-continuous with respect to spherical distance (4.7), then*

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| \leq \frac{\lambda(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \begin{cases} B\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1\right), & \lambda \leq 1, \\ B\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \lambda^{-1/\alpha}\right) \\ \quad + \frac{2}{\lambda} \log\left(\lambda^{1/\alpha} + \sqrt{\lambda^{2/\alpha} - 1}\right), & \lambda > 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $B$  denotes the incomplete beta function and  $\lambda, \alpha$  satisfy (4.7).

**Proof.** From the proof of Theorem 4.5 we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| \leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt,$$

where  $\omega$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f'$  with respect to spherical distance. Since  $f'$  satisfies (4.7) and  $\omega(t) \leq 1$ , we have

$$\omega(t) \leq \min\{1, \lambda t^\alpha\}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z) \right| \leq \frac{2(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda t^\alpha}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt, & \lambda \leq 1, \\ \int_0^{\lambda^{-1/\alpha}} \frac{\lambda t^\alpha}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt + \int_{\lambda^{-1/\alpha}}^1 \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt, & \lambda > 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, recall that for  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $c \in [0, 1]$  the incomplete beta function is defined by the formula (see [4])

$$B(a, b; c) := \int_0^c t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt.$$

Hence, the proof is completed. □

**5. Quasiconformality of  $H[f]$ .** Using estimates on partial derivatives of the extension  $H[f]$  we are able to estimate its maximal dilatation  $D_{H[f]}$ , which is the main tool in studying quasiconformality of  $H[f]$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2) and  $|\partial U/\partial y| \leq A$  for some  $A > 0$ , then*

$$D_{H[f]}(z) \leq \frac{L_1}{a} + \frac{A^2 + a^2}{aL_2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{H[f]}(z) &\leq 2 \frac{|\partial H(z)|^2 + |\bar{\partial} H(z)|^2}{J_{H[f]}(z)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(z)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}(z)\right)^2}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z)\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}(z) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z)\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (4.1) we obtain

$$D_{H[f]}(z) \leq \frac{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z)}{a} + \frac{\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(z)\right)^2 + a^2}{a\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(z)}.$$

Applying (4.2) and the assumption  $|\partial U/\partial y| \leq A$  the theorem follows. □

**Theorem 5.2.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2) and if  $f'$  is Dini-continuous with respect to spherical distance (4.3), then*

$$D_{H[f]}(z) \leq \frac{L_1}{a} + \frac{\frac{4}{\pi^2} (1 + L_1^2)^2 \left[ \frac{M_\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}} + \log \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{\delta} \right) \right]^2 + a^2}{aL_2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where  $\delta := \min\{\zeta, 1/\sqrt{1+M_\zeta}\}$  and  $\zeta, M_\zeta$  satisfy (4.3).

**Proof.** Theorem 4.5 gives the estimate (4.5) on  $|\partial U/\partial y|$ . Hence, the theorem follows from Theorem 5.1. □

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  satisfies the biLipschitz condition (3.2) and  $f'$  is Hölder-continuous with respect to spherical distance (4.7), then*

$$D_{H[f]}(z) \leq \frac{L_1}{a} + \frac{A^2 + a^2}{aL_2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where

$$A = \frac{\lambda(1 + L_1^2)}{\pi} \begin{cases} B\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1\right), & \lambda \leq 1, \\ B\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \lambda^{-1/\alpha}\right) + \frac{2}{\lambda} \log\left(\lambda^{1/\alpha} + \sqrt{\lambda^{2/\alpha} - 1}\right), & \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $B$  denotes the incomplete beta function and  $\lambda, \alpha$  satisfy (4.7).

**Proof.** Corollary 4.6 gives the estimate (4.8) on  $|\partial U/\partial y|$ . Hence, the theorem follows from Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V., *Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1966.
- [2] Kalaj, D., Pavlović, M., *Boundary correspondence under quasiconformal harmonic diffeomorphisms of a half-plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. **30** (2005), 159–165.
- [3] Lehto, O., Virtanen, K. I., *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 126, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [4] Pearson, K., *Tables of the Incomplete Beta-Function*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1934.

Andrzej Michalski  
 Department of Complex Analysis  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences  
 The John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin  
 ul. Konstantynów 1H  
 20-950 Lublin, Poland  
 e-mail: amichal@kul.lublin.pl

Received September 10, 2007